

**2019-20 Exceptional Student Education
On-Site Monitoring Report**

**Franklin County School District
October 23-24, 2019**



This publication is produced through the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services (BEESS), Division of K-12 Public Schools, Florida Department of Education (FDOE), and is available online at <http://www.fldoe.org/ease/mon-home.asp>. For information on available resources, contact the BEESS Resource and Information Center (BRIC).

BRIC website: <http://www.fldoe.org/ease/clerhome.asp>

BEESS website: <http://www.fldoe.org/ease/>

Email: BRIC@fldoe.org

Telephone: 850-245-0475

Fax: 850-245-0987



**Florida Department of Education
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
Table of Contents**

Authority	1
Exceptional Student Education (ESE) On-Site Monitoring Process.....	2
Background Information	2
School Selection	3
2019-20 ESE On-Site Monitoring Focus Areas	3
Dropout Rate	4
Educational Environment (Least Restrictive Environment)	4
Math Scores for Middle-Grades Students with Disabilities	4
Prekindergarten Proficiency in Communication	5
Interviews Conducted	5
Administrator Focus Groups	5
Teacher Focus Groups	5
Parent Focus Group	6
Student Focus Groups	6
School Support Team Focus Groups	6
Commendation	7
2019-20 Next Steps	7
Technical Assistance	11
State Support Team Members for Franklin County School District	14

Authority

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE), Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services (BEESS), in carrying out its roles of leadership, resource allocation, technical assistance, monitoring and evaluation, is required to oversee the performance of district school boards in the enforcement of all exceptional student education (ESE) laws (sections 1003.01(3), 1003.571 and 1008.32, Florida Statutes [F.S.]) and rules. One purpose of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is to assess and ensure the effectiveness of efforts to educate children with disabilities (s. 300.1(d) of Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations [CFR]). BEESS is responsible for ensuring that the requirements of IDEA and the educational requirements of the state are implemented (34 CFR §§300.149(a)(1) and (2)).

In fulfilling this requirement, BEESS monitors ESE programs provided by district school boards in accordance with ss. 1001.42, 1003.57 and 1003.573, F.S. Through these monitoring activities, BEESS examines records and ESE services, evaluates procedures, provides information and assistance to school districts and otherwise assists school districts in operating effectively and efficiently. The monitoring system is designed to facilitate improved educational outcomes for students while ensuring compliance with applicable federal laws and regulations and state statutes and rules.

Under 34 CFR §300.646(d), if a state identifies significant disproportionality based on race or ethnicity in a local educational agency (LEA) with respect to the identification of children as children with disabilities, the identification of children in specific disability categories, the placement of children with disabilities in particular educational settings or the taking of disciplinary actions, the LEA must provide comprehensive coordinated early intervening services (CCEIS) to address factors contributing to the significant disproportionality.

Section 1003.573, F.S., Use of restraint and seclusion on students with disabilities (SWD), establishes documentation, reporting and monitoring requirements for districts regarding the use of restraint and seclusion on SWD. It also requires districts to have policies and procedures in place that govern parent notification, incident reporting, data collection and monitoring the use of restraint or seclusion for SWD. As required, the FDOE has established district- and school-based standards for documenting, reporting and monitoring the use of restraint and seclusion. These standards are included in each district's Exceptional Student Education Policies and Procedures (SP&P) document.

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) was signed into law in December 2015, amending the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 and replacing No Child Left Behind provisions. States were required to submit a state plan describing their approach to ESSA compliance. Drafted with public input and submitted to the Governor for review, as required by ESSA, the Florida state plan for ESSA was approved by the U.S. Department of Education on September 26, 2018. The state's ESSA plan preserves the focus on increased student achievement and includes details on the added federal calculation to satisfy ESSA requirements, which includes accountability provisions for the inclusion of English Language Learner (ELL) proficiency as a separate accountability indicator; additional focus on the reporting of subgroups' performances; additional federal school improvement designations and support; and a revamped public report card of state, district and school data. The new Federal Percent of Points Index (Federal Index) is calculated overall and by subgroup to include all school grade components in conjunction with English Language Proficiency (ELP) progress.

The Federal Index is calculated for 10 subgroup categories, which include the seven major racial and ethnic groups, SWD, ELLs, and economically disadvantaged students for academic achievement and student growth in schools.

