FAMU Lab School 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

| | Name/Title | Email | Phone |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| Main District Reading Contact | G. Star Swain | genleah.swain@famu.edu | 850.583.0593 |
| Responsibility | Name/Title | Email | Phone |
| Elementary ELA | G. Star Swain, Elementary Principal | Genleah.Swain@famu.edu | 850.583.0593 |
| Secondary ELA | Pink Hightower, Secondary Principal | Pink.Hightower@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| Dooding | Patricia West, Assistant Superintendent | Patricia.West@famu.edu | |
| Reading Endorsement | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Cami.Bernales@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| Liidoi seilleilt | Zellee Barnes, Secondary Assistant Principal | Zellee.Barnes@famu.edu | |
| Danding | Patricia West, Assistant Superintendent | Patricia.West@famu.edu | |
| Reading Curriculum | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Cami.Bernales@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| Curriculum | Zellee Barnes, Secondary Assistant Principal | Zellee.Barnes@famu.edu | |
| Professional | Patricia West, Assistant Superintendent | Patricia.West@famu.edu | |
| Development | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Cami.Bernales@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| Development | Zellee Barnes, Secondary Assistant Principal | Zellee.Barnes@famu.edu | |
| | Patricia West, Assistant Superintendent | | |
| | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Patricia.West@famu.edu | |
| Assessment | Zellee Barnes, Secondary Assistant Principal | <u>Cami.Bernales@famu.edu</u> Zellee.Barnes@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| | Raquista Claitt, Assessment & Accountability | Raquista.Claitt@famu.edu | |
| | Coordinator | naquiotareiarete ramareaa | |
| | Patricia West, Assistant Superintendent | | |
| | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Patricia.West@famu.edu | |
| Data Element | Zellee Barnes, Secondary Assistant Principal | <u>Cami.Bernales@famu.edu</u> Zellee.Barnes@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| | Raquista Claitt, Assessment & Accountability | Raquista.Claitt@famu.edu | |
| | Coordinator | naquista cialet (e fama caa | |
| Summer Reading Camp | Cami Bernales, Elementary Curriculum Coordinator | Cami.Bernales@famu.edu | 850.412.5930 |
| 3 rd Grade Promotion | G. Star Swain | Genleah.Swain@famu.edu | 850.583.0593 |

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The contents of the FAMU DRS Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan will be communicated to all stakeholders by posting on the FAMU DRS School Website, email to parents, teachers and staff, and also through written communication to teachers and staff.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

| Component of Reading | What data is being collected? | Assessment type | How is the data being collected? | How often is the data being collected? |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Reduing | | (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative) | collecteu: | being conecteu: |
| Oral language | Grade level proficiency | HMH Diagnostic (KG)HMH Progress Monitoring | | Beginning of the YearWeekly, Monthly |
| Phonological awareness | Grade level proficiency | | | |
| Phonics | Grade equivalentLexile/reading levels | Diagnostic (HMH, STAR, NWEA)Progress/Growth Monitoring | PBT (HMH)CBT (STAR, | Beginning of the Year Mookly |
| Fluency | Growth levels Projected growth & proficiency | (STAR, NWEA) | NWEA) | WeeklyMonthly |
| Vocabulary | Projected growth & proficiencyStandards mastery | Formative (HMH) | | Quarterly |
| Comprehension | | | | |

6-12

| Progress Monitoring Tool | What data is being collected? | Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress | How is the data being collected? | How often is the data being collected? |
|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| | | monitoring/formative, summative) | | |
| NWEA | Grade level Proficiency Projected growth and proficiency Growth/Progress | DiagnosticProgress/Growth Monitoring | СВТ | Quarterly |
| STAR Assessments | Grade level equivalentLexile/reading levels | DiagnosticProgress/Growth MonitoringFormative | СВТ | Monthly |
| Read 180 | Lexile/reading levelsGrade level proficiency | DiagnosticProgress/Growth Monitoring Formative | СВТ | Monthly |

