Collier County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
	Dr. Elizabeth Alves	Executive Director, Teaching and Learning	ferree1@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0175
Main District Reading Contact	Lidia Camp	Coordinator, Secondary Literacy	campli@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0099
	Amy Pike	Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Amy Pike	Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
Secondary ELA	Lidia Camp	Coordinator, Secondary Literacy	campli@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0099
Reading Endorsement	Lidia Camp	Coordinator, Secondary Literacy	campli@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0099
Reading Endorsement	Amy Pike	Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
Reading Curriculum	Lidia Camp	Coordinator, Secondary Literacy	campli@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0099
Reading Curriculum	Amy Pike	Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
Professional	Lidia Camp	Coordinator, Secondary Literacy	campli@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0099
Development	Amy Pike	Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
Assessment	Matthew Virga	Director, Research Test & Evaluation	virgama@collierschools.com	(239)-377- 6734
Data Element	Dr. Cheng Ang	Executive Director, ACCT & Data Warehouse	angch@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0010
Summer Reading Camp Amy Pike		Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169
3 rd Grade Promotion Amy Pike		Coordinator, Elementary Literacy	pikeam@collierschools.com	(239) 377-0169

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan is shared with all school-based administrators at the opening of schools meeting in July, with expectations for implementation and monitoring clearly communicated. Similarly, the plan will be communicated with all K-12 ELA/reading teachers during the preservice week at the start of school. CCPS curriculum maps and instructional expectations reflect strong alignment with the plan to ensure fidelity of implementation.

Additionally, the Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan will be shared with parents and community stakeholders at the Superintendent's District Advisory Council meeting in September and posted on the District website.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	Progress monitoring assessment types Professional Learning on October 28, 20	and data collection procedures will be 220.	in accordance with guidance	from JRF following the
Phonological awareness	Assessment Tools -Star Assessment –Renaissance (K) -iReady (K-5) -Letter/Sound Identification (based on An Observation Survey of Early Literacy Achievement by Marie M. Clay) (K only) -Phonological Awareness Inventory(K) Data Collected Rhyming, alliteration, sentence segmenting, syllable blending, and segmenting	Progress monitoring/summative Progress monitoring/formative Diagnostic and progress monitoring	Renaissance platform Teacher assesses each child individually iReady platform	Renaissance -One time a year -August- first 30 days of school Three times a year: August, January, & May
Phonics	Assessment Tools -Star Assessment –Renaissance(K) -iReady (K-5) -Formal Fountas and Pinnel Running Record Data Collected Letters, letter sounds, and phonic patterns	Progress monitoring/summative Progress monitoring/formative Diagnostic and progress monitoring	Renaissance platform iReady platform Teacher assesses each child individually	One time a year - August- first 30 days of school Three times a year: August, January, & May
Fluency	Assessment Tools -Star Assessment –Renaissance -iReady (K-5) -Dolch High Frequency Word Assessment (K,1,2) -Formal Fountas and Pinnel Running Record -District created Fluency Rubric (K-5) Data Collected Speed, accuracy, and prosody	Progress monitoring/summative Progress monitoring/formative Diagnostic and progress monitoring	iReady platform Teacher assesses each child individually Teacher assesses each child individually	Three times a year: August, January, & May

	Assessment Tools	Progress monitoring/summative	iReady platform	Three times a year:
	-Star Assessment –Renaissance (K)	Progress monitoring/formative	Teacher assesses each	August, January, &
	-iReady (K-5)	Diagnostic and progress monitoring	child individually	May
Vocabulary	-Formal Fountas and Pinnel Running			
Vocabulary	Record			
	<u>Data Collected</u>			
	Knowledge and usage of on			
	grade level vocabulary			
	Assessment Tools	Progress monitoring/summative	iReady platform	Three times a year:
	-Star Assessment –Renaissance (K)	Progress monitoring/formative	Teacher assesses each	August, January, &
	-iReady Students (K-5)	Diagnostic and progress monitoring	child individually	May
	-District created Comprehension			
Comprehension	Rubric (K-5)			
	-Formal Fountas and Pinnel Running			
	Record			
	<u>Data Collected</u>			
	understanding of the text			

