SPRING 2016 Provider Training

Quality Assurance and Compliance Onsite Monitoring

www.FLDOE.org
Spring 2016 Provider Training Webinar

December 10, 2015
10:00 AM – 12:00 PM (EST)

Webinar registration link: www.gotomeeting.com/webinar/homepage
Call number: 1-888-670-3525
Participant Access Code: 4632608161 then press #
Please minimize background noise during the webinar.

• Please keep your phone on mute to listen to the webinar. This eliminates background noise and discussions from disrupting the webinar.

• If your phone does not have a mute button, press *6 to mute and press *6 to unmute.
FACILITATOR

Tashi Williams, Compliance Director

Quality Assurance and Compliance Section
Division of Career and Adult Education
850-245-9033
Objectives of Conference Call

To provide participants with information regarding grant program monitoring scheduled for Fiscal Year 2015-16
Fiscal Years and Programs Monitored

• Fiscal Years (FY) monitored:
  o 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16

• Grant Programs to be monitored:
  o Adult Education (AE)
  o Carl D. Perkins (CTE)
Topics to be covered on the Call

• Why do we monitor and what is our way of work?
• Enhancements to the Risk Assessment
• How do we select agencies to monitor?
• 2016 spring agency monitoring visits
• Monitoring strategies and areas of focus
• Pre-visit, onsite and post-visit activities
• Items to have available for visit
• Post-visit monitoring report
• Examples of findings and concerns found on onsite monitoring visits
• Team introduction
• General Q & A
Quality Assurance and Compliance Team

Dr. Shahrokh Massoudi

Donna Waller

Joycelyn Brinson

Michael Swift
Why do we monitor?

• Federal and state requirement
• Accountability – program and fiscal
• Opportunity for needed corrections
• Continuous improvement and positive changes
• Recognition
What is Our Way of Work?

• Risk Analysis
• Collaboration
• Recognizes positive practices
• Identifies and communicates findings and concerns
• Supports continuous program improvement
Quality Assurance

Vision

Implementation of a risk-based system that is:

• Collaborative in nature
• Recognizes positive practices
• Identifies findings and concerns related to program quality and compliance
• Supports systemic and continuous program improvement
Risk Assessment Factors 2015-16

Risk Matrix – Operational Risk Factors (Metrics)

- Number of grants
- Total amount of agency funding
- Number of grant funds remaining
- Program Improvement Plan (PIP)*
- Office of the Auditor General/findings
- Change in director

* Denotes new data element as of 2014-2015
2015-16 Quality Assurance Enhancements

- Perkins PIP Index
- Risk score divided into quartiles
- Balanced weight between data elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Quartile/Higher</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Middle</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Middle</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Quartile</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2015-16 Risk Assessment Process

Calculation of the Risk Score

• Point Value Assigned X Weight = Total Metric Point

• Sum of all Metric Points = Agency Risk Score
### (Sample) Risk Matrix for Colleges, Districts, Non-College/Non-District Receiving Carl D. Perkins Grants

**Agency Name:** Sunshine State College  
**Target Year:** 2013-2014 (Monitoring Year 2015-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Scaling</th>
<th>Point Value</th>
<th>Point Value Assigned (PVA)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Total Metric Points (PVA X Weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget for all Perkins Grants Combined</td>
<td>Upper Quartile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>X 10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Middle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Middle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Quartile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Perkins Grants</td>
<td>4+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3-year Perkins Improvement Plan (PIP) Index</td>
<td>7.50 – 10.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.00 – 7.49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50 – 4.99</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0&lt;index&lt;2.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency CTE Program Director Change from Previous Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>X 6</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpended Funds from all Perkins Grants Combined</td>
<td>Upper Quartile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Middle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Middle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Quartile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># OAG Audit Findings</td>
<td>Upper Quartile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Middle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Middle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Quartile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X 4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AGENCY RISK SCORE:** 164
Risk Assessment Process

• 2013-14 grant data was used for risk calculations

• Monitoring will address grants from 2013-14 through 2015-16

• Additional programs in the geographical area may also be reviewed/monitored
IMPORTANT!

A high risk assessment score should NEVER be interpreted as a negative reflection on the provider.
Provider Selection 2015-16

• Agencies with the highest risk matrix may be targeted for monitoring
• Monitor both CTE and AE
• Various monitoring strategies are implemented
Quality Assurance

• Spring 2016 targeted providers for desktop or onsite monitoring:
  o Miami-Dade County School District
  o Lee County School District
  o Pinellas County School District
  o Florida Department of Corrections
  o Indian River State College
  o North Florida Community College
  o Pasco County School District
  o Florida State College at Jacksonville
Monitoring Process

• Pre-visit, onsite and post-visit activities
• Records and data reviews (all phases)
• Direct observation (onsite)
• Interviews (onsite)
  o Phone calls, emails
  o Referrals
• Resolution Plans:
  o Corrective Action Plans (Findings)
  o Action Plan (Concerns)
Pre-visit Activities

• Notification (personal and correspondence)
• Webinar training for providers
• Team leader coordination
• Coordination with DOE Team Leader:
  o Confirmation of agency contact (preferably one designee per agency for AE and CTE programs)
  o Coordination and communication with DOE team leader
  o Develop site visit schedule
Information Required

• Organizational chart
• List of administrative staff with duties relevant to these grants, including location and contact numbers
• If available, a map of the district/county indicating locations of program sites
• List of all AE and/or CTE program sites including:
  o Name, address and zip code
  o Principal/Dean/Director name, email and phone number
  o Hours of operation
• Agency’s list of documents (AE and CTE related) that are required to be contained in a student’s record
On-site Activities

• Entrance Conference – 1 hour maximum
  o Provider presentations optional
  o Discussion of monitoring

• Interviews
  o Administrators
  o Teachers/Instructors
  o Students
  o Staff/Faculty

• Observations

  o Student
  o Finance
  o Personnel
  o Data
On-site Activities - continued

- Equipment Inventory
  - According to the Uniform Grant Guidance (UGG) – (2015-16 grants)
    The final rule implementing the UGG was published in the Federal Register on December 19, 2014, and becomes effective for new and continuation awards issued on or after December 26, 2014.
- Perkins Program Improvement Plan (PIP), if applicable
- Exit conference
Have available on the first day...

• Access to records for:
  o FY 2013-14
  o FY 2014-15
  o FY 2015-16
• Policy/handbook for employees
• PLEASE DO NOT MAKE COPIES!
• Handbooks and materials for students (include student enrollment packet)
• Attendance policies
• Recruitment efforts
• General policies and procedures
• Governing board and advisory committee(s) related documents (may be a procedures manual)
First Day - continued

- Recruitment activities and materials for agency’s programs
- Financial policies and procedures including those related to purchasing and procurement of contractual services, equipment and supplies
- Travel and purchasing records
- Local data procedures
- An inventory of equipment purchased with the grant funds, by site
- Maps to each AE and/or CTE class location
- Staff to alert for our visit:
  - Finance Director
  - MIS Director/Institutional Research
  - Other appropriate administrator(s) or principal(s)
- P.S. We pay for our food and drink!
Post-visit Activities

• Thank you letters & evaluation survey
• Monitoring Report
  o Draft – sent to director for feedback
    ▪ Bragging pages
  o Final- sent to agency head
• Resolution activities
  o Corrective Action Plan – for Findings
  o Action Plan – for Concerns
  o Follow-up communication
• Closure letter
Monitoring Report

Areas of Focus:
• Administration
• Data
• Learning Environments
• Student Perspective
• Curriculum and Instruction
• Financial
• Records Review
• Technology and Equipment
• Collaboration
Common Findings

• Lack of advisory committee
  o Absence of statements
  o Lack of advisory committee implementation and involvement
  o Lack of business and industry relationships with programs and parental involvement

• Safety and security findings
  Authority/Law: Life Safety Code 101 (includes schools and colleges), Florida Fire Prevention Code, National Fire Protection Association 1 (NFPA1) - Chapter 60 and 66
  o Exposed wires and extension cords
  o Safety rules not posted (e.g., auto, welding)
  o Lack of ramp for wheelchair accessibility
Common Findings, continued

• Property inventory findings
  Authority/Law: EDGAR, Section 80.32 Equipment, (d) (1)
  o Incomplete or lack of inventory for equipment
  o Property not properly tagged
  o Lack of procedures for properly disposing certain inventory items

• Procurement findings
  Authority/Law: 34 CFR 80.36(b) – Procurement Standards
  o Lack of policies and procedures for contracting and/or purchasing goods and services
  o Timesheets were not signed by the employee or the supervisor
  o Executive director approved his/her own travel authorization forms
  o There were several checks that exceeded $10,000 and were signed only by the executive director
Common Findings, continued

• Data reporting findings
  Authority/Law: Proviso language in the annual General Appropriations Act Workforce Education, Aid to Local Governments Workforce Development from General Revenue Fund
  o Lack of timely and accurate reporting of Workforce Development Information System (WDIS) data
  o Over reporting of instructional hours

• Student records findings
  o Student records were not accessible to compliance team
Common Concerns

- Last minute spending…students must benefit
- No staff development plans
- No leadership succession plans
- Lack of coordination among MIS/data, program and financial professionals
- Outdated textbooks
- Lack of classroom supplies
- Lack of advisory committee
- Outdated policies and procedures
- Lack of attention to off-site location resource needs
- Websites out-of-date
- Physical storage space issues
Monitoring Reports

All monitoring reports are available online:

http://fldoe.org/academics/career-adult-edu/compliance
Quality Assurance and Compliance Team

Dr. Shahrokh Massoudi – (850) 245-9056
Donna Waller – (850) 245-9025
Joycelyn Brinson – (850) 245-9036
Michael Swift – (850) 245-9051

Rod Duckworth, Chancellor
Division of Career and Adult Education
Gloria Spradley-Brown, Chief
Bureau of Grants Administration and Compliance
Tools and Resources

• Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006

• Adult Education and Family Literacy Act of 1998
  http://www2.ed.gov/policy/adulted/leg/legis.html

• Education Department General Administrative Requirements (EDGAR)

• Federal Uniform Grant Guidance (Uniform Administrative Requirements,
  Cost Principles, and Audit Requirement for Federal Awards)
  http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr200_main_02.tpludit-requirements-for-
  federal-awards
Tools and Resources - continued

• Quality Assurance Policies, Procedures, and Protocols
  http://fldoe.org/academics/career-adult-edu/compliance

• Florida Department of Education, Career and Adult, Compliance
  http://www.fldoe.org/academics/career-adult-edu/compliance