Schools were identified under ESSA for support based on their Federal Index score. The threshold of support is a score below 41 percent on their overall Federal Index or by subgroup. Annually, any school below 41 percent in the Federal Index for any subgroup is identified for Targeted Support and Improvement; annually, any school below 41 percent in the overall (school grade components and ELP progress) Federal Index will be designated as in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. Schools will be responsible for developing a School Improvement Plan for their identified ESSA subgroups. BEESS will support districts with schools with a grade of A, B, and C and all ESE center schools with a Federal Index below 41 percent with SWD. In addition, BEESS will provide support to alternative schools and Department of Juvenile Justice programs with a Federal Index below 41 percent.

In addition, ESSA limits the percentage of students that a State may assess with an alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards (AA-AAAS) to no more than 1.0 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a State for each subject. While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may take the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among LEAs. However, 34 CFR §§200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that an LEA submit information justifying the need to assess more than 1.0 percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The State must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student. The required justification information will be collected in Florida via the annual SP&P and the focus of Florida's training and technical assistance will continue to be on ensuring that individual educational plan (IEP) teams make appropriate, individualized and data-based decisions for each student.

ESE On-Site Monitoring Process

Background Information

The 2019-20 ESE On-Site Monitoring process focuses on those State Performance Plan indicators that contributed to the targeting of school districts for CCEIS and the following indicators that affect equity and access in the educational environment for SWD:

- Indicator 1 – Graduation: Percentage of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
- Indicator 2 – Dropout: Percentage of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.
- Indicator 4 – Rates of suspension and expulsion:
 - A. Percentage of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of more than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.
 - B. Percentage of districts that have (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of more than 10 days for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and support, and procedural safeguards.
- Indicator 5 – Educational environments:
 - Percentage of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:
 - A. In the regular class 80 percent or more of the day;

- B. In the regular class less than 40 percent of the day; and
- C. In separate schools, residential facilities or homebound or hospital placements.
- Indicator 10 – Disproportionality, specific disability categories: Percentage of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.
- CCEIS – Significant disproportionality in overrepresentation of a particular racial group within special education programs for identification of children in specific disability categories, the placement of children with disabilities in particular educational settings or the taking of disciplinary actions.
- Restraint – Rate of incidents of restraint, as reported in the FDOE database.
- Seclusion – Rate of incidents of seclusion, as reported in the FDOE database.
- ESSA – Accountability and one percent cap for participation in the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment.

The ESE On-Site Monitoring process includes four phases:

- Phase 1 is composed of planning activities that occur in advance of the initial on-site visit to the school district.
- Phase 2 is the initial on-site visit to the selected school district by the state support team (SST). The SST consists of BEESS staff, peer monitors from other districts and discretionary project staff. The SST assists with facilitating focus groups at each school site that may consist of administrators, teachers, students and parents.
- Phase 3 is follow-up activities, which are conducted by a designated follow-up team, as determined by the SST, and identification of the ongoing data that will be collected.
- Phase 4 is evaluation of the effectiveness of how the district is addressing each of the focus areas and should include participation of the action-planning and problem-solving process team.

On July 31, 2019, the superintendent of the Franklin County School District was notified that BEESS would be conducting an on-site monitoring visit. After a review of the district's data the following focus areas were identified as being in need of support: dropout rate, least restrictive environment (LRE), prekindergarten (PreK) proficiency in communication and math scores for middle-grades SWD.

School Selection

Upon review of the school district's data, it was determined that the on-site monitoring process would involve all of the following schools for school administrator, teacher and school support team focus groups, and some schools for paraprofessional, parent and student focus groups:

- Apalachicola Bay Charter School
- Franklin County School
- Franklin County Learning Center (Prekindergarten program and alternative school)

2019-20 ESE On-Site Monitoring Focus Areas

The following data are related to the focus areas and activities for the 2019-20 ESE On-Site Monitoring for the Franklin County School District.

Dropout Rate

The federal dropout rate for SWD is calculated by taking the number of students who exited special education as a result of dropping out, divided by the number of students who graduated with a regular high school diploma, a special diploma, a certificate of completion, or a special certificate of completion, and who dropped out or died. The district's federal dropout rate for SWD increased from 14.3 percent during the 2016-17 school year to 40.0 percent during the 2017-18 school year. The district's federal dropout rate decreased to 27.8 percent in 2018-19, which is above the state target of 9.5 percent. The district did not meet the state target for any of the three years.