6-12

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
	(e.g.,		r, diagnostic, progress collected?	
		monitoring/formative, summative)		
Scholastic Reading	Student reading level in the form	Diagnostic and progress monitoring	Information is available	Three times a year:
Inventory	of a Lexile level		through the Scholastic	September,
			Management System	December, May
Scholastic Phonics	Information regarding fluency of	Diagnostic and progress monitoring	Information is available	Three times a year:
Inventory	phonological decoding and sight		through the Scholastic	September,
	word recognition		Management System	December, May
District Quarterly	Student performance and	Progress monitoring formative	Students participate in a	Three times a year:
Benchmark Assessment	progress regarding LAFS	assessment	quarterly assessment	October, December,
			similar to the FSA	April

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

K-12 Data I	K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 0A-0.055(1)(0) F.A.C.						
			d Decision-making				
How often is the	What problem-solving	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated if it is	Who at the district level is			
data being	steps are in place for	taking to see building and	determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is	responsible for providing plan			
reviewed and by	making decisions	classroom level data and to	not being implemented in an explicit	implementation oversight,			
whom?	based on the data?	share findings with	manner, based on data to meet the needs	support and follow-up?			
		individual schools?	of students?				
Quarterly	Data from iReady and	Quarterly benchmark	School principals have the responsibility	Executive Director, Teaching and			
Benchmark	other diagnostic	assessment data and	to ensure that all students receive high	Learning			
Assessments and	assessments is	program data is shared	quality grade level instruction with	Executive Directors, School			
program specific	regularly reviewed by	districtwide during Data	appropriate support and interventions	Leadership			
data is collected	teachers, coaches and	Dialogues which occur	when applicable. When data indicate	District ELA Coordinators			
three times per	principals. Overall	immediately following each	that students are not making progress,				
year.	class progress, as well	assessment window.	district staff (principal supervisor,				
Data is reviewed by	as individual student	Schools are required to	curriculum coordinator and others as				
school (classroom	growth, is monitored	individually report progress	appropriate) may visit classrooms to				
teachers, reading	for instructional	(or lack thereof) to the	identify instructional concerns and				
coaches and	adjustments.	Superintendent's Cabinet	develop a plan of support. Persistent				
administrators) and		along with planned	issues are addressed by Cabinet				
district level		instructional modifications.	leadership during Data Dialogues.				
stakeholders		Cabinet members ask					
		probing questions and					
		provide feedback during					
		these discussions. All school					
		principals attend Data					
		Dialogue to learn from one					
		another.					

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the	How is the	How often is the data being	How is the data being shared	How often is the data
	practice is informed	purpose	collected?	and by whom?	being reviewed and by
	by a specific purpose?	communicated?			whom?
Weekly reading	Associate	Principal and	Weekly	Principals will share data	Principal Supervisors will
walkthroughs by	Superintendent for	reading coach		during school leadership	review data during their
administrators	Teaching and Learning	meetings		team meetings	monthly school visits
Data chats	Associate	Principal and	Monthly	Principals will share data	Principal Supervisors will
	Superintendent for	reading coach		during school leadership	review data during their
	Teaching and Learning	meetings		team meetings	monthly school visits