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

| 11 12 Dutu | Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 0A-0.035(1)(b) F.A.C. | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| How often is the | What problem-solving steps | What steps are the district | How are concerns | Who at the district level is | | |
| data being | are in place for making | taking to see building and | communicated if it is determined | responsible for providing plan | | |
| reviewed and by | decisions based on the data? | classroom level data and to | that the K-12 Reading Plan is not | implementation oversight, support | | |
| whom? | | share findings with | being implemented in an explicit | and follow-up? | | |
| | | individual schools? | manner, based on data to meet | | | |
| | | | the needs of students? | | | |
| Bi-Weekly by | 1. Data reports are collected. | The district ensures | Concerns are communicated in | The assistant superintendent is | | |
| School and District | 2. Data is disaggregated and | disaggregated data reports | scheduled meetings with district | responsible for providing plan | | |
| Administration, | grouped according to | are shared with school | and school leadership as well as | implementation oversight, support, | | |
| Reading Coaches, | grade levels, courses, and | principals in district | with teachers in grade | and follow-up. | | |
| and Teachers | teachers. | leadership data meetings. | level/content area team | | | |
| | 3. Data is shared with district | School principals then share | meetings. | | | |
| | and school leaders and | data with teachers and | | | | |
| | implications are discussed | reading coaches in bi-weekly | | | | |
| | in leadership data chats. | data chats. | | | | |
| | 4. District and school | | | | | |
| | leadership identify areas of weakness, lowest 25%, | | | | | |
| | areas of focus, etc. based | | | | | |
| | on data reports. School | | | | | |
| | principals use the data | | | | | |
| | discussions to inform | | | | | |
| | decisions about | | | | | |
| | instructional planning to | | | | | |
| | address needs reflected in | | | | | |
| | the data. | | | | | |

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

| Practice | Who ensures that the practice is informed by a specific purpose? | How is the purpose communicated? | How often is the data being collected? | How is the data being shared and by whom? | How often is the data being reviewed and by whom? |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators | School Principals APs Reading Coaches | Email CommunicationOne-on-One teacher meetings | Weekly | Feedback Meetings (Principals, Aps, Reading Coaches) Date Chata (Principals, Aps.) | Weekly by School principals and reading coaches |
| Data chats | School Principals APs Reading Coaches MTSS Coordinator | Meeting AgendasEmail CommunicationMTSS MeetingsTeacher Data Chats | Bi-Weekly | Data Chats (Principals, Aps, Reading Coaches) Faculty Meetings (Principals, Aps) Reading Leadership Team Meetings (Reading Coaches) | Monthly by the Reading |
| Reading Leadership Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C. | School Principals Reading Coaches MTSS Coordinator | Meeting Agendas Email Communication Reading Leadership Team Guidance document | Monthly | Grade Level Team Meetings (Team Leaders) Department Meetings (Department Chair) MTSS Meetings (APs, MTSS) | Leadership Team |
| Monitoring of plan implementation | Elementary Principal Secondary Principal | Email CommunicationK-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan | Monthly | Coordinator) | Monthly by Principals, APs, and Reading Coaches |
| Other: (Specify) | | | | | |
| | | Implementat | ion and Progre | - | |
| | | How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the plan is not being implemented in a systematic and explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students? | | How will district leadership provide oversight, support and follow-up? | e plan implementation |
| Data is gathered from district leadership data meetings and implications are outlined. Identified areas of weakness, lowest 25%, areas of focus, etc. based on data reports used to inform decisions about instructional planning to address needs as reflected in the | | Data Chats Reading Leadership Tea Feedback Meetings with Faculty Meetings Grade Level Team Meet Department Meetings MTSS Meetings | n Teachers | Monthly Plan Monitoring Meetings with school leaders Bi-Weekly Data Analysis of reading assessment data Monthly Meetings with Reading Leadership Team | |

| | data. |
|----|---|
| 3. | Students are appropriately identified |
| | as Tier I, II, or III and instructional |
| | strategies are provided to address |
| | the needs of each student. |

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

| Requirement | How is it communicated to principals? | How is it monitored by principals? | How often is it reported to the district and in what format? | To whom is it reported at the district? | Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening? |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Training in multisensory reading intervention Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing | Email communications Weekly School Administration Meetings Monthly Reading Leadership Team Meetings Faculty webpages | Meetings with reading coaches Instructional walkthroughs and observations Data Chats Analysis of Assessment data reports | Monthly (or as trainings are scheduled) Reported to the district through training agendas, email communications, training resources, and training materials utilized | Superintendent | |
| adequate growth Identification of mentor teachers Establishing of model classrooms within the school | | Monthly mentor meetings with mentors and mentees Instructional walkthroughs and observations Lesson/Unit plans review Review of IFC implementation Teacher feedback | Monthly through email communications Bi-annually Reported through email communications FAMU DRS Professional Learning Community ShareFile (OneDrive) | and Assistant Superintendent | Assistant Superintendent |

| eviding teachers h time weekly meet together professional velopment luding lesson dy and PLCs | PD Agendas Sign-in sheets PD Calendar Scheduling (Common Planning) | Monthly (or as trainings are scheduled) Reported to the district through training agendas, email communications, training resources, and training materials utilized FAMU DRS Professional Learning Community ShareFile (OneDrive) | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|---|---|--|--|--|

