FAU Henderson 2019-20 K-12 Comprehensive Research-Based Reading Plan

Contact Information

The district contact should be the person ultimately responsible for the plan. This person will be FDOE's contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Research-Based Reading Plan. Please designate one contact for your district.

District Contact: Alyson Rodriguez Contact Email: <u>arodriguez@fau.edu</u> Contact Telephone: 561-297-0770

District-Level Leadership

District-level administrators must look at schools on an individual basis and distribute resources based on students' and teachers' levels of need. To describe the district system for monitoring reading instruction that differentiates school-level services, please address the following.

1. Districts should match or exceed the State Board goals for increasing FSA-ELA achievement by six percentile points, increasing the percentage of students making learning gains on the FSA-ELA by seven percentile points and reducing the achievement gap for the identified sub groups on the FSA-ELA by at least one-third by 2020. Please fill out the charts below with the actual results from the 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 FSA-ELA and the interim district goals for 2020 identified in the 2017-2018 Comprehensive Reading Plan.

| | 2015- | 2016- | 2016- | 2017- | 2017- | 2018- | 2019- |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Performance Goals | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Goal |
| State Overall FSA-ELA | 52 | * | 54 | * | 56 | * | 58 |
| | | | | | | 96 | 97 |
| | | | | | | | |
| District Overall FSA-ELA | 91 | 92 | 92 | 94 | 91 | | |

| | 2015- | 2016- | 2016- | 2017- | 2017- | 2018- | 2019- |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| Growth (Learning Gains) | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Goals | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Goal |
| State Gains FSA-ELA | 52 | * | 54 | * | 54 | * | 59 |
| District Gains FSA-ELA | 73 | 74 | 79 | 76 | 81 | 79 | 80 |

| | 2015- | 2016- | 2016- | 2017- | 2017- | 2018- | 2019- |
|------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| State Achievement Gaps | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| on FSA-ELA | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Goal |
| White/African American | 29 | * | 29 | * | 28 | * | 21 |
| White/Hispanic | 15 | * | 16 | * | 14 | * | 10 |
| Economically | | | | | | | |
| Disadvantaged/Non- | 27 | * | 27 | * | 26 | * | 19 |
| Economically | 27 | | 21 | | 20 | | 19 |
| Disadvantaged | | | | | | | |
| Students with | | | | | | | |
| Disabilities/Students | 37 | * | 38 | * | 38 | * | 25 |
| without Disabilities | | | | | | | |
| English Language | | | | | | | |
| Learners/ Non-English | 30 | * | 32 | * | 31 | * | 20 |
| Language Learners | | | | | | | |

| | 2015- | 2016- | 2016- | 2017- | 2017- | 2018- | 2019- |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| District Achievement Gaps | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| on FSA-ELA | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Actual | Goal | Goal |
| | | | | | | 3 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| White/African American | 4 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 5 | | |
| White/Hispanic | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Economically | | | | | | 5 | 4 |
| Disadvantaged/Non- | | | | | | | |
| Economically | | | | | | | |
| Disadvantaged | 8 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 13 | | |
| Students with | | | | | | 20 | 18 |
| Disabilities/Students | | | | | | | |
| without Disabilities | 28 | 25 | 40 | 23 | 50 | | |
| English Language | | | | | | 40 | 35 |
| Learners/ Non-English | | | | | | | |
| Language Learners | 53 | 48 | 32 | 44 | 33 | | |

^{*} Values for subsequent years will be entered once results are available in order to track progress toward the 2020 goal.

2. Explain how expenditures from the allocation are expected to impact student achievement in relation to your district goals.

This allocation allows A.D. Henderson University School to meet district goals by providing professional development opportunities for teachers to improve instructional practices that target differentiation and closing the achievement gap among our subgroups. These opportunities include coursework toward the reading endorsement/certification, state initiated literacy conference/workshop participation, and professional development, training, and coteaching provided by the reading coach and the reading intervention teacher. Time is built

into the work day for co-teaching and consulting with the reading coach and intervention teacher weekly.

Indirectly, because the allocation provides for professional development, materials and resources, and salaries for two important positions with regard to reading intervention, general operating funds are freed to allow an additional staff member, who has served in the ESE department, to assume the role of MTSS coordinator. The person in this position will hold teachers and the team accountable for identifying students in need of intervention, tracking their progress, and reporting the results.

3. In regard to district-level monitoring of student achievement progress, please address the following:

A. Who at the district level is responsible for collecting and reviewing student progress monitoring data?

The FAU Lab School Administrative team along with the reading coach, district data specialist and the ESE Director collect multiple data sources and student work samples.

B. What specific school-level progress monitoring data will be collected at the district level to determine that students are progressing toward the district goals stated above? Please specify which grade levels are associated with specific school-level progress monitoring tools discussed in this section.

Reading Running Records (K-5)

STAR Early Literacy (Incoming K and K)

STAR Reading (1-3)

School Based Diagnostic Reading Assessment (3-5)

USA Test Prep Reading Assessment (6-8)

FAIR (3-8)

FUNDATIONS Unit Assessments (K-3)

Classroom Assessments and ELA Grades/ Work Samples (all grades)

Progress monitoring logs and plans (PMPs) are also used to track student progress towards grade level standards. These documents are updated throughout the year and reviewed annually to determine if student goals have been met or if interventions need to continue into the following year.

C. How often will student progress monitoring data be collected and reviewed by the district?

All students are screened and monitored 3 times a year by the district (fall, winter and spring). Students © interventions at the Tier 2 and Tier 3 intensity levels will be monitored more closely through progress monitoring data continuously throughout the year. Each grade level team meets together with the reading coach and admin team to review progress monitoring data on a six week cycle. This data is used to identify students in need of intervention or additional support.

4. Who at the district level is responsible for ensuring the fidelity of students not progressing towards district goals receiving appropriate interventions?

The reading coach and the SBT team leader are responsible for ensuring the fidelity of the students not progressing toward the district goals. They also track the appropriate interventions by reviewing progress monitoring logs and data input into the Google data input forms. This form is reviewed with the SBT team to look for gaps and to make suggestions using the Riot by icel format.

- 5. In regard to district-level monitoring of instructional alignment to grade-level Florida Standards, please address the following:
 - A. Who at the district-level is responsible for ensuring classroom instruction is aligned to grade-level Florida Standards?

The FAU Lab School administrative team will ensure that all classroom instruction is aligned to grade-level Florida Standards.

B. What evidence will be collected to demonstrate that classroom instruction is aligned to grade-level Florida Standards?

Evidence will be collected through classroom walk-throughs, observations and the review of Unit Plan Materials

C. How often will this evidence be collected at the district level?

This evidence will be reviewed on a four week cycle.

6. As a separate attachment, please provide the meeting agenda which demonstrates the district contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Research-Based Reading Plan has developed the plan along with: the district contact for Exceptional Student Education (ESE) to discuss the alignment between the District's Special Programs and Procedures (SP&P) requirements, the district contact for Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports(MTSS), the district Management Information Systems (MIS) contact to ensure accurate data reporting, the district ELL contact, a school based principal and a teacher.

See Appendix A.

Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation

As per Section 1011.62©, F.S., funds allocated under this subsection must be used to provide a system of comprehensive reading instruction to students enrolled in the K-12 programs, which may include the following:

 An additional hour per day of intensive reading instruction to students in the 300 lowestperforming elementary schools by teachers and reading specialists who are effective in teaching reading;

- Kindergarten through grade 5 reading intervention teachers to provide intensive intervention during the school day and in the required extra hour for students identified as having a reading deficiency;
- Highly qualified reading coaches to specifically support teachers in making instructional
 decisions based on student data and improve teacher delivery of effective reading
 instruction, intervention and reading in the content areas based on student need;
- Professional development for school district teachers in evidence-based reading instruction, including strategies to teach reading in content areas with an emphasis on technical and informational text;
- Summer reading camps, using only teachers or other district personnel who are certified or endorsed in reading consistent with Section 1008.25(7)(b)(3), F.S., for all students in kindergarten through grade 2 who demonstrate a reading deficiency as determined by district and state assessments, and students in grades 3 through 5 who score at Level 1 on the statewide, standardized English Language Arts (ELA) assessment;
- Supplemental instructional materials that are grounded in evidence-based reading research; and
- Intensive interventions for students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been identified as having a reading deficiency or who are reading below grade level as determined by the statewide, standardized English Language Arts assessment.

The following sections will require districts to submit their budget for these expenditures and to answer questions regarding the implementation of the plan.

Professional Development

As per Section 1012.98, F.S. each school district shall develop a professional development system which must include a master plan for inservice activities for all district employees, from all fund sources. The *Just Read, Florida!* office will review professional development related to reading instruction listed in this plan during monitoring. Please answer the following questions to assist with this process:

1. Who is responsible for ensuring every professional development activity funded through the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation is appropriately entered into the Professional Learning Catalog pursuant to 6A-5.071 F.A.C.?

Gracie Diaz

2. What is the total amount budgeted from the Research-Based Reading Allocation for these inservice activities?

\$15,000

3. Within the district professional development system, Section 1012.98 (4)(b)(11), F.S., states the district must provide training to reading coaches, classroom teachers, and school administrators in effective methods of identifying characteristics of conditions such as dyslexia and other causes of diminished phonological processing skills; incorporating instructional techniques into the general education setting which are proven to improve reading performance for all students; and using predictive and other data to make instructional decisions based on individual student needs. The training must help teachers integrate phonemic awareness; phonics, word study, and spelling; reading fluency; vocabulary, including academic vocabulary; and text comprehension strategies into an explicit, systematic, and sequential approach to reading instruction, including multisensory intervention strategies. Each district must provide all elementary grades instructional personnel access to training sufficient to meet the requirements of Section 1012.585(3)(f), F.S..

Please list the course numbers from your district Professional Learning Catalog which cover this training.

All teachers in the school can take courses from Florida Atlantic University, free of charge (up to 6 credits per semester). The following courses are encouraged, whether working toward endorsement or certification:

```
RED6351 – Developmental Reading
```

RED6546 – Reading Diagnosis

RED6548 – Remedial Reading

In addition, as a consortia member of PAEC, the following online courses are available:

1-013-501 Competency 1: Foundations of Reading Instruction

1-013-502 Competency 2: Application of Research-based Instructional Practices

1-013-503 Competency 3: Foundations of Assessment

1-013-504 Competency 4: Foundations & Applications of Differentiated Instruction

1-013-505 Competency 5: Demonstration of Accomplishment

Reading/Literacy Coaches

The *Just Read*, *Florida!* office strongly encourages district leadership to allocate reading/literacy coaches for schools determined to have the greatest need based on student performance data, especially achievement gaps. Please answer the following questions regarding reading/literacy coaches:

1. What are the qualifications for reading/literacy coaches in your district? If there is a posted job description you may submit the link.

Coaches must be reading endorsed or reading certified and have experience in the field of data driven reading instruction

2. Which schools have reading/literacy coaches <u>funded from the Research-Based Reading</u> Instruction Allocation?

A.D. Henderson University School

3. Were these schools identified to have the greatest need based on a review of student achievement data? If not, please explain why reading/literacy coaches were placed at these schools.

Yes

- 4. How many total positions will be <u>funded at each level using the Research-Based</u> Reading Instruction Allocation:
 - **a.** Elementary:1 We are a K-8 school; the literacy coach serves the entire school
 - **b.** Middle:0
 - c. High:0
- 5. How is the effectiveness of reading/literacy coaches measured in your district?

Yearly Evaluations

Student performance data specific to responsibilities outlined in job description.

6. What is the total amount from the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation that will be expended on reading/literacy coaches?

\$65,000

Supports for Identification and Intervention of Students with Reading Deficiencies

Districts are required to submit Identification and Intervention Decision Trees which can be found in Appendix B.

Please answer the following questions regarding the use of the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation in support of the identification and intervention of students with reading deficiencies:

- 1. Which schools will be provided reading intervention teachers to provide intensive interventions <u>funded through the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation</u>?
 - A.D. Henderson University School
- 2. Were these schools identified to have the greatest need based on a review of the students achievement data? If not, please explain why reading intervention teachers were placed at these schools.

Yes

- 3. How many total positions will be funded at each level through the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation:
 - a. Elementary:1
 - **b. Middle:**Click here to enter text.
 - **c. High:**Click here to enter text.

4. What is the total amount expended on these positions funded through the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation?

\$60,000

5. Please list any supplemental instructional materials, or interventions, which will be purchased using funds from the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation. These will be reviewed by the *Just Read*, *Florida!* Office to ensure the materials, or interventions, meet the requirements of Section 1001.215(8), F.S.:

Fundation Consumable
Mrs. Wordsmith Word Study Program
Istation
Mindplay
Flowcabulary
Thinking Maps Online Component
STAR
RRR Binders

6. What is the total amount expended from the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation on supplemental instructional materials, or interventions?

\$20,632

7. If the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory interventions required to be provided to students in grades K-3 were not purchased using the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation, please list the funding source.

General Operating Budget Fund

Summer Reading Camps

Please complete the following questions regarding SRC:

- 1. SRC Supervisor Name: Alyson Rodriguez
- 2. Email Address:arodriguez@fau.edu
- **3. Phone Number:**561-297-3200
- 4. Please list the schools which will host a SRC:
 - A.D. Henderson University School
- 5. Provide the following information regarding the length of your district SRC:

- **a. Start Date:** 6/4/19
- b. Which days of the week is SRC offered:M,W,Th
- c. Number of instructional hours per day in reading:4
- **d.** End Date:7/2/19
- e. Total number of instructional hours of reading:48
- 6. Per the requirements of Section 1008.25(7)(b)(3), F.S., are all teachers selected to deliver SRC instruction highly effective as determined by their evaluation under Section 1012.34, F.S.?

Yes

7. What is the anticipated teacher/student ratio?

1:2

8. Will students in grades other than grade 3 be served as well? If so, which grade level(s)?

No

9. What evidence will be collected that demonstrates growth in student achievement was a result of the instruction provided during SRC?

Portfolio

300 Lowest-Performing Elementary Schools

Section 1011.62(9)(d)(2), F.S., requires school districts that have one or more of the 300 lowest-performing elementary schools, specifically delineate in the comprehensive reading plan, or in an addendum to the comprehensive reading plan, the implementation design and reading strategies that will be used for the required additional hour of reading instruction. –

Budget Review

| Estimated proportional share | distributed to district charter | Click here to enter text. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| schools | | |
| District expenditures on readi | ng coaches | \$65,000 |
| District expenditures on inter- | vention teachers | \$60,000 |
| District expenditures on supp | lemental materials or | \$28,132 |
| interventions | | |
| District expenditures on profe | essional development | \$7,500 |
| District expenditures on sumr | ner reading camps | \$2,000 |
| District expenditures on addit | ional hour for schools on the | Click here to enter text. |
| list of 300 lowest performing | elementary schools | |
| Flexible Categorical Spending | 9 | Click here to enter text. |
| | Sum of Expenditures | Click here to enter text. |
| | \$162,632 | |
| | based reading 9instruction | |
| | allocation for 2019-2020 | |

APPENDIX A

MEETING NOTES – 4/4/19

Attendees: Nicole Rubin – District Reading Coach
Lyndsey Tolerton – District ESE and ELL Contact

- Review and discuss SP&P and ELL Documentation.
- Review reading plan and align to current ESE and ELL goals
- Discuss strategic intervention for ESE and ELL students
- Review gap closing ideas



The FAU-Palm Beach (A.D. Henderson University School) Reading Team consists of the following personnel:

| District Reading | Alyson Rodriguez | arodriguez@fau.edu |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Contact* | | |
| District Contact for ESE | Lyndsay Tolerton | tolerton@fau.edu |
| MTSS | Maria Laing | Mlaing4@fau.edu |
| MIS | Marian Strohmeyer | stromey@fau.edu |
| ELL | Maria Laing | Mlaing4@fau.edu |
| School Principal | Sherry Bees | Sbees@fau.edu |
| Teacher | Gina Hufty | gbove@fau.edu |

This will change for the 2019-2020 school year. A new reading coach has been hired to assume this position. When that person has completed her on-boarding, the contact information will be updated.

APPENDIX B

Identification of Students with Reading Deficiencies and Intervention Supports

In this section districts will describe how they identify students with substantial reading deficiencies and provide them with required interventions. Districts will create three Identification/Intervention Decision Tree charts to demonstrate how data from screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessments, statewide assessments or teacher observations will be used to determine specific reading instructional needs and interventions for students. It is important to note that a school may not wait for a student to receive a failing grade at the end of a grading period to identify the student as having a substantial deficiency in reading. If a local assessment is being used for identification, districts should internally analyze their data in order to ensure students are identified at similar rates as on statewide assessments. Districts who use a procured diagnostic, progress monitoring or assessment tool should, at a minimum, use the recommended ranges provided by the instrument developer; however, these districts should also ensure that rates of identification correlate to statewide performance.

- DT1 Elementary (K-5)
- DT2 Middle (6-8)
- DT3 High (9-12)

The charts must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of the student;
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local
 assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with
 substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided. FSA-ELA
 scores must be used for appropriate grade levels;
- DT1 must clearly state the conditions the district uses to determine whether a student has a substantial reading deficiency and will subsequently notify the student's parent as required in Section 1008.25, F.S. This also includes a description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3;
- DT1 must include information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention. For each grade level on each chart, districts must include a description of which students will be reported in the Student Information System with an appropriate code for the Reading Intervention Component; and

An explanation of how instruction will be modified for students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention with the initial intensity (time and group size) provided;

Chart D1: FAUS K-2 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

CHART D1: K-2 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

Immediate intensive intervention (iii) will be provided daily for thirty minutes to those students who score a scaled score below 496 on the STAR EL (K) or below the 50th percentile on STAR Reading (1st &2nd) The interventions will be provided in small group settings with no more than 5 students. Students that score between 497-529 will be placed on a watch list where Tier 1 will be tracked. (K). Students scoring between the 50th and 70th percentile on STAR will also be included on "Watch" (1st and 2nd)

| Components of Reading | Reading Assessment | IF Target Audience | THEN Interventions/Curriculum Connections | Performance Benchmark used for Decision-Making | IF student does NOT respond to intervention |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Phonemic Awareness | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System: Word Study Assessments & Reading Running Records (K-2) | Below the 50 th Percentile on Local Reading Assessments Below the 50 th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | - Use the scores to determine the level of daily differentiated intervention required for students. Provide more intensity through additional time, smaller group size, and more targeted instruction. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Oral Language/Phonemic Awareness: Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Oral Language/Phonological Awareness -Word Play activities -Songs, Poems, Rhymes -Phoneme manipulation/blending/ segmentation -Sentence segmentation activities -iPad ELA Applications -Fundations Wilson Language Basics for K-3 | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Phonics Lessons Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Oral Language/Phonemic Awareness Inventory Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| Phonics | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System: Word Study Assessments & Reading Running Records (K-2) | Below the 50 th Percentile on Local Reading Assessments Below the 50 th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | - Use the scores to determine the level of daily differentiated intervention required for students. Provide more intensity through additional time, smaller group size, and more targeted instruction. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Phonics: PMP Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -Explicit Phonics Instruction | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Phonics Lessons Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention |

Chart D1: FAUS K-2 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | | | -FCRR Student Center Activities: Phonics -Decodable books -Letter/Word Recognition games -Rhymes/Spelling Patterns -Word Sorting/Word Puzzles -Making Words activities -Word Wall activities -Inflectional endings activities -Prefix/suffix/root word lessons -iPad ELA Applications -Fundations Wilson Language Basics for K-3 | Informal teacher assessments | instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
|------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Fluency | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System: Reading Running Records (K-2) | Below ADHUS Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels Below the 50 th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | -Use the scores to determine the level of daily differentiated intervention required for students. Provide more intensity through additional time, smaller group size, and more targeted instruction. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Fluency: PMP Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Fluency -Reading A-Z: Fluency Passages -Repeated Readings/Reader's Theater -High Frequency Word lists -Partner reading/Choral reading -Teacher Read Alouds /Teacher Think Alouds -iPad ELA Applications | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Phonics Lessons Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| Vocabulary | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System: Word Study | Below the 50 th Percentile on Local Reading Assessments Below ADHUS Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels | - Use the scores to determine the level of daily differentiated intervention required for students. Provide more intensity through additional time, smaller group size, and more targeted instruction. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Vocabulary: PMP | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Phonics Lessons Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity |

Chart D1: FAUS K-2 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | Assessments & Reading Running Records (K-2) | | Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Vocabulary -Vocabulary Instruction/Word Study -Content Area Instruction/Word Wall -Teacher Read Alouds/Teacher Think Alouds -Integrated reading and writing activities -Graphic Organizers/Word Wall Activities -iPad ELA Applications -Kindle e-reader and e-library | Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
|---------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Comprehension | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System: Word Study Assessments & Reading Running Records (K-2) | Below the 50 th Percentile on Local Reading Assessments Below ADHUS Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels | - Use the scores to determine the level of daily differentiated intervention required for students. Provide more intensity through additional time, smaller group size, and more targeted instruction. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Comprehension: PMP Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Comprehension -Explicit instruction in comprehension strategies -Reciprocal Teaching/QARs -Content Area & Vocabulary instruction -Teacher Read Alouds/Teacher Think Alouds -Integrated reading and writing activities -iPad ELA Applications -Kindle e-reader and e-library | STAR Early Literacy (K) STAR Reading (1-2) Accelerated Reader Fountas & Pinnell Phonics Lessons Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |

A.D. Henderson University School

Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels

| Independent Levels | 1 st Quarter | 2 nd Quarter | 3 rd Quarter | 4 th Quarter |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Kindergarten | | A/B | C | D/E |
| 1 st Grade | F | G | Ι | J |
| 2 nd Grade | K | L | M | N |
| 3 rd Grade | N | O | P | Q |
| 4 th Grade | Q | R | S | T |
| 5 th Grade | T | U | V | W |

^{*}Adapted from Fountas & Pinnell A-Z Benchmark Assessment System

^{*}We will incorporate the reading level as part of the child's reading instruction, which is also informed by additional reading assessments, habits, growth, etc.

^{*}The goal is for every student to gain at least one year's growth

Chart D2: FAUS 3-5 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

Chart D2: 3-5 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

Immediate intensive intervention (iii) will be provided daily for thirty minutes to those students in the Yellow or Red Success Zone on the FAIR-FS. The interventions will be provided in small group settings with no more than 5 students. Non-proficient students on the FSA Reading/ELA may be removed from the immediate intensive intervention group when adequate grade level progress is demonstrated on the FAIR-FS assessment (Green Success Zone) and at least one of the other progress monitoring assessments.

| Components | Reading | IF | THEN | Performance Benchmark | IF |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| of Reading | Assessment | Target Audience | Interventions/Curriculum Connections | used for Decision-Making | Student Fails |
| J | | 3 | , | 3 | Interventions |
| Phonemic Awareness | FSA Reading/ELA FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | Level 1 & 2 Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) Below the 50th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade level standards. -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student needs. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Phonemic Awareness: Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Phonological Awareness -Games, Songs, Poems, Rhymes -Segmenting and Blending activities -iPad ELA Applications | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Lessons: Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| Phonics | FSA Reading/ELA FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | Level 1 & 2 Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) Below the 50 th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade level standardsUse the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student needs. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Phonics: PMP Curriculum Connections: -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Lessons: Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based |

Chart D2: FAUS 3-5 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | | | -FCRR Student Center Activities: Phonics -Explicit Phonics Instruction -Making Words/Word Sorts -Rhymes/Word Patterns/Spelling Patterns -Word Wall activities -Inflectional endings activities -Prefix/suffix/root word lessons -iPad ELA Applications | | Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Fluency | FSA Reading/ELA FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Reading Running Records (Benchmark Assessment System) | Level 1 & 2 Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) Below ADHUS Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels Below the 50th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade level standards. -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student needs. Immediate Intensive Intervention: Fluency: PMP Curriculum Connections: -Reading Plus: See Reader -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Fluency -Reading A-Z: Fluency Passages -Repeated Readings/Reader's Theater -High Frequency Word List activities -Partner reading/Choral reading -Teacher Read/Think Alouds -Listening center -Songs and Poems -iPad ELA Applications | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Lessons: Assessment Checklists Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System Informal teacher assessments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or materials will be changed based on student data. Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| Vocabulary | FSA Reading/ELA FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | Level 1 & 2 Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade level standardsUse the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student needs. | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods Reading Plus Read Around Scores on Weekly Assignments | For students who have not responded to a specific reading intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or |

Chart D2: FAUS 3-5 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | | | Immediate Intensive Intervention: | | materials will be |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | Vocabulary: PMP | STAR Reading | changed based on student data. |
| | | | Curriculum Connections: | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | |
| | | | -Reading Plus: Read Around | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to |
| | | | -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) -FCRR Student Center Activities: Vocabulary | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark | change Tier 2 & 3 |
| | | | -Vocabulary Instruction | Assessment System | interventions |
| | | | -Word Study | , and the second | |
| | | | -Content Area instruction | Fall/Winter FSA Reading/ELA | |
| | | | -Reading in the Content Area -Teacher Read/Think Alouds | Diagnostics | |
| | | | -Integrated reading and writing activities | Informal teacher assessments | |
| | | | -Graphic Organizers/Word Wall Activities | | |
| | | | -iPad ELA Applications | | |
| Cammanahamaiam | CCAD 1: /CLA | Level 1 & 2 | -Kindle e-reader and e-library | FAIR EC (AR 1 2 2) | For students who have |
| Comprehension | FSA Reading/ELA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress | not responded to a |
| | FAIR-FS | Probability of | comprehension strategies required to meet | Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | specific reading |
| | (AP 1, 2, 3) | Reading Success | grade level standards. | Formative Assessment System to | intervention delivered |
| | | score is below | -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to | monitor student progress | with fidelity and with |
| | | 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) | determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student | between Assessment Periods | initial intensity (time and group size) provided, |
| | | Red Success Zolle) | needs. | Reading Plus See Reader Scores | reading intervention |
| | | | | on Weekly Assignments | instruction and/or |
| | | | Immediate Intensive Intervention: | | materials will be |
| | | | Comprehension: PMP | STAR Reading | changed based on student data. |
| | | | Curriculum Connections: | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | |
| | | | -Reading Plus: See Reader | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to |
| | | | -Leveled Literacy Intervention Program (LLI) | Former of Directall Domain and | change Tier 2 & 3 |
| | | | -FCRR Student Center Activities: Comprehension -Explicit instruction in comprehension strategies | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System | interventions |
| | | | -Reciprocal Teaching/QARs | | |
| | | | -Content Area Instruction | Fall/Winter FSA Reading/ELA | |
| | | | -Vocabulary Instruction | Diagnostics | |
| | | | -Teacher Read/Think Alouds -Integrated reading and writing activities | Informal teacher assessments | |
| | | | -iPad ELA Applications | iniormal teacher assessments | |
| | | | -Kindle e-reader and e-library | | |

A.D. Henderson University School

Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels

| Independent Levels | 1 st Quarter | 2 nd Quarter | 3 rd Quarter | 4 th Quarter |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Kindergarten | | A/B | C | D/E |
| 1 st Grade | F | G | Ι | J |
| 2 nd Grade | K | L | M | N |
| 3 rd Grade | N | O | P | Q |
| 4 th Grade | Q | R | S | T |
| 5 th Grade | T | U | V | W |

^{*}Adapted from Fountas & Pinnell A-Z Benchmark Assessment System

^{*}We will incorporate the reading level as part of the child's reading instruction, which is also informed by additional reading assessments, habits, growth, etc.

^{*}The goal is for every student to gain at least one year's growt

Chart G: FAUS 6-12 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

Chart G: 6-12 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

Immediate intensive intervention (iii) will be provided daily for thirty minutes to those students in the Yellow or Red Success Zone on the FAIR-FS. The interventions will be provided in small group settings with no more than 5 students. Non-proficient students on the FSA Reading/ELA may be removed from the immediate intensive intervention group when adequate grade level progress is demonstrated on the FAIR-FS assessment (Green Success Zone) and at least one of the other progress monitoring assessments.

| Components | Reading | IF | THEN | Performance Benchmark | IF |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| of Reading | Assessment | Target Audience | Interventions/Curriculum Connections | used for Decision-Making | Student Fails |
| - | | g | | | Interventions |
| Phonemic | FSA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | For students who have |
| Awareness | Reading/ELA | | reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading | Use Ongoing Progress | not responded to a |
| | | | comprehension strategies required to meet grade | Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | specific reading |
| | FAIR-FS | Probability of | level standards. | Formative Assessment System to | intervention delivered |
| | (AP 1, 2, 3) | Literacy Success | -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to | monitor student progress | with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and |
| | | score is below 85% (Yellow or Red | determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student | between Assessment Periods | group size) provided, |
| | | Success Zone) | needs. | E , O.D. HAY IC. I | reading intervention |
| | | Success Zone) | needs. | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Lessons: Assessment Checklists | instruction and/or |
| | | Below the 50 th | Immediate Intensive Intervention: | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | materials will be |
| | | Percentile on | Phonemic Awareness: Progress Monitoring Plan | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark | changed based on |
| | | Curriculum-Based | (PMP) | Assessment System | student data. |
| | | Oral Reading | | j | Immediate School-Based |
| | | Fluency Norms | Curriculum Connections: | Informal teacher assessments | Team (SBT) Meeting to |
| | | | -Language Arts Class (25 students/90 minutes | | change Tier 2 & 3 |
| | | | every other day): Tier 2 & 3 Interventions -Intensive Reading Class (15 students/90 minutes | | interventions |
| | | | every other day) | | |
| | | | -Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | | |
| | | | System | | |
| | | | -Explicit Phonemic Awareness Instruction | | |
| | | | -iPad ELA Applications | | |
| | 70.4 | 1 1 0 0 | -Kindle e-reader and e-library | TAND TO (AD 4 0 0) | 77 . 1 . 1 . 1 |
| Phonics | FSA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress | For students who have not responded to a |
| | Reading/ELA | | reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade | Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | specific reading |
| | FAIR-FS | Probability of | level standards. | Formative Assessment System to | intervention delivered |
| | (AP 1, 2, 3) | Literacy Success | -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to | monitor student progress | with fidelity and with |
| | | score is below 85% | determine the appropriate | between Assessment Periods | initial intensity (time and |
| | | (Yellow or Red | programs/materials/strategies to meet student | | group size) provided, |
| | | Success Zone) | needs. | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | reading intervention |
| | | D l d for | | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | instruction and/or materials will be |
| | | Below the 50 th | Immediate Intensive Intervention: | Fountag (Dinnell Danahara-1- | changed based on |
| | | Percentile on | Phonics: PMP | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark | changed based on |

Chart G: FAUS 6-12 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | | Curriculum-Based | | Assessment System | student data. |
|------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | Oral Reading | Curriculum Connections: | , | |
| | | Fluency Norms | -Language Arts Class (25 students/90 minutes every other day): Tier 2 & 3 Interventions -Intensive Reading Class (15 students/90 minutes every other day) -Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | Informal teacher assessments | Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| | | | System -Explicit Phonemic Awareness Instruction -iPad ELA Applications -Kindle e-reader and e-library | | |
| Fluency | FSA Reading/ELA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | For students who have not responded to a specific reading |
| | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red Success Zone) | level standardsUse the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student needs. | Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods Fountas & Pinnell Word Study Lessons: Assessment Checklists | intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, reading intervention instruction and/or |
| | Reading Running Records (Benchmark Assessment | Below ADHUS Quarterly Benchmark Reading Levels | Immediate Intensive Intervention: Fluency: PMP Curriculum Connections: | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System | materials will be changed based on student data. |
| | System) | Below the 50 th Percentile on Curriculum-Based Oral Reading Fluency Norms | -Language Arts Class (25 students/90 minutes every other day): Tier 2 & 3 Interventions -Intensive Reading Class (15 students/90 minutes every other day) -Reading Plus: Read Around & See Reader -Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System | Informal teacher assessments | Immediate School-Based Team (SBT) Meeting to change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| | | | -Explicit Phonemic Awareness Instruction -iPad ELA Applications -Kindle e-reader and e-library | | |
| Vocabulary | FSA Reading/ELA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies required to meet grade | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | For students who have not responded to a specific reading |
| | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) | Probability of Literacy Success score is below 85% (Yellow or Red | level standardsUse the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to determine the appropriate programs/materials/strategies to meet student | Formative Assessment System to monitor student progress between Assessment Periods | intervention delivered with fidelity and with initial intensity (time and group size) provided, |

Chart G: FAUS 6-12 Assessment/Curriculum Decision Tree

| | | Success Zone) | needs. | Reading Plus Read Around | reading intervention |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | Scores on Weekly Assignments | instruction and/or materials will be |
| | | | Immediate Intensive Intervention: Vocabulary: PMP | STAR Reading | changed based on |
| | | | Vocabulary: FMF | 31AK Keauling | student data. |
| | | | Curriculum Connections: | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | |
| | | | Language Arts Class (25 students/90 minutes | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | Immediate School-Based |
| | | | every other day): Tier 2 & 3 Interventions | | Team (SBT) Meeting to |
| | | | -Intensive Reading Class (15 students/90 minutes | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark | change Tier 2 & 3 interventions |
| | | | every other day) | Assessment System | interventions |
| | | | -Reading Plus: Read Around -Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | Fall/Winter FSA Reading/ELA | |
| | | | System | Diagnostics | |
| | | | -Explicit Phonemic Awareness Instruction | | |
| | | | -iPad ELA Applications | Informal teacher assessments | |
| | 70.4 | 1400 | -Kindle e-reader and e-library | TAND TO (AD 4 0 0) | T 1 1 1 |
| Comprehension | FSA Reading/ELA | Level 1 & 2 | -Provide enhanced instruction in the high-level reasoning skills, vocabulary, and reading | FAIR-FS (AP 1, 2, 3) Use Ongoing Progress | For students who have not responded to a |
| | Reading/ELA | | comprehension strategies required to meet grade | Monitoring (OPM) or the ELA | specific reading |
| | FAIR-FS | Probability of | level standards. | Formative Assessment System to | intervention delivered |
| | (AP 1, 2, 3) | Reading Success | -Use the WRT, VKT, and SKT to form a profile* to | monitor student progress | with fidelity and with |
| | | score is below | determine the appropriate | between Assessment Periods | initial intensity (time and |
| | | 85% (Yellow or | programs/materials/strategies to meet student | | group size) provided, reading intervention |
| | | Red Success Zone) | needs. | Reading Plus See Reader Scores on Weekly Assignments | instruction and/or |
| | | | Immediate Intensive Intervention: | on weekly Assignments | materials will be |
| | | | Comprehension: PMP | STAR Reading | changed based on |
| | | | • | G | student data. |
| | | | Curriculum Connections: | Fountas & Pinnell Word Study | Immediate School-Based |
| | | | -Language Arts Class (25 students/90 minutes | Lessons: Assessment Checklists | Team (SBT) Meeting to |
| | | | every other day): Tier 2 & 3 Interventions -Intensive Reading Class (15 students/90 minutes | Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark | change Tier 2 & 3 |
| | | | every other day) | Assessment System | interventions |
| | | | -Reading Plus: See Reader | | |
| | | | -Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | Fall/Winter FSA Reading/ELA | |
| | | | System | Diagnostics | |
| | | | -Explicit Phonemic Awareness Instruction | | |
| | | | -iPad ELA Applications -Kindle e-reader and e-library | Informal teacher assessments | |
| | | | -Kindie e-reader and e-norary | | |