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Franklin	14.3%	40.0%	27.8%
State Target	11.7%	10.0%	9.5%

Educational Environment (Least Restrictive Environment)

To the maximum extent appropriate, SWD are to be educated with students without disabilities. These LRE data are calculated by dividing the number of SWD aged 6 through 21 served in the regular class for 80 percent or more of the day, by the total number of SWD aged 6 through 21 reported in October (survey 2). These data do not include parentally placed private school students or students served in Florida county jails, Florida Department of Juvenile Justice facilities or Florida Department of Corrections facilities. The district's percentage of SWD being served in the regular class decreased from 77.3 percent during the 2017-18 school year to 77.1 percent during the 2018-19 school year. The 2019-20 LRE rate increased to 83.9 percent, which is below the state target of 85 percent. The district did not meet the state target for any of the three years.

	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Franklin	77.3%	77.1%	83.9%
State Target	83.0%	85.0%	85.0%

Math Scores for Middle-Grades Students with Disabilities

After reviewing state assessment data trends for the Florida Standards Assessment (FSA), BEESS identified SWD in middle-grades math as an area in critical need of support. BEESS established a tiered criteria in order to provide needed supports for middle-grades math in each district (grades 6-8) in order to increase the number of SWD scoring level three and above and close the gap between students with and without disabilities. Three-year historical data for middle-grades math scores (from the 2016-17 to 2018-19 school years) showed that the achievement gap between SWD and students without disabilities had decreased by 6.3 percent. In addition, the rate for SWD scoring at level three and above had increased by 3.9 percent from the 2016-17 to the 2018-19 school year.

FSA and End-of-Course Scores of Level Three and Above in Middle-Grades Math	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Franklin			
SWD	11.4%	23.1%	15.3%
Students without Disabilities	48.8%	54.8%	46.4%
Achievement Gap between Students with and without Disabilities	37.4 percentage points	31.7 percentage points	31.1 percentage points

Prekindergarten Proficiency in Communication

Early literacy and communication are the building blocks for the development of effective reading skills. The percentage of PreK SWD functioning within age expectations in the communication domain increased from 60 percent during the 2017-18 school year to 100 percent during the 2018-19 school year, which is above the state target of 78.9 percent. The district exceeded the state target in 2018-19.

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Franklin	<i>No available data</i>	60.0%	100.0%
State Target	73.4%	75.9%	78.9%

Interviews Conducted

Administrator Focus Groups

Members of the SST conducted focus groups with administrators from Apalachicola Bay Charter School, Franklin County School and Franklin County Learning Center regarding dropout rate, LRE, PreK proficiency in communication and math scores for middle-grades SWD.

Themes that emerged from these focus groups included the following:

- Administrators are dedicated to the success of their students.
- Inclusion is a priority and administrators are committed to providing and implementing more inclusive opportunities.
- Data is used to determine individual student needs.
- There are some concerns over lack of teacher recruitment and retention, as well as support for new school administrators.
- Some administrators reported there is a lack of communication with teachers for students transitioning from PreK to elementary and middle to high school.

Teacher Focus Groups

Members of the SST conducted focus groups with teachers from Apalachicola Bay Charter School, Franklin County School and Franklin County Learning Center regarding dropout rate, LRE, PreK proficiency in communication and math scores for middle-grades SWD.

Themes that emerged from these focus groups included the following:

- Teachers are committed to improving student outcomes.

- Most teachers reported that inclusive opportunities are improving.
- Some teachers reported they had received training and are implementing Conscious Discipline, which is a comprehensive classroom management program and a social-emotional curriculum.
- There are concerns related to lack of community and parental support.
- There is a need for additional training related to behavior support and communication skills in the classroom.

Parent Focus Group

Members of the SST conducted a focus group with parents of SWD aged 14 years or older who were invited by the district.

Themes that emerged from the focus group included the following:

- Parents feel they are valued stakeholders in their child's education.
- Parents are aware of their child's progress toward graduation.
- Parents feel their children have quality teachers who care about their success.
- There is a need for additional classroom support for behavior needs.
- There are concerns related to the lack of communication from the school and district to home.

Student Focus Groups

Members of the SST conducted focus groups with students from Apalachicola Bay Charter School, Franklin County School and Franklin County Learning Center. Students were asked to share their perspectives on topics such as graduation, dropout and postsecondary activities.