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

| Requirement | How is it | How is it monitored | How is it reported to the | To whom is it | How often is it reported |
|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| | communicated to | by principals? | district? | reported at the | to the district? |
| | principals? | | | district? | |
| Whole group instruction utilizing an evidence-based sequence of reading instruction Small group differentiated instruction in order to meet individual student needs | Teacher daily schedules Reading coach meetings Lesson Plans Data Chats | Lesson Plans Walkthroughs and Observations Scheduling MTSS Meetings | Walkthrough and Observation Data Leadership learning walks Master schedules Administration Meetings | Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent | Monthly |

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

The district is prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds by applying more funding to supplemental materials needed for interventions for those students and providing the summer reading and intervention camps for K-3 students.

| Reading Allocation Budget Item | Amount |
|--|------------|
| Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter | |
| District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools | |
| District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools | |
| District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools | |
| District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools | \$50,000 |
| District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools | \$31,926 |
| District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools | \$15,000 |
| District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools | |
| District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools | |
| District expenditures on professional development | \$6,000 |
| District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement | \$5,000 |
| District expenditures on summer reading camps | \$30,000 |
| District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing | |
| elementary schools | |
| Flexible Categorical Spending | |
| Sum of Expenditures | \$137, 926 |
| Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation | \$137,926 |

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

| Lit Camp Summer reading curriculum |
|---|
| iReady Reading Instruction Books |
| Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$ |
| If yes, which grade levels? <u>K-2</u> |

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

FSA ELA-Reading data reported class subject grades, as well as the school's NWEA data from the previous school year was disaggregated, analyzed, and interpreted within data discussions amongst school and district leadership. Grade levels with less than 50% percent proficiency on FSA, or less than 50% of the students are at the 21st percentile or higher on NWEA, are identified as the grade levels with the greatest need. The school (elementary, middle, or high) with the most grade levels in these categories is determined to have the greatest need.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Coaches' roles and responsibilities will be communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff. These roles and responsibilities will be reviewed with these stakeholders in group meetings to ensure the reading coaches' role is not confused.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

School principals will monitor the adherence to, and understanding of, the reading coaches' role. Reading coaches will report to their school principal should concerns arise.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes \boxtimes No \square

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - o the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 - o administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - o providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- · Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- · Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

| How are these requirements being communicated to principals? | How are coaches recording their time and tasks? | Who at the district level is monitoring this? | How often is the data being reviewed? | What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on the data? |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Distribution of K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan to principals Dissemination of JRF! Coaching Model Requirements Document | logs | Assistant Superintendent | Bi-Weekly with Teachers Monthly with Reading Leadership Team | Reading Coaches meet with school principals to receive disaggregated data from school principals and have discussions regarding identified deficiencies, weaknesses, growth, and current proficiency levels. Struggling groups of students are identified based on data. Identified students are appropriately assigned to Tier I, II, or III and instructional strategies and interventions are planned. Information from leadership data discussions is shared with teachers by the reading coach in data meetings. Reading coaches plan and work with teachers on intervention plans to address any deficiencies students may have based on data |

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

• Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;

- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.</u>

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide
 assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and
 subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

| | Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Le | evel(s): KG - 5 | | | | | |
| IF: | Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Greater than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) or 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH Diagnostic) AND Greater than 399 on FLKRS assessment | | | | | |
| THEN: | | TIER 1 Only | | | | |
| TIER 1 | Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities Core Curriculum Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, a promising evidence. The core curriculum is Houghton-Mifflin Harcourt Into Reading. Its use by the students served currently demonstrates a rationale. However, due to upcoming adoption periods and transitions to new state standards, future curriculum | | | | | |
| | P | rogress Monitoring | | | | |
| | Assessment & Frequency | Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient | Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions | | | |
| | Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS): Beginning of the year STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly HMH Into Reading Weekly Assessment: Weekly | Students are at greater than the 21st percentile (NWEA) or 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH). | After a minimum of 6 weeks, student(s) continuing to perform at less than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) or less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (HMH). | | | |

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?

The effectiveness of Tier I instruction is frequently monitored through analysis of formative assessment data from HMH weekly curriculum assessments and STAR monthly progress monitoring assessments, as well as Study Island standards assessments.

- Frequent monitoring of weekly/bi-weekly assessment data
- Weekly instructional walkthroughs and observations by principals, APs, and reading coaches
- Bi-Weekly data chats

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?