		I			
Reading Leadership Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C. Monitoring of plan implementation	Associate Superintendent for Teaching and Learning Associate Superintendent for Teaching and Learning	Principal and reading coach meetings Principal and reading coach meetings	Monthly	Principals will share data during regular faculty and PLC meetings Principals will share data during school leadership team meetings	Principal Supervisors will review data during their monthly school visits Principal Supervisors will review data during their monthly school visits
Implementation	reaching and Learning	meetings		team meetings	monthly school visits
		Imnlen	 nentation and Progress-monito	nring	
What problem-so	lving steps are in place			How will district leadership provide plan implementation	
•	ons based on data?	determined that the plan is not being		oversight, support and follow-up?	
To making accion	ons suscu on uutu.	implemented in a systematic and explicit manner,			
		based on data to meet the needs of students?			
Data from iReady	and other diagnostic	School principals have the responsibility to		Reading plan implementation	will be a standing agenda
-	gularly reviewed by	ensure that all students receive high quality		item on monthly principal and reading coach meetings with	
	and principals. Overall	grade level instruction with appropriate support		an emphasis on data driven instructional decision making.	
class progress, as	well as individual	and interventions when applicable. When data		District staff, including principal supervisors and ELA	
student growth, i	s monitored for	indicate that students are not making progress,		content specialists, will visit school at minimum on a	
instructional adju	stments.	district staff (principal supervisor, curriculum		monthly basis for the purpose of observing literacy	
		coordinator and others as appropriate) may visit		instruction, attending grade level PLC meetings, and school	
		classrooms to identify instructional concerns and		leadership team meetings. District support will be provided	
		develop a plan of su	ipport. Persistent issues are	as needed to support school implementation.	
			et leadership during Data		
		Dialogues.			

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	Beginning of year principal meeting	Classroom observations, meetings with reading coach, PLC discussions and planning	Quarterly	Principal Supervisors	Principal Supervisors
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth	Beginning of year principal meeting	Classroom observations, meetings with reading coach	Quarterly	Principal Supervisors	Principal Supervisors
Identification of mentor teachers	Beginning of year principal meeting	Monthly mentor teacher check-in meetings	Monthly	Professional Learning Department	Principal Supervisors
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Beginning of year principal meeting	Classroom observation Instructional rounds schedule	Quarterly	Principal Supervisors	Principal Supervisors
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	Beginning of year principal meeting	Administrator attendance, meeting minutes	Quarterly	Principal Supervisors	Principal Supervisors

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	CCPS Curriculum	Weekly literacy	Data Dialogues	Principal	Quarterly
an evidence-based sequence of	Guides provide	walkthrough		Supervisors and	
reading instruction	explicit	observations; formal		Cabinet	
	expectations for	teacher observations;			
	literacy instruction.	reading coach			
	This information is	observations			
	reviewed with				
	principals on a				
	monthly basis at				
	principal meetings.				
Small group differentiated	CCPS Curriculum	Weekly literacy	Data Dialogues	Principal	Quarterly
instruction in order to meet	Guides provide	walkthrough		Supervisors and	
individual student needs	explicit	observations; formal		Cabinet	
	expectations for	teacher observations;			
	literacy instruction.	R2eading coach			
	This information is	observations			
	reviewed with				
	principals on a				
	monthly basis at				
	principal meetings.				

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

CCPS recognizes the priority of ensuring that students in grades K-2 receive high quality instruction and are reading on grade level by third grade. This priority is reflected in the use of the state reading allocation funds. Additionally, the district allocates significant local resources to support K-12 reading instruction, including strategic intervention programming for students who are not yet meeting the expectations of grade level standards.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount				
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	161,505				
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	1,168,855				
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools					
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools					
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools					
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	516,694				
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools					
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools					
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools					
District expenditures on professional development	335,422				
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement					
District expenditures on summer reading camps	79,906				
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing					
elementary schools					
Flexible Categorical Spending					
Sum of Expenditures	2,262,382				
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	2,262,382				

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

iReady, Reading Horizons, Scholastic Lit Camp
Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
If yes, which grade levels? Rising 3 rd and 5 th grade students

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills

- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

The District utilizes a number of data indicators to tier schools for District Support. These indicators include: student performance data in ELA and math, subgroup performance in ELA and math, school report card data, student demographics (race/ethnicity, ELL, ESE, FRL), teacher assignment, and school leadership. All schools receive Tier I supports that include monthly visits by district staff, intervention materials, teacher training and ongoing professional learning, and coaching support. Tier II and III school support includes increased frequency and intensity of District support and oversight.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