Comments from these focus groups included the following:

- Students reported that they had at least one adult to go to if they needed support.
- Students stated they are aware of their accommodations and they are being provided.
- Students shared the importance of inclusion and felt more options for inclusion are available.
- Students indicated there was an issue with teacher turnover.
- Some students requested more options for electives and a need for more support in math classes.

School Support Team Focus Groups

Members of the SST conducted focus groups with school support team staff who support SWD from Apalachicola Bay Charter School, Franklin County School and Franklin County Learning Center.

Comments from these focus groups included the following:

- Support staff are committed to supporting their students and teachers.
- School support staff feel valued and are included in meetings and have regular communication with other school staff.
- Staff are working toward building stronger relationships with parents and the community.
- More professional development is needed related to supporting the needs of SWD.
- Common planning time for school support staff is needed in order to collaborate with the teachers they support.

Commendation

The district's PreK performance for students aged 3-5, who were functioning within age expectations in use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs by the time they turn 6 years of age or exited the preschool program, was 100 percent in 2017-18 school year, which is above the state target of 81.5 percent.

2019-20 Next Steps

Dropout Rate	
Summary	The district's federal dropout rate for SWD increased from 14.3 percent during the 2016-17 school year to 40.0 percent during the 2017-18 school year. The district's federal dropout rate decreased to 27.8 percent in 2018-19, which is above the state target of 9.5 percent. The district did not meet the state target for any of the three years.
Recommendations	The district should consider working with staff members from discretionary projects such as Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support (PBIS), Multiagency Network for Students with Emotional/Behavioral Disabilities (SEDNET), and Project 10 to explore and discuss the implementation of district-wide behavior supports and a mentoring program (e.g., Check and Connect) in all schools to decrease the dropout rate.
Required Actions	<p>The district must complete the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collaborate with Project 10 to implement an early warning system to monitor and track dropout data. • Create goals and strategies to be implemented by the district to decrease the district's dropout rate for the 2020-21 school year, including the timelines for implementation and the district staff who will oversee the implementation of the strategies. <p>Provide a detailed narrative describing the above-mentioned actions including the timeline for completion and the staff responsible to the district's BEESS liaison via BEESSMonitoring@fldoe.org by August 1, 2020.</p>
Least Restrictive Environment	
Summary	The district's percentage of SWD being served in the regular class decreased from 77.3 percent during the 2017-18 school year to 77.1 percent during the 2018-19 school year. The 2019-20 LRE rate increased to 83.9 percent, which is below the state target of 85 percent. The district did not meet the state target for any of the three years.
Recommendations	<p>The district should consider the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to collaborate with project staff from the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resource System (FDLRS) and the Florida Inclusion Network (FIN) for professional development (PD) to support inclusive scheduling and implementation. • Ensure that general education and ESE teachers have time together for collaboratively developing or reviewing long-term