The effectiveness of Tier I curriculum is being monitored by frequent analysis of the curriculum's scope and sequence alignment to Florida State standards and the ability of teachers to provide implementation of the curriculum with fidelity. The effectiveness of curriculum is also being monitored by observation of the level of student engagement in instruction being provided through curriculum resources. Student engagement and interactions can be indicative of the level in which students are responding to instruction through the curriculum.

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?

- Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier I performance criteria.
- Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies.

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Differentiated instruction is provided to students through access to live direct instruction, instructional recordings, and scheduled small group instruction sessions with the general education teacher via the Zoom meeting platform.

| IF: | Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Less than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) and less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (HMH) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| THEN: | TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions |
| TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 | Interventions: |

| TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Stra | TIER 2 Progress Monitoring | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| tegies & Duration | Assessment & Frequency | Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention | | Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction | Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions | |
| HMH Into Reading Supplemental Resources for Intervention (iRead & Read180) Guided Reading in small group instruction Fundations Language Basics Program | STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly HMH Into Reading Weekly Assessment: Weekly | Students are at greater than the 21st percentile (NWEA) AND 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH). | | Less than the 21st percentile (NWEA) and less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (HMH) | After a minimum of 6 weeks, students continuing to perform at less than the 21st percentile or less than 70% accuracy/mastery. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Number of times a week intervention provided | | 2-3 | Number of minutes per intervention session | | 20-30 | |

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

- 1. Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier I performance criteria.
- 2. Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

- **iRead:** *Moderate Evidence* (https://www.hmhco.com/research/iread-early-outcomes-from-a-matched-comparison-group-qed-study) and *Demonstrates a Rationale* (https://www.hmhco.com/research/iread-early-outcomes-from-a-matched-comparison-group-qed-study) and *Demonstrates a Rationale* (https://www.hmhco.com/research/iread-early-outcomes-from-a-matched-comparison-group-qed-study) and *Demonstrates a Rationale* (https://www.hmhco.com/research/iread-research-foundations)
- Read180: Promising Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/read-180-universal-mindset-study-early-outcomes) and Strong Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/what-works-clearinghouse-intervention-report-adolescent-literacy-read-180)
- Guided Reading Instruction: Demonstrates a Rationale
 (http://teacher.scholastic.com/products/guidedreading/pdf/2.0_InYourClassroom/GR_Research_Paper_2010.pdf)

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Students on Tier 2 who are participating in distance learning will receive guided small group instruction via scheduled Zoom sessions with the classroom teacher. Students will have internet/web access to iRead and Read180 instructional software programs so as to access it at any remote location.

| IF: | Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Students continuing to perform at less than the 21st percentile or less than 70% accuracy/mastery. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|---|-------|--|--|
| THEN: | TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions | | | | | | | |
| 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions | Immediate, intensive intervention: | ruction 504) ring than TIER | | | | | | |
| | TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration | | | TIER 3 Progress Monitoring | | | | |
| | | Assessment & Frequency | | Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction | Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions | | | |
| | Fundations Language Basics Program | STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly HMH Into Reading Weekly Assessment: Weekly | | Students are at greater than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) AND 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH). | After a minimum of 6 weeks, students continuing to perform a less than the 21st percentile or less than 70% accuracy/mastery. | | | |
| ons, a | All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement. | | | | | | | |
| rventic | Number of times a week intervention p | rovided | 5 | Number of minutes per inte session | rvention | 20-30 | | |
| TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 inter | What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier II performance criteria. Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies. | | | | | | | |
| | Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. Fundations: Demonstrates a Rationale (https://www.wilsonlanguage.com/programs/research-results/research-base/) | | | | | | | |
| | How are Tier 3 interventions modified for Students on Tier 3 who are participating intervention program via scheduled Zoo provided to students in a hard copy form | g in distance le om sessions wi | arning will th the class | receive one-on-on instruction croom teacher. Student resou | with the Fund rces and mate | | | |

| | Curriculum, Instruction, and A | Assessment Deci | ision Tree | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Le | evel(s): 6-12 | | | | | |
| IF: | Student meets the following criteria at beginning of Greater than the 21st percentile (NWEA) or 709 | • | y of standards (Pearson) | | | |
| THEN: | TIER 1 Only | | | | | |
| TIER 1 | Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities Core Curriculum Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. The core curriculum is Pearson Reading. Its use by the students served currently demonstrates a rationale. However, due to upcoming adoption periods and transitions to new state standards, future curriculum adoption decisions will be made with ESSA's tiered system in mind. and | | | | | |
| | Progress Monitoring | | | | | |
| | Assessment & Frequency | Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient | Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions | | | |
| | STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly Pearson Reading Weekly Assessment: Weekly | Students are at greater than the 21st percentile (NWEA) or 70% accuracy/mastery (Pearson). | After a minimum of 6 weeks, student(s) continuing to perform at less than the 21st percentile (NWEA) or less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (Pearson). | | | |

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?