During Opening of Schools Principal Meetings, the roles and responsibilities of reading coaches is reviewed, including specific guidance on weekly allocation of time and tasks. This information is also shared during Opening of Schools Reading Coach meetings and reinforced during monthly meetings. Principal supervisors and District literacy staff monitor reading coach activities to ensure activities are strategically aligned with school and district priorities.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

Principal supervisors and District literacy staff monitor reading coach activities to ensure activities are strategically aligned with school and district priorities. District literacy staff oversee and support a cadre of schools and are the direct point of contact for coaching concerns.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes oxtimes No oxtimes

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - o the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
 - o administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - o providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- · Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
Beginning of Year	Coaches plan for	Elementary and	Weekly with	School-based Literacy
Principal meeting	their work with	Secondary ELA	Reading Coach	Team meets regularly
	school	Coordinators	and school	(weekly or bi-weekly) to
Continual discussion	administration in	and District	administration;	review data from iReady
at monthly principal	alignment with	literacy staff	monthly by	and other diagnostic
meetings	the school		ELA	assessments and data
	improvement		Coordinators	from classroom
	plan.			observations. Overall
	Time and tasks			class progress, as well as
	are recorded in			individual student
	an Outlook			growth, is monitored for
	calendar.			instructional
				adjustments.
				District literacy staff
				support school level
				Reading Coaches.

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions

specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that can be copied as needed.</u> The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree						
Grade Level(s): K-12						
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Grades K-2 iReady scores above 15 percentile. Grades 3 – 10 Student scores at or above proficiency level on the state accountability assessment and iReady score above 15 percentile, 70% scale score the SY20 District Quarter Two benchmark assessment, course grades for SY20.					
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
	Initial instruction: • is standards-aligned • builds background and content knowledge, motivation • provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction • incorporates writing in response to reading • includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) • incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning • includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities					
	Core Curriculum					
	Please indicate your core curriculum o mode	and how its use by the students served erate evidence, or promising evidence				
TIER 1	Elementary: CCPS curriculum is based on the LAFS benchmarks and is supported by state provided resources through CPALMS and Just Read Florida! Savvas Reading Street and Ready LAFS are used as the Core Tier 1 resource. Progress monitoring through Quarterly Benchmark assessments and iReady Diagnostic assessments (three times a year) provides promising evidence to support a correlation between instructional practices, including the use of resources, and student performance. Secondary: CCPS curriculum is based on the LAFS benchmarks and is supported by state provided resources through CPALMS and Just Read Florida! HMH Florida Collections is used as the Core Tier 1 resource. Progress monitoring through Quarterly Benchmark assessments provides promising evidence to support a correlation between instructional practices, including the use of resources, and student performance.					
	Progress Monitoring					
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
	All students: CCPS Quarterly Benchmark assessments conducted in October, December and April All Elementary: iReady assessment conducted in August, January, & May	CCPS Quarterly Benchmark Proficient score (70% scale score) and/or improved score at each assessment period iReady score of above 15 percentile.	Decline to a below proficient score from one assessment period to the next. Lack of progress as indicated by assessment score			
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? Grade level Data Chats are held regularly to monitor student progress and make instructional adjustments. Additional support				

Teacher observation, classroom formative assessments, analysis of student work, data chats	from reading coaches and/or other staff is provided where needed.
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Quarterly Benchmark Assessments Classroom observations	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problem improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? School leadership team meetings focus on regular review of and observation trends. Additionally, grade level Data Chats held to monitor progress and identify instructional adjustme Additional support from reading coaches and/or other staff provided where needed.

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?

Modifications include but are not limited to: explicit, direct instruction via multiple modalities,

synchronous and asynchronous instruction and assignments, supplemental resources for remediation or enrichment, flexibility in assignment submission.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Student scores below proficiency level on the state accountability assessment, and scores up to two or more years below grade level on iReady (elementary) or scores a lexile level above 600 but below grade level as indicated on the Scholastic Reading Inventory (secondary)						
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions						
interventions	Interventions:						
7	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring					
uction and TIER	<u></u>	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions		

	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring					
		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions		
	Grades K-5: iReady assigned lessons, additional small group instruction, 15 minutes daily	iReady diagnostic Bi-weekly running record and comprehensi on check	Consistent data points that indicate students meeting grade level expectations	Demonstrated progress on assessments, but not yet meeting grade level expectations as demonstrated on the CCPS	Demonstrated lack of progress on progress monitoring assessments Quarterly Benchmark		

	Quarterly Benchmark assessments	CCPS Quarterly Benchmark Proficient score (70% scale score) iReady score higher than the 15 th percentile.	Quarterly Benchmark score below proficient (70% scale score) iReady score between 10 th and 15 th percentile.	scale s below iReady below percen	50% score 10 th
Grades 6 – 10: Read 180 supplemental program, small group instruction, 45 minutes daily Grades 11 & 12: Khan Academy, small group instruction, 20 minutes daily	Monthly Read 180 Workshop and Segment assessments Reading and Phonics Inventory three times a year Quarterly Benchmark assessments	Consistent data points that indicate students meeting grade level expectations CCPS Quarterly Benchmark Proficient score (70% scale score)	Demonstrated progress on assessments, but not yet meeting grade level expectations as demonstrated on the CCPS Quarterly Benchmark score below proficient (70% scale score)	Demor lack of progre progre monito assessi Quarte Benchi scale s below	ss on ss oring ments erly mark core
Number of times a week intervention p	rovided 5	Number of minu	tes per intervention so	ession	15-45 min

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Regular monitoring of Tier II student progress and classroom observations will identify students and/or classrooms not making progress. Reading Leadership Team and District staff will evaluate the Tier 2 strategies and determine necessary adjustments and needed support.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Elementary: LLI/Strong/WWC

Link: https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/1287

Secondary: Read 180/Moderate/WWC

Link: https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/742

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Virtual students that require Tier 2 interventions will continue to receive instruction with supplemental resources. Students will be provided with small group, differentiated instruction and appropriate supports.

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: The student scores significantly below proficiency level on the state accountability assessment and scores up to two or more years below grade level on iReady and has not made progress with Tier 2 interventions (elementary) or scores a lexile level below 600 as indicated on the Scholastic Reading Inventory and below 20 on the Scholastic Phonics Inventory (secondary)							
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions							
	Immediate, intensive intervention:							
ions	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 3 Progress Monitoring				
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Burution		sment & quency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance that would changes to intervent	prompt Tier 3		
	K-5 Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI), small group instruction, 30 minutes daily	Weekly assessi Quarte Benchr assessi iReady Diagno	ment Irly mark ments	Significant growth and consistent data points that indicate students meeting grade level expectations CCPS Quarterly Benchmark Proficient score (60% scale score) iReady score of 10 to 15 percentile.	of progress or progress or progress mon assessments Quarterly Benchmark so score below 5 iReady score 10 percentile.			
	Secondary: System 44 supplemental program, small group instruction, 45 minutes daily	Weekly monitor System Studen progre Readin Phonic inventor times a Quarte Benchr assessi	oring of 1 44 t App ss g and s ory 3 t year orly mark	Improvement on assessments to the level prescribed for Tier 2: Lexile level >600 Phonics Inventory score >20	Demonstrated lack of progress on progress monitoring assessments Quarterly Benchmark scale score below 50%			
	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.							
	Number of times a week intervention pro	5	Number of minutes per inte session	ntervention 20-45 min				

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?

Regular monitoring of Tier 3 student progress and classroom observations will identify students and/or classrooms not making progress. Reading Leadership Team and District staff will evaluate the Tier 3 strategies and determine necessary adjustments and needed support.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Elementary: LLI/Strong/WWC

Link: https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/1287

Secondary: Read 180/Moderate/WWC

Link: https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Intervention/742

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Virtual students that require Tier 3 interventions will continue to receive instruction with supplemental resources. Students will be provided with small group, differentiated instruction and appropriate supports.