	<p>instructional plans and delivery methods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline the articulation process for the transition of students from elementary to middle and from middle to high school, including a variety of supports available to students in general education settings. • Review FDOE’s Technical Assistance Paper, DPS: 2016-13, “Least Restrictive Environment Considerations Related to Individual Educational Plans,” which can be accessed at https://info.fldoe.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-7540/dps-201613.pdf.
<p>Required Actions</p>	<p>The district’s Plan for Inclusive Education, dated January 3, 2020, includes the following actions to support inclusive education for SWD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Panhandle Area Educational Consortium (PAEC)-FDLRS project staff to support schools in addressing the need for educating SWD in the general education classroom and meeting with school and district administrators. • Collaboration with FIN project staff to support inclusion model, collaborative teaching webinars and inclusive scheduling methods for SWD. • Collaboration with PAEC-FDLRS project staff to create PD online courses for teachers on differentiated instruction and positive behavior supports. <p>The district must provide documentation of the above-mentioned actions and verification for each training for teachers, that must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed narrative of the trainings. • Dates of the trainings. • Copies of any content presented. • Names and titles of the presenters. • Names, titles and signatures of the teachers who participated in the trainings. • Number of teachers who participated in the trainings from schools designated as Targeted Support & Improvement and Comprehensive Support & Improvement schools under ESSA. <p>The documentation must be provided to the district’s BEESS liaison via BEESSMonitoring@fldoe.org by August 1, 2020.</p>
<p>Math Scores for Middle-Grades Students with Disabilities</p>	
<p>Summary</p>	<p>Three-year historical data for middle-grades math scores (from the 2016-17 to 2018-19 school years) showed that the district achievement gap between SWD and students without disabilities had decreased by 6.3 percent. In addition, the rate for SWD scoring at level three and above had increased by 3.9 percent from the 2016-17 to the 2018-19 school year.</p>
<p>Recommendations</p>	<p>The district should consider the following activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to work with the BEESS Best Practices for Standards-Based Instructional Support in Math team to increase achievement in math of SWD in grades 6-8.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review middle grades math assessment data with the BEESS Math Team to identify trends and possible barriers and collaborate with the BEESS Math Team and discretionary projects to implement best practices in middle grades math instruction
Required Actions	<p>The district's Plan for Inclusive Education dated January 3, 2020, included the following actions to increase proficiency of SWD in math:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The district will utilize research-based, effective instructional strategies implemented consistently, addressing the Florida Standards. FIN and FDLRS project staff to provide job-embedded PD with ongoing technical assistance for follow up and implementation on algebra for elementary math teachers. <p>The district must provide documentation of the above-mentioned actions and verification for each training for teachers, that must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed narrative of the trainings. Dates of the trainings. Copies of any content presented. Names and titles of the presenters. Names, titles and signatures of the teachers who participated in the trainings. Number of teachers who participated in the trainings from schools designated as Targeted Support & Improvement and Comprehensive Support & Improvement schools under ESSA. <p>The documentation must be provided to the district's BEESS liaison via BEESSMonitoring@fldoe.org by August 1, 2020.</p>
PreK Proficiency In Communication	
Summary	The percentage of PreK SWD functioning within age expectations in the communication domain increased from 60 percent during the 2017-18 school year to 100 percent during the 2018-19 school year, which is above the state target of 78.9 percent. The district exceeded the state target in 2018-19.
Recommendations	<p>The district should consider the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review the current available curriculum in PreK ESE classes. Ensure the curriculum includes rich language and early literacy skill development for all skill levels. Utilize a progress monitoring system to drive instruction and identify whether targets are being met. Collaborate with Technical Assistance and Training Program (TATs) project staff to provide training to PreK staff to improve age expectations in the communication domain for children three through five years old in the district programs.
Required Actions	None.
Follow up to the ESE Monitoring Visit	
Summary	The Franklin County School District was selected for an on-site visit for the following focus areas related to students with disabilities:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dropout rate • LRE • PreK proficiency in communication • Math scores for middle-grades SWD
<p>Required Actions</p>	<p>By September 2, 2020, designated BEESS staff and members of the district problem-solving team will reconvene via a conference call to share how the district is addressing each of the abovementioned focus areas and determine next steps. The district will coordinate with BEESS regarding the date and time of the conference call and provide documentation (e.g., recent data, PD, problem-solving notes and action plans) via BEESSMonitoring@fldoe.org by August 1, 2020.</p>

Technical Assistance

1. **Implementing a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) for Behavior: Recommended Practices for School and District Leaders** (Florida Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support Project: MTSS [FLPBIS:MTSS]) may be accessed at https://www.pbis.org/common/cms/files/pbisresources/RTIB_Guide_101811_final.pdf. FLPBIS:MTSS provides an overview of the critical components of an MTSS for behavior. These critical components describe systems changes that are necessary for a results-driven ESE system.
2. The district's **Exceptional Student Education Policies and Procedures** document provides district- and school-based standards for documenting, reporting and monitoring the use of manual, physical, or mechanical restraint and seclusion developed by the FDOE. The school district's document for the 2015-16 through 2017-18 school years may be accessed at <http://beess.fcim.org/sppDistrictDocSearch.aspx>.
3. The FDOE's technical assistance paper DPS: 2016-13, entitled, "**Least Restrictive Environment Considerations Related to Individual Educational Plans**," dated January 15, 2016, may be accessed at <https://info.fldoe.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-7540/dps-201613.pdf>. This document provides guidance on the provision of services for SWD in the LRE.
4. The United States Department of Education, in collaboration with the United States Department of Justice, released **School Discipline Guidance** in January 2014, Volume 4, Issue 1, of the **Office of Special Education Programs Monthly Update**. This package will assist states, districts and schools in developing practices and strategies to enhance school climate, and ensure those policies and practices comply with federal law. The following resource documents are included in the package, and are available at <http://www.ed.gov/school-discipline>:
 - **Dear Colleague** guidance letter on civil rights and discipline;
 - **Guiding Principles** document, which draws from emerging research and best practices;
 - **Directory of Federal School Climate and Discipline Resources**, which indexes federal technical assistance and other resources; and
 - **Compendium of School Discipline Laws and Regulations**, which catalogs state laws and regulations related to school discipline.
5. The **Project 10: Transition Education Network** may be accessed at <http://project10.info>. Project 10 assists Florida school districts and relevant stakeholders in building capacity to provide secondary transition services to SWD in order to improve their academic success and postsecondary outcomes. Project 10 serves as the primary conduit between BEESS and school-district personnel in addressing law and policy, effective practices, and research-based interventions in the area of transition services for youth with disabilities. The project also supports transition initiatives developed through the BEESS Strategic Plan. Examples of assistance provided related to graduation rates include using school-level data for graduation success, technical assistance to improve data collection, analysis and data-driven decision making, in order to develop a color-coded student graduation tracking system that can be coordinated with existing initiatives or systems. Regarding dropout, Project 10 supports dropout prevention strategies for students with disabilities, school-based enterprise, service learning and early warning system.

6. The **Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resource System (FDLRS) Associate Centers Support** may be accessed at <http://www.fdlrs.org>. The 19 FDLRS associate centers provide an array of instructional and technical support services to school districts statewide. The four central functions of each FDLRS center are Child Find, parent services, human resource development, and professional learning and technology. The centers collaborate with districts, agency and support personnel, communities, families, and educational personnel providing support services for educators, school administrators, parents, and students with disabilities. Examples of professional development related to graduation rates include Florida standards and access points, differentiated instruction, access to the general curriculum, Strategic Instruction Model™, behavior and discipline, Standing up for Me, self-advocacy, responsive classroom, and district-specific supports. Professional development related to dropout include differentiated instruction, accommodations, Conversation, Help, Activity, Movement, Participation, and Success (known as CHAMPS), Tough Kids, discipline in the secondary classroom, support for parent involvement, Professional Development Alternatives for Positive Behavior Support module, universal design for learning, small-group planning and problem solving, disability awareness, and district-specific supports.
7. The **Florida Inclusion Network (FIN)** may be accessed at <http://www.floridainclusionnetwork.com/>. FIN collaborates with all districts and schools to provide customized services and supports to ensure that SWD have the same educational, social and future opportunities as their peers. In partnership with districts and schools, FIN facilitates implementation of best practices for inclusive education through data-driven, student-focused problem solving across districts and schools. In addition, FIN facilitates implementation of professional development and provides technical assistance and resources to district and school personnel in order to increase knowledge and skills to build and sustain capacity.
8. The **Problem Solving and Response to Intervention Project (PS/Rtl) Technology** may be accessed at <http://www.floridarti.usf.edu/index.html>. One function of this project is to provide support to regional technology coordinators and technology specialists to effectively implement accessible instructional materials, assistive technologies, learning technologies and universal design for learning principles within all tiers of instruction. This project also manages, coordinates and supports the regional assistive technology loan libraries.
9. The **Multiagency Network for Students with Emotional/Behavioral Disabilities (SEDNET)** may be accessed at <http://www.sednetfl.info/>. The 19 regional SEDNET centers assist Florida school districts and relevant stakeholders in building capacity to provide the necessary mental health and academic supports to students with or at risk of emotional and behavioral disabilities to prepare students to achieve academic success; graduate high school; and become college, career and life ready.
10. The **PS/Rtl – Technology and Learning Connections (TLC)** may be accessed at <http://www.tlc-mtss.com>. TLC provides guidelines and resources to support the implementation of universal design for learning. A quarterly newsletter that focuses on technology integration to support the local development of highly effective classrooms for all students may also be accessed. TLC's winter 2016-17 newsletter focused on math instruction, resources and tools to eliminate barriers and increase achievement for all students and can be viewed at <http://conta.cc/2kjsuGt>. To sign up to receive this quarterly newsletter, go to <http://bit.ly/1TLoHLQ>. Additional resources are available at <https://www.tlc-mtss.com/resources>.

11. The **Center for Autism and Related Disabilities** may be accessed at <http://florida-card.org>. The seven regional autism centers provide nonresidential resource and training services for persons of all ages and of all levels of intellectual functioning who have autism, a pervasive developmental disorder, an autistic-like disability, a dual sensory impairment, or a sensory impairment with other disabling conditions. Each center provides services within its geographical region of the state and service delivery is consistent for all centers and each center coordinates services within and between state and local agencies and school districts.
12. The **Technical Assistance and Training System (TATS)** may be accessed at <https://tats.ucf.edu/>. TATS is a statewide system of technical assistance and training that promotes high-quality programs, which lead to and support positive outcomes for prekindergarten children with disabilities and their families.
13. The **State Personnel Development Grant (SPDG)** project builds capacity to increase school completion rates of students with disabilities, through the provision of professional development to support and scale-up existing research-based practices, develop capacity for use of evidence-based dropout prevention strategies, and enlist parental support of these research based practices. These practices include Check & Connect (C&C) and the Strategic Instruction Model™ (SIM). C&C is a Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention used with secondary school students who show warning signs of disengagement with school and who are at risk of dropping out. At the core of C&C is a trusting relationship between the student and a trained mentor who both advocates and challenges the student to keep education salient. SIM is a literacy program centered on promoting effective teaching and learning of critical content in schools. Focusing on the Learning Strategies Curriculum and Content Enhancement Routines, SIM strives to help teachers make decisions about what is of greatest importance, what can be taught to help students become more effective learners, and how to teach so that all students, including students with disabilities, increase their proficiency in the Florida standards. SPDG does not have a website; however, if you have questions, contact Margaret Sullivan, director of SPDG, at msullivan@fgcu.edu.
14. The **FLPBIS:MTSS** may be accessed at <http://flpbs.fmhi.usf.edu>. Significant behavior problems contribute to unsafe school environments and to reductions in student performance; therefore, FLPBIS:MTSS is committed to building the capacity of school districts so that they may better assist schools to develop effective discipline, social skills teaching and behavior support strategies for all students.
15. The **Institute for Small and Rural Districts (ISRD)** may be accessed at <http://isrd.nefec.org/>. ISRD provides a forum for school district staff to keep abreast of a wide array of topics impacting the delivery of services to SWD and their families, such as curriculum and instructional practices; educational policies, procedures and practices; behavior support; and transition. ISRD's primary purpose is to provide staff development, training and technical support to a network of 35 small and rural school districts, lab schools, the Florida Virtual School and the Department of Corrections. Overall, ISRD's goal is to ensure that service to SWD and their families is enhanced and that positive outcomes for SWD is achieved through increased student performance.

SST Members for Franklin County School District

Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services

325 West Gaines Street
Suite 614, Turlington Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850-245-0475
<http://www.fldoe.org/ese>

Monica Verra-Tirado
Bureau Chief
Monica.Verra-Tirado@fldoe.org

Wendy Metty
Program Specialist
Instructional Support Services
Wendy.Metty@fldoe.org

Jessica Brattain
Program Director
BEESS Resource & Information Center
Jessica.Brattain@fldoe.org

Peer Monitor

Troy Peoples
ESE Director
Washington County School District

BEESS Discretionary Projects

Tracy Dempsey
Regional Representative
Project 10
tracydempsey@usfsp.edu

Franklin Coker
Regional Representative
Project 10
fjcoker@usfsp.edu

Loris McCorvey
Coordinator
SEDNET
lmccorvey@somersetjefferson.org

Shelby Robertson
Learning and Development Facilitator
PS/Rtl
srobertson@usf.edu

Caren Prichard
Facilitator
FIN
cprichard@contactfin.com

Meghan Everett
Executive Director
FIN
meverett@contactfin.com

Sandy Smith
Project Director
Technical Assistance & Training System
sandy.smith@ucf.edu

Kathy Christiansen
Technical Assistance Specialist
PBIS
kchristiansen@usf.edu

Faye Yongue
Program Coordinator
FDLRS
faye.yongue@paec.org

Ann Selland
North Regional Coordinator
PS/Rtl
aselland@usf.edu

Tony Dutra
AT & UDL Loan Library Distribution Center
Coordinator
PS/Rtl-Technology & Learning Connections
tdutra@usf.edu



Richard Corcoran, Commissioner

313233E