St. Lucie County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Mandy Rowland	Elementary ELA Curriculum Developer Mandy.Rowland@stlucieschools.org		(772) 429-3948
Responsibility	Name	Title		
Elementary ELA	Kimberly Jay	Director of Elementary Curriculum	Kimberly.Jay@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-7546
Secondary ELA	Megan Green	Director of Secondary Curriculum	Megan.Green@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-3943
Reading Endorsement	Denise Rodriguez	Director of Talent Development	Denise.Rodriguez@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-7524
Reading Curriculum	Mandy Rowland Nancy Mejias Elizabeth Fisher Dorthea Oatts Kimberlee Cooper	Curriculum Developers	Mandy.Rowland@stlucieschools.org Nancy.Mejias@stlucieschools.org Elizabeth.Fisher@stlucieschools.org Dorthea.Oatts@stlucieschools.org Kimberlee.Cooper@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-3948 (772) 429-3949 (772) 429-7703 (772) 429-3942 (772) 429-4624
Professional Development	Denise Rodriguez	Director of Talent Development	Denise.Rodriguez@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-7524
Assessment	Darrell Canamas	Executive Director of Assessment and Accountability	Darrell.Canamas@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-5538
Data Element	Heather Clark	Director of Student Services	Heather.clark@stlucieschools.org	(772)-429- 4521
Summer Reading Camp	Kimberly Jay	Director of Elementary Curriculum	Kimberly.Jay@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-7546
3 rd Grade Promotion	Kimberly Jay	Director of Elementary Curriculum	Kimberly.Jay@stlucieschools.org	(772) 429-7546

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

SLPS intends to publish the Reading Plan on the District Website and within the SLPS Internal Online Learning Management Systems Landing Pages (Canvas). It will be accessible to all stakeholders such as Administrators, Coaches, Teachers, Parents, Data Specialists, School Counselors, and Community Partners. The Reading Plan is also part of the Professional Development Plan for the 2020-2021 School year.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
Reading		(e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress	collected?	being collected?
		monitoring/formative, summative)		
Oral language	Kindergarten FLKRS	Screener	STAR	Once per year
Phonological	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Three times per year
awareness			Performance Matters	
Phonics	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Three times per year
FIIOTICS			Performance Matters	
Fluency	Benchmark Assessment System	Diagnostic	Excel Documentation	Three times per year
riuelicy	(specific schools only)			
Vocabulary	iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Three times per year
Vocabalary			Performance Matters	
Comprehension	K-5 iReady	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports	Three times per year
Comprehension	2-5 Unit Assessments	Formative Assessment	Performance Matters	Once per unit of study

6-12

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
iReady Diagnostic	Comprehension, Vocabulary	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports Performance Matters	Three times per year
iReady Growth Monitoring	Comprehension, Vocabulary	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	iReady Reports Performance Matters	Months without a diagnostic assessment
Achieve3000	Fluency, Vocabulary, Comprehension, Interpretation	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	LevelSet Assessment Lexile Monitoring	Three times per year and weekly trend data
Reading Plus	Vocabulary, Fluency, Comprehension, Interpretation	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	Excel Documentation	Weekly trend data and three times per year summative
Unit Assessments	Vocabulary, Comprehension, Interpretation	Progress Monitoring, Formative Assessment	Performance Matters	Eight to nine times per year (once per unit of study)

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

K-12 Data A	Anarysis and Decision-	making as required by 6A-	. , . ,	
			d Decision-making	
How often is the	What problem-solving	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated if it is	Who at the district level is
data being	steps are in place for	taking to see building and	determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is	responsible for providing plan
reviewed and by	making decisions	classroom level data and to	not being implemented in an explicit	implementation oversight,
whom?	based on the data?	share findings with	manner, based on data to meet the needs	support and follow-up?
		individual schools?	of students?	
District-level	Trends in the data are	Data is reviewed at school	Executive Directors are the point of	The Curriculum, School
leadership meets	identified at either a	and teacher levels from	contact if there are concerns with	Improvement, and Talent
weekly to review	district-wide lens,	each Unit Assessment via	Reading Plan implementation. During	Development teams are
data. After each	school-wide lens, or	Performance Matters by	weekly district-leadership meetings,	responsible for providing plan
Unit Assessment or	grade level lens. This	district-level leadership,	Executive Directors review data with	implementation, over-sight,
iReady Diagnostic,	occurs within each	Curriculum, School	Curriculum, School Improvement, and	support, and follow-up.
data is reviewed by	school year but also	Improvement, and Talent	Talent Development to bring back any	
both the district-	trends are analyzed	Development. Executive	concerns to their school leaders.	
level leadership	across years. After	Directors work closely with		
teams, school-	trends are identified,	the Curriculum Department		
based teams, as	both strengths and	as well as school leaders to		
well as Curriculum,	weakness, next steps	discuss trends, concerns,		
School	are determined as	and accolades. During		
Improvement, and	well as who will be	monthly Principal/Assistant		
Talent	the point person(s) for	Principal and Literacy Coach		
Development. Unit	support (e.g.,	Meetings, data review is a		
Assessments are	professional	standing agenda item.		
administered based	development for			
on a district-wide	teachers and/or			
calendar based on	coaches, coaching			
the scope and	cycle support,			
sequence and the	collaborative learning			
iReady diagnostics	and planning support,			
take place in the	district support at the			
Fall, Winter, and	school-level).			
Spring.				

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Who ensures that the practice is informed by a specific purpose?	How is the purpose communicated?	How often is the data being collected?	How is the data being shared and by whom?	How often is the data being reviewed and by whom?
Executive Directors	July/August Leadership Professional Development	Weekly	The Principal will share the data with the executive director.	The data will be reviewed monthly with district-level leadership.
Executive Directors Principals	July/August Leadership Professional Development & Monthly Principal Meetings	After Unit Assessments based on Scope and Sequence (approximately every 3 weeks) and after the three iReady Diagnostics	The Principal will share the data with the executive director.	The data will be reviewed monthly with district-level leadership.
Executive Directors Principals	July/August Leadership Professional Development	Beginning of the year Changes reported as they are made	Survey Executive Directors	Beginning of the school year As needed based on data Executive Directors
Executive Directors Principals	July/August Leadership Professional Development & Monthly Principal Meetings	Monthly (at minimum)	Executive Directors meet with principals at a minimum of once per month. During these meetings, Executive Directors will monitor the implementation of the Reading Plan and Principals can share any concerns they have with meeting the intent of the Reading Plan.	The data will be reviewed as needed at weekly district leadership meetings.
	Executive Directors Executive Directors Principals Executive Directors Principals Executive Directors Executive Directors Principals	by a specific purpose? communicated? Executive Directors Executive Directors Executive Directors Principals Executive Directors Professional Development Executive Directors Professional Development Executive Directors Principals Executive Directors Professional Development & Monthly Principal	Executive Directors Executive Directors Dilly/August Leadership Professional Development Executive Directors Principals Development Development After Unit Assessments based on Scope and Sequence (approximately every 3 weeks) and after the three iReady Diagnostics Changes reported as they are made Changes reported as they are made Monthly August Leadership Professional Development & Monthly (at minimum) Development & Monthly (at minimum)	Executive Directors Executive Directors July/August Leadership Professional Development

	Implementation and Progress-monito	pring
What problem-solving steps are in place	How are concerns communicated if it is	How will district leadership provide plan implementation
for making decisions based on data?	determined that the plan is not being	oversight, support and follow-up?
	implemented in a systematic and explicit manner,	
	based on data to meet the needs of students?	
The data is reviewed to determine root	Executive Directors will meet with the principals	Executive Directors, based on root cause determination,
cause analysis when adequate progress is	of identified schools that need support with plan	will meet with the District Leadership Team to create a plan
not being made. Factors to look at	implementation.	of action to include progress monitoring and follow up.
include but are not limited to teacher		Through these weekly district leadership meetings, updates
attendance, student attendance,		will be provided to determine next steps.
implementation of instruction with		
fidelity, and needs for professional		
development.		

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention Each district must provide all elementary grades instructional personnel access to training sufficient to meet the requirements of s. 1012.585(3)(f).	-Master PD Plan -Summer Leadership Kickoff -Monthly Principal Meetings -Principal Communicator (weekly newsletter) -Office of Teaching & Learning Newsletter	Partnering with the SLPS Talent Development Coordinator and our Certification Specialist, principals will receive reports based on teachers whose certificate renewal is upcoming.	A quarterly report will be pulled to determine how many teachers have completed this requirement.	Ronald Barr, the SLPS Certification Specialist as well as Didi Campbell, the SLPS Coordinator of Talent Development.	Ronald Barr, the SLPS Certification Specialist as well as Didi Campbell, the SLPS Coordinator of Talent Development.
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth	-District Leadership Team (most often Executive Directors will communicate with Principals)	Monitored by classroom walkthroughs (informal observations) as well as data chats to determine if the progress monitoring data is showing adequate student growth	Data is analyzed at weekly District Leadership Meetings to determine if the progress monitoring data is showing adequate student growth. If growth is not taking place, Executive Directors will continue to work with Principals in conjunction with the Curriculum, School Improvement, and Talent Development teams to support teachers and students.	-District Leadership Team (Executive Directors, Chief Academic Officer, ESE Director, Curriculum Directors, Deputy Superintendent, Superintendent, Talent Development Director, Executive Director of Assessment and Accountability, School Improvement Coordinator	Executive Directors for individual schools
Identification of mentor teachers	The school leadership team	Each school assigns a NEST (New	Mentor logs are collected by the NEST	Sally VanDereet (Talent Development)	Didi Campbell (Coordinator of Quality Instruction)

	is trained yearly to match new teachers and mentors	Educator Support and Training) Administrator. This Principal or AP is the contact for District training and communication.	Administrator and the end of semester and electronically sent to the District at the end of the year.		Denise Rodriguez (Director of Talent Development)
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Each school will select model classrooms for teachers on their campus to showcase best practices in reading instruction. All coaches have been trained using the <i>Get Better Faster</i> coaching model. Principals have received an overview of this training during their principal meetings.	Principal will coordinate with Assistant Principals, schoolbased coaches and/lead teachers.	In progress to determine.	In progress to determine.	Executive Directors for individual schools
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	As part of the Administrative Handbook that is updated yearly, Principals informed to create a schedule for planning and professional development.	Principal are required to create Collaborative Learning and Planning (CLP) schedule for their school and a member of the leadership team is required to attend this weekly meeting.	Principals are required to submit their schedule for CLP's at the beginning of each school year to their assigned Executive Director. If changes are made throughout the year, the revised schedule is resubmitted.	Executive Directors for individual schools	Executive Directors for individual schools

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90-minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
Requirement	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?	by principals:	uistrict:	district?	to the district:
Whole group instruction utilizing	Requirements are	Principal	Principals will report to	-Executive	Weekly District
an evidence-based sequence of	outlined in a K-5	walkthroughs (formal	their Executive Directors	Directors	Leadership Meetings
reading instruction	Literacy Routine	and informal	during their scheduled	-District Level	
reading metraction	that highlights the	observations)	school visits. Executive	Directors	
	components of	observations,	Directors will then reach	2 666615	
	literacy and where	Collaborative Learning	out to the Curriculum,		
	those components	and Planning is	School Renewal, or		
	should take place	attended by	Talent Development		
	within the Reading	Principals.	teams for support as		
	Block. The plan is		needed.		
	reviewed annually	Schools with literacy			
	in the Principal and	coaches will also share			
	AP meetings.	information with			
	0	Principals on an as			
		needed basis			
		Principals can monitor			
		teacher lesson plans			
		for whole group			
		instruction.			
		Executive Directors			
		and District			
		Curriculum Staff also			
		support schools with			
		classroom			
		observations.			
Small group differentiated	Requirements are	Principal	Principals will report to	-Executive	Weekly District
instruction in order to meet	outlined in a K-5	walkthroughs (formal	their Executive Directors	Directors	Leadership Meetings
individual student needs	Literacy Routine	and informal	during their scheduled	-District Level	
	that highlights the	observations)	school visits. Executive	Directors	
	components of		Directors will then reach		
	literacy and where	During data chats,	out to the Curriculum,		
	those components	principals are	School Renewal, or		

should ta	ake place monitoring the	Talent Development	
	ne Reading movement of students	-	
Block. Th	_	needed.	
	d annually groupings based on		
	incipal and student data.		
AP meeti	-		
	Schools with literacy		
	coaches will also share		
	information with		
	Principals on an as		
	needed basis.		
	Principals can monitor		
	individual teacher		
	lesson plans for small		
	group instruction.		
	Executive Directors		
	and District		
	Curriculum Staff also		
	support schools with		
	classroom		
	observations.		

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

- Summer Camp is for 3rd grade students who did not pass the FSA and is also offered to students with Good Cause Exemptions (any student who scored a Level 1).
- Elementary Reading Coach trainings and professional development will have a K-3 focus. Coaches are required to fill out and submit their coaching logs. These logs will be reviewed to be sure that they are focusing on K-3.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	\$174,404
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	\$501,635.69
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	NA
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	NA
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	\$360,709.22
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	NA
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	NA
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	NA
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	NA
District expenditures on professional development	NA
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	NA
District expenditures on summer reading camps	\$482,454.12
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	\$296,455.95
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	\$20,011.02
Sum of Expenditures	\$1,833,670
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	\$1,833,670

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

Scholastic Comprehension Club
http://teacher.scholastic.com/products/comprehension-clubs/pdf/Comprehension_Clubs_research_final.pdf
Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes \square No \boxtimes
If yes, which grade levels?

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

N/A: Designated school funds are used for site-based coaches.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

N/A: Designated school funds are used for site-based coaches.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

N/A: Designated school funds are used for site-based coaches.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes \boxtimes No \square

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - o the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 - o administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - o providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- · Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
-Principal Meetings	-Microsoft	-Principals	Check-ins	-When data shows the
-Attachment on the	Outlook	monitor their	occur at the	need, root cause analysis
Communicator	Calendars	coaches and	school sites	is used to determine the
(Weekly Newsletter)	-Task Logs	then share any	between the	next steps and levels of
-Job Description for		needs with	principal and	support (e.g.,
Reading Coaches		Executive	coach	professional
		Directors who	throughout	development, coaching
		are at the	the coaching	cycle). Data is analyzed
		district level	cycle and	again to determine
			based on	effectiveness.
			assessment	-Curriculum Team, School
			data	Improvement Team, and
				the Talent Development
				Team supports with
				Reading Coach Trainings
				on the Coaching Cycle PD
				as needed and as per
				request from the
				Principal/Executive
				Directors

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that</u> can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Level(s	:): K-5					
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: • Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 3 or Above (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) • Kindergarten FLKRS scaled score of 438-496 or 497-529 • Grades K-5 iReady data is above the 43 rd percentile • Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is above 60%					
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
 is stands builds b provides incorpos includes includes 	 builds background ar provides print rich, sy incorporates writing includes accommoda incorporates the prin includes specially des Please indicate your core cur -Reading Horizons K-2 Phonics	moderate evidence, or promising	ning disabilities nts served is supported by strong evidence, evidence.			
TIER 1	-ELA Scope and Sequence for Reading with Integrated Literacy Units and Text Sets ESSA: • Journeys: Strong https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/journeys • Reading Horizons: No Evidence Yet https://www.readinghorizons.com/Media/Default/Documents/Resources/rh_essa.pdf ***Will re-evaluate next year for evidence based curriculum.					
	Progress Monitoring					
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
	-iReady Diagnostic 3 times per year -iReady Growth Monitoring once/month -Unit Assessments approximately every 3 weeks (Grades 2-5)	-Above the 43 rd percentile -Sufficient progress towards the student goal (stretch and typical) -Above the 60 th percent	-Below the 43 rd percentile -Not making progress toward the student goal (stretch and typical) -Below the 60 th percent			
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? -District-wide Collaborative Learning and Planning protocols that take place at school sites.					

- -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings
- -Weekly District Data Review

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?

-SLPS uses *EdReports* to evaluate the effectiveness of the curriculum for standards alignment and intent of the standards. Based on that report, Journeys fell into the yellow category. Due to this information, ReadWorks, NewsELA, Commonlit, GetEPIC! Books for Kids, and other text-based resources have been added to the curriculum used. The texts were selected based on standards alignment. In addition, Standards Toolboxes have been developed for teachers with sample higher order thinking questions, scaffolding questions, and sample classroom activities. Professional Development on High Yield strategies have been provided to teachers as well.

www.edreports.org

-Based on Unit Assessment Data Review, additional texts and resources are provided to teachers for strategic instruction.

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?

- -District-wide Collaborative Learning and Planning protocols that take place at school sites.
- -Weekly District Data Review

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Students access Canvas, our Learning Management System, to receive instruction that aligns with scope and sequence. Teachers are expected to meet with groups of students or individually as needed via Microsoft Teams.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: • Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 1 or 2 (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) • Kindergarten FLKRS scaled score below 437 • Grades K-5 iReady data is below the 43 rd percentile • Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is below 60%				
THEN:		TIER 1 instructi	on and TIER 2 int	erventions	
	 provide systeme foundational/be are matched to provide multiple occurs during ti 	and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations natic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting			
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Stra		TIER 2 Progre	ess Monitoring	
ction and TIER 2 interventions	tegies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions
8 2 in	Reading Horizons	iReady Growth Monitoring	A triangulation of data including	A triangulation of data including	A triangulation
and TIEI	Leveled Literacy Intervention		class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth	class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth	of data including class performance, intervention
TIER 1 instruction	iReady Instructional PDFs from the Instructional Grouping Profiles/Diagnostic				progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth
TIER :	Journeys Toolkit		Monitoring, the Problem Solving	Monitoring, the Problem Solving	Monitoring, the Problem Solving
	Quick Reads		Team (PST) will meet to review	Team (PST) will meet to review	Team (PST) will meet to review
	Wilson Foundations Grades K-3 Wilson Just Words (Grades 4-5)		the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with	the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with	the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with
	SRA Corrective Reading		positive trend lines that meet	positive trend lines that do not	negative trend lines will be
	Imagine Learning English		or exceed yearly progress will be exited from Tier	meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable	provided Tier 3 in addition to Tier 2
	Visualizing and Verbalizing		2 intervention.	trend lines with inconsistent	Intervention.

Earobics (paper-based materials only) Florida Center of Reading Research Student Center Activities			performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention. If deemed necessary, a change in intervention or interventionist can be determined at this time.	
Number of times a week intervention provided	5	Number of minute session	s per intervention	30

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

The Problem Solving Team meets to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root cause if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Programs/Materials/Strategies are selected based upon a district approved list.

ESSA:

- Journeys Toolkit: Strong <u>ESSA LINK</u>
- Quick Reads: Strong https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/quickreads-struggling-readers
- Leveled Literacy Intervention: Strong
 https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/fountas-pinnell-leveled-literacy-intervention-lli
- Imagine: Promising https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/imagine-language-literacy
- Reading Horizons: No Evidence Yet
 https://www.readinghorizons.com/Media/Default/Documents/Resources/rh_essa.pdf
 *SLPS will monitor the effectiveness of this resource closely until strong, moderate, or promising evidence is released.
- iReady: Promising
 - https://districtadministration.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CAApril.pdf
- Wilson Reading System (Fundations:) Strong
 https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/wilson-reading-systemr &
 https://www.wilsonlanguage.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/FCRR Fundations Report.pdf

What Works Clearinghouse:

Earobics: alphabetics=strong; fluency=moderate
 https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/EvidenceSnapshot/158 &
 https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/InterventionReports/wwc-earobics-011309.pdf

Other:

 Visualizing and Verbalizing http://sosaschool.com/files/FCRRVV.pdf

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students identified area of need.

The recommended frequency of the Tier 2 Reading Horizons intervention is 15-minute sessions 3 times per week.

The recommended frequency of the Tier 2 ELA i-Ready intervention is 30-minute sessions 3 times per week.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Students were provided Tier 1, 2, and 3 intensive interventions at the end of the prior school year or if a large gap is determined based on the beginning of the year assessments, students will be provided Tier 1, 2, and 3 Interventions. • Grades 4-5 FSA Scores are Level 1.1 (Grade 5 for this year from 2 years ago) • Kindergarten FLKRS scaled score below 400 • Grades K-5 iReady data is below the 20 th percentile • Grades 2-5 Unit Assessment data is below 30%			
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions			
intions		ased on student ned n-one instruction , ESOL, or 504) ss monitoring than	ed TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 in core instruction and tier 2 inter TIER 3 Progress Monitoring	ventions
2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions and TIER 3 Intensive Intensive Interventions and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions and TIER 3 Intensive Intensive Interventions and TIER 3 Intensive Intensiv	Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions
	Reading Horizons Leveled Literacy Intervention A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and EasyCBM progress.	EasyCBM	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For EasyCBM, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and EasyCBM. For EasyCBM, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will
	iReady Instructional PDFs from the Instructional Grouping Profiles/Diagnostic		lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines	meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students continuing with negative or
ion, T	Journeys Toolkit		with inconsistent performance will continue	questionable trend line even with the
struct	Quick Reads Wilson Fundations Grades K-		with Tier 2 intervention but will not need to	addition of Tier 3
TIER 1 ins	Wilson Fundations Grades R- 3 Wilson Just Words (Grades 4-5)		continue Tier 3.	receive a change in intervention or interventionist.
	SRA Corrective Reading			
	Imagine Learning English			
	Visualizing and Verbalizing			

Earobics (paper-based materials only)		
Florida Center of Reading Research Student Center Activities		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.

Number of times a week intervention provided	3-5 in addition to Tier 1 and	Number of minutes per intervention session	15-20 in addition to Tier 1 and Tier 2
	Tier 2		

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root cause if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

 $Programs/Materials/Strategies \ are \ selected \ based \ upon \ a \ district \ approved \ list.$

ESSA:

• Journeys Toolkit: Strong

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/journeys

Quick Reads: Strong

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/quickreads-whole-class

• Leveled Literacy Intervention: Strong

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/fountas-pinnell-leveled-literacy-intervention-lli

• Imagine: Promising

https://www.evidenceforessa.org/programs/reading/imagine-language-literacy

- Reading Horizons: No Evidence Yet
 https://www.readinghorizons.com/Media/Default/Documents/Resources/rh_essa.pdf
 *SLPS will monitor the effectiveness of this resource closely until strong, moderate, or promising evidence is released.
- iReady: Promising https://districtadministration.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CAApril.pdf

What Works Clearinghouse:

Earobics: Moderate

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/EvidenceSnapshot/158

• Leveled Literacy Intervention: Promising https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/1287

Other:

 Visualizing and Verbalizing http://sosaschool.com/files/FCRRVV.pdf

Wilson Foundations
 https://www.wilsonlanguage.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/FCRR_Fundations_Report.pdf

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students identified area of need.

The recommended frequency of the Tier 3 Reading Horizons intervention is 15-minute sessions 5 times per week.

The recommended frequency of the Tier 2 ELA i- Ready intervention is 30-minute sessions 5 times per week.

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree				
Grade Level(s): 6-8				
IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 6-8 last available FSA Scores are Level 3 or above iReady data is above the 43rd percentile Reading Plus, Grades 6-8 previous FSA Achievement Level: Satisfactory, Proficient, or Mastery Unit Assessment data is above 60% Tiers 2 and 3 will have this course in addition to their intervention course 				
THEN:		TIER 1 Only			
TIER 1	provides print rich, s incorporates writing includes accommod incorporates the print includes specially designed in include	rind content knowledge, motivation systematic, scaffolded, and different in response to reading ations (IEP, ESOL or 504) inciples of Universal Design for Leastruction for students with disability and the students are supported in the students are supported in the students of students in graded and schools, approaches to intervent the students of students in graded and schools, approaches to intervent the stackers in achieving: struction http://www.fldoe.org/andicates Tier 1 is sufficient indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	ents served is supported by strong evidence, g evidence. co.com/programs/collections/researchents. More than one-million K-8 students stantial gains. //12/CAApril.pdf en to significantly improve reading es 3-12. It has been validated across a ention, and major student vidence-to-support-essa/ Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; cademics/standards/just-read-fl/reading-		
	-Reading Plus Benchmarks 3 times per year	Reading Plus: Grade 6 previous FSA Achievement, Satisfactory 6.7, Proficiency 8.9, Mastery 10.0	-Reading Plus: Grade 6 previous FSA Achievement, Below Satisfactory 5.4		

	Reading Plus: Grade 7 previous FSA Achievement, Satisfactory 8.0, Proficient 9.3, Mastery 10.7 Reading Plus: Grade 8 previous FSA Achievement, Satisfactory 8.0, Proficient 8.5,	Grade 7 , Below Satisfactory 6.1, Grade 8 , Below Satisfactory 5.1
-iReady Diagnostic 3 times per year -iReady Growth Monitoring once/month	-Above the 43 rd percentile -Sufficient progress towards the student goal (stretch and typical)	-Below 43 rd percentile -Not making progress toward the student goal (stretch and typical)
Unit Assessments approximately every 3 weeks	-Above the 60 th percent	-Below the 60 th percent
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings	improve effectiveness of Tier 1	to identify and solve problems to instruction? Irning and Planning protocols that take
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? -SLPS uses EdReports to evaluate the effectiveness of the curriculum for standards alignment and intent of the standards. Based on that report, Collections fell into the yellow category. Due to this information, iReady, NewsELA, Commonlit, Reading Plus, and other text-based resources have been added to the curriculum used. The texts were selected based on standards alignment. In addition, Standards Toolboxes have been developed for teachers with sample higher order thinking questions, scaffolding questions, and sample classroom activities. Professional Development on High	improve effectiveness of Tier 1	to identify and solve problems to curriculum? Irning and Planning protocols that take

Yield strategies have been provided to teachers as well.

www.edreports.org
-Based on Unit
Assessment Data Review, additional texts and resources are provided to teachers for strategic instruction.

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?
Students access Canvas, our Learning Management System, to receive instruction that aligns with scope and sequence. Teachers are expected to meet with groups of students or individually as needed

via Microsoft Teams.

IF:	 Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades 6-8 last available FSA Scores are Level 1 or 2 iReady data is below the 43rd percentile Reading Plus, Grade 6-8, last year FSA achievement levels: satisfactory, proficient or mastery Unit Assessment data is below 60% Tier 2 will have this course in addition to their ELA course 					
THEN:		TIER 1 inst	ruction and TIER 2 i	nterventions		
	Interventions:					
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strat		TIER 2 Pro	gress Monitoring		
truction and TIER 2 interventions	egies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions	
	Research/Intensive Reading Course (Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and systematic instruction) Unit Assessments	IReady Growth Monitoring /Monthly	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring,	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring,	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring	
TIER 1 instructi	Reading Plus		the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the	the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the	progress. For Growth Monitoring, the	
TE	iReady		performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that meet or exceed yearly progress will be exited from Tier 2 intervention.	performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines with inconsistent performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention. If deemed necessary, a	Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with negative trend lines will be provided Tier 3 in addition to Tier 2 Intervention.	

			change in intervention or interventionist can be determined at this time.		
Number of times a week interprovided Middle Schools in SLPS are on a and 90 min block schedule. Stu attend every day for 90 minuted day for 45 minutes. The 90 minutes arts.	47 min dents s or every n block has	Middle Schools in S routine schedule.	tes per intervention sess SLPS are on a 47 min/90 mir Students attend every day f s. with 45 min Reading and 4	n. or 47	*47-90

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root causes if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

ESSA or WWC Evidence:

IReady: Promising iReady meets ESSA evidence base requirements. More than one-million K-8 students across the state receiving personalized instruction showed substantial gains. https://districtadministration.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CAApril.pdf

Reading Plus: Strong Evidence Reading Plus has been shown to significantly improve reading achievement for diverse populations of students in grades 3-12. It has been validated across a wide range of districts and schools, approaches to intervention, and major student subpopulations. https://www.readingplus.com/strong-evidence-to-support-essa/
Common Lit and NewsELA support the teachers in achieving: Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction http://www.fldoe.org/academics/standards/just-read-fl/reading-intervention.stml

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students' identified area(s) of need.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Students were provided Tier 1, 2 and 3 intensive intervention at the end of the prior school year or if a large gap is determined based on the beginning of the year assessments, students will be provided Tie 1, 2, and 3 interventions • Grades 6-8 last available FSA Scores are Levels: 1.1, 1.3, 2 • Unit Assessments below 30 th percentile • Reading Plus, Grade 6-8, last year FSA achievement below satisfactory, inadequate • IReady scores below 20 th percentile Tier 3 will have this course in addition to their ELA course					
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, T	IER 2 in	terventic	ons, and TIER 3 intensive	interventio	ns
ntions	Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions TIER 3 TIER 3 Progress Monitoring					
erve	Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	Asses	sment &	Performance Criteria to	Performance	. Criteria
ensive Int			quency	remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	that would changes to intervent	prompt Tier 3
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Read 180 or Reading Plus, iReady Wilson Reading, Language Live! System 44 Language!, Plugged-In	Mor	y Growth hitoring	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that do not meet or exceed yearly progress or questionable trend lines with inconsistent performance will continue with Tier 2 intervention but will not need to continue Tier 3. A triangulat data includ performance intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring progress or questiones the Problem Team (PST) meet to review the performance performance intervention interven		ng class e, d nitoring r nitoring, i Solving will ew the e trend ds end of ctudents vith e trend th the Fier 3 i will ange in i or
	All Tier 3 Interventions must be endorsement.	e provid	-			ı
	Number of times a week intervention provided		*5	Number of minutes per inte session	rvention	*47- 90

Middle Schools in SLPS are on a 47 min/90			
min. routine schedule. Students attend			
every day for 47 minutes or 90 mins. with 45			
min Reading and 45 min Language Arts.			

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root causes if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

ESSA or WWC Evidence:

IReady: Promising iReady meets ESSA evidence base requirements. More than one-million K-8 students across the state receiving personalized instruction showed substantial gains. https://districtadministration.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CAApril.pdf

Reading Plus: Strong Evidence Reading Plus has been shown to significantly improve reading achievement for diverse populations of students in grades 3-12. It has been validated across a wide range of districts and schools, approaches to intervention, and major student subpopulations. https://www.readingplus.com/strong-evidence-to-support-essa/
Common Lit and NewsELA support the teachers in achieving: Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction http://www.fldoe.org/academics/standards/just-read-fl/readingintervention.stml

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students' identified area(s) of need.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree

Grade Level(s): 9-12

IF:

Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:

- Last-available FSA Scores Levels 1-5 in consideration with:
 - o Previous grade PSAT/SAT/ACT Scores that are in the higher yellow (within a year's growth) or green range (meets benchmark) for Evidence-based Reading
 - o Unit Assessments above the 50th percent
 - o 8th grade iReady Scores (grade 9 only) meeting benchmark (above 43rd percentile)
 - o Achieve 3000 LevelSet above 50th percent
- Tiers 2 and 3 will have this English 1, 2, 3, or 4 course in addition to their intervention course

THEN:

TIER 1 Only

Initial instruction:

- is standards-aligned
- builds background and content knowledge, motivation
- provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction
- incorporates writing in response to reading
- includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)
- incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning
- includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities

Core Curriculum

Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

ESSA or WWC Evidence:

HMH Collections: Demonstrates a Rational https://www.hmhco.com/programs/collections/research-and-results
Achieve3000: Strong Evidence Achieve3000 offers digital, supplemental literacy programs for grades 2-12 and includes differentiated content to build student fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing across content areas. The student instructional routine is designed to build key literacy capacities and college and career level critical thinking skills. https://www.achieve3000.com/about/press-room/press-releases/strong-evidence-largest-effect-student-success/

Reading Plus: Strong Evidence Reading Plus has been shown to significantly improve reading achievement for diverse populations of students in grades 3-12. It has been validated across a wide range of districts and schools, approaches to intervention, and major student subpopulations. https://www.readingplus.com/strong-evidence-to-support-essa/

Common Lit and NewsELA support the teachers in achieving: Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction http://www.fldoe.org/academics/standards/just-read-fl/reading-intervention.stml

Progress Monitoring

Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions	
Achieve 3000 (grades 9-10)	LevelSet Assessment Results above the 50 ^h percent	LevelSet Assessment Results below the 50 th percent	

TIER 1

Three times per year (Diagnostic and two Summatives) Lexile monitoring is integrated for adaptive response throughout the program	Lexile Monitoring at <i>Meets</i> or <i>Exceeds</i>	Lexile Monitoring Results at Approaches or Falls Far Below
Unit Assessments approximately every 3 weeks (grades 9-10)	Above the 50 th percent	Below the 50 th percent
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? -Principal Walkthroughs -School-based Data Chats -Weekly District Data Meetings	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? -District-wide Collaborative Learning and Planning protocols that take place at school sites. -Weekly District Data Review What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? -District-wide Collaborative Learning and Planning protocols that take place at school sites. -Weekly District Data Review	
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? -SLPS uses EdReports to evaluate the effectiveness of the curriculum for standards alignment and intent of the standards. Based on that report, Collections in grades 9 and 11 were green and grades 10 and 12 are yellow. Due to this information, NewsELA, Commonlit, Readin, Achieve 3000 (in grades 9-10) other text-based resources have been added to the curriculum used. The texts were selected based on standards alignment. In addition, Standards Toolboxes have been developed for teachers with sample higher order thinking questions, scaffolding questions, and sample classroom activities. Professional Development on High Yield strategies have been provided to teachers as well. www.edreports.org -Based on Unit Assessment Data Review, additional texts and resources are provided to teachers for strategic instruction		

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Students access Canvas, our Learning Management System, to receive instruction that aligns with scope and sequence. Teachers are expected to meet with groups of students or individually as needed via Microsoft Teams

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: • Last-available FSA Scores are Levels: 1.2, 1.3, 2 in consideration with: • Previous grade PSAT/SAT/ACT Scores that are in the red (more than one year behind) or low yellow (within a year's growth) for Evidence-based Reading • Unit Assessments below the 60 th percent • 8th grade iReady Scores (grade 9 only) below the 43 rd percentile • Achieve 3000 LevelSet below 50 th percent Tier 2 will have this course in addition to their ELA course				
THEN:		TIER 1 in	struction and TIER	2 interventions	
	Interventions:				
	TIER 2 TIER 2 Progress Monitoring Programs/Materials/S				
erventions	trategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions
truction and TIER 2 interventions	Research/Intensive Reading Course (Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and systematic instruction) Unit Assessments	Every three weeks	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring
Reading Plus Achieve 300 Common Lit/NewsELA	Reading Plus	Weekly data for trend review	Growth Monitoring, the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that meet or exceed yearly progress will be exited from Tier 2 intervention.	Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with negative trend data under 20% will continue Tier 2 interventions.	progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with negative trend date more than 20% may be moved to Tier 3 interventions.
	Common				

Number of times a week	*5	Numbe
intervention provided		High Sci
High Schools in SPLS are on a block		attend e
schedule. Students attend every other		45 minu

day for 90 minutes or every day for 45

minutes depending on which period is

the school's skinny.

Number of minutes per intervention sessionHigh Schools in SPLS are on a block schedule. Students attend every other day for 90 minutes or every day for 45 minutes depending on which period is the school's skinny.

*45-90

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root causes if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

ESSA or WWC Evidence:

intervention.stml

_Achieve3000: Strong Evidence Achieve3000 offers digital, supplemental literacy programs for grades 2-12 and includes differentiated content to build student fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing across content areas. The student instructional routine is designed to build key literacy capacities and college and career level critical thinking skills.

https://www.achieve3000.com/about/press-room/press-releases/strong-evidence-largest-effect-student-success/

Reading Plus: Strong Evidence Reading Plus has been shown to significantly improve reading achievement for diverse populations of students in grades 3-12. It has been validated across a wide range of districts and schools, approaches to intervention, and major student subpopulations. https://www.readingplus.com/strong-evidence-to-support-essa/
Common Lit and NewsELA support the teachers in achieving: Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction <a href="https://www.fldoe.org/academics/standards/just-read-fl/reading-plus-read-f

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students' identified area(s) of need.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: • Last-available FSA Scores are Levels: 1.1, 1.3, 2 in consideration with: o Previous grade PSAT/SAT/ACT Scores that are in the low red (more than one year behind) for Evidence-based Reading o Unit Assessments below the 30 th percent o 8th grade iReady Scores (grade 9 only) below the 20th percentile o Achieve 3000 LevelSet below 30th percent Tier 3 will have this course in addition to their ELA course						
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions						
ns	Immediate, intensive intervention:						
entio	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring				
3 Intensive Interventions		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions			
IER 3 Intens	Research/Intensive Reading Course (Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and systematic instruction) Unit Assessments	Every three weeks	including class performance, intervention progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring, the Problem- Solving Team (PST) will meet to review the data ind perform intervent progress frowth Growth progress Growth	A triangulation of data including class performance, intervention			
ns, and T	Reading Plus	Weekly data for trend review		progress, and Growth Monitoring progress. For Growth Monitoring,			
entio	Achieve 3000			the Problem-Solving Team (PST) will			
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER	Common Lit/NewsELA		towards end of year goals. Students with positive trend lines that meet or exceed yearly progress will be exited from Tier 3 intervention.	meet to review the performance trend lines towards end of year goals. Students with negative trend date more than 20% will receive a review of interventions to determine efficacy.			
TIER 1 i	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.						
	Number of times a week intervention promises High Schools in SPLS are on a block schedule. Students attend every other day for 90 minute every day for 45 minutes depending on which is the school's skinny.		Number of minutes per intersession High Schools in SPLS are on a bis Students attend every other daminutes or every day for 45 minutes or every day for 45 minutes on which period is the skinny.	lock schedule. y for 90 nutes			

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Teams meet to review data including attendance, growth monitoring, classroom assessments, and intervention data to determine if students are making adequate progress and root causes if they are not. For students not making progress, the intervention, the intensity, or the person delivering the intervention is changed. Tier 3 may also be added.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

ESSA or WWC Evidence:

Achieve3000: Strong Evidence Achieve3000 offers digital, supplemental literacy programs for grades 2-12 and includes differentiated content to build student fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing across content areas. The student instructional routine is designed to build key literacy capacities and college and career level critical thinking skills. https://www.achieve3000.com/about/press-room/press-releases/strong-evidence-largest-effect-student-success/

Reading Plus: Strong Evidence Reading Plus has been shown to significantly improve reading achievement for diverse populations of students in grades 3-12. It has been validated across a wide range of districts and schools, approaches to intervention, and major student subpopulations.

https://www.readingplus.com/strong-evidence-to-support-essa/

Common Lit and NewsELA support the teachers in achieving: Differentiation; Explicit Instruction; Sequential and Systematic Instruction http://www.fldoe.org/academics/standards/just-read-fl/reading-intervention.stml

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Teachers will meet with students in small groups via Microsoft TEAMS to deliver instruction, supplemental evidence/research-based strategies may also be utilized during this time to address students' identified area(s) of need.