The effectiveness of Tier I instruction is frequently monitored through analysis of formative assessment data from HMH weekly curriculum assessments and STAR monthly progress monitoring assessments, as well as Study Island standards assessments.

- Frequent monitoring of weekly/bi-weekly assessment data
- Weekly instructional walkthroughs and observations by principals, APs, and reading coaches
- Bi-Weekly data chats

How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?

The effectiveness of Tier I curriculum is being monitored by frequent analysis of the curriculum's scope and sequence alignment to Florida State standards and the ability of teachers to provide implementation of the curriculum with fidelity. The effectiveness of curriculum is also being monitored by observation of the level of student engagement in instruction being provided through curriculum resources. Student engagement and interactions can be indicative of the level in which students are responding to instruction through the curriculum.

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?

- Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier I performance criteria.
- 4. Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies.

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Differentiated instruction is provided to students through access to live direct instruction, instructional recordings, and scheduled small group instruction sessions with the general education teacher via the Zoom meeting platform.

| IF: | Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Less than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) and less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (Pearson) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| THEN: | TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions |
| TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 | Interventions: |

| TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Stra | TIER 2 Progress Monitoring | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| tegies & Duration | Assessment & Frequency | Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention | | Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction | | Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions | |
| Read180 Guided Reading in small group instruction | STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly HMH Into Reading Weekly Assessment: Weekly | Students are at greater than the 21 st percentile (NWEA) AND 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH). | | Less than the 21st percentile (NWEA) and less than 70% accuracy/mastery of standards (HMH) | After a minimum of 6 weeks, students continuing to perform at less than the 21st percentile or less than 70% accuracy/mastery. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Number of times a week intervention provided | | 2-3 | Number of minutes per intervention session | | 20-30 | | |

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

- 3. Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier I performance criteria.
- 4. Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

- Read180: Promising Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/read-180-universal-mindset-study-early-outcomes) and Strong Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/what-works-clearinghouse-intervention-report-adolescent-literacy-read-180)
- Guided Reading Instruction: Demonstrates a Rationale
 (http://teacher.scholastic.com/products/guidedreading/pdf/2.0_InYourClassroom/GR_Research_Paper_2010.pdf)

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?

Students on Tier 2 who are participating in distance learning will receive guided small group instruction via scheduled Zoom sessions with the classroom teacher. Students will have internet/web access to the Read180 instructional software program so as to access it at any remote location.

| HEN: | TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions | Immediate, intensive intervention: | ruction 504) oring than TIER | | | | | | |
| | TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration | Assessment & Frequency | | remove Tier 3 and continue would prom | | e Criteria that pt changes to erventions | | |
| | • Read180 | STAR Assessment: Monthly NWEA: Quarterly Pearson Weekly Assessment: Weekly | | Students are at greater than the 21st percentile (NWEA) AND 70% accuracy/mastery (HMH). | After a minimum of 6 weeks, students continuing to perform less than the 21st percentile or less than 70% accuracy/mastery | | | |
| ons, an | All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement. | | | | | | | |
| venti | Number of times a week intervention p | provided | 5 | Number of minutes per inte session | rvention | 50 | | |
| TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interv | What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? 3. Bi-Weekly data chats are conducted to discuss formative assessment data and identify students consistently not meeting Tier III performance criteria. 4. Bi-Weekly MTSS meetings problem-solving meetings are scheduled to include support services team members, general education teacher(s), intervention teachers, reading coaches, and principals/APs to discuss possible intervention strategies or strategies currently being implemented and the effectiveness of the strategies. Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or | | | | | | | |
| TIER 1 | Read180: Promising Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/read-180-universal-mindset-study-early-outcomes) and Strong Evidence (https://www.hmhco.com/research/what-works-clearinghouse-intervention-report-adolescent-literacy-read-180) | | | | | | | |
| | How are Tier 3 interventions modified f Students on Tier 3 who are participating intervention program via scheduled Zoo provided to students in a hard copy forn | g in distance le om sessions wi | arning will th the class | receive one-on-on instruction croom teacher. Student resou | with the Fund rces and mate | | | |

Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:

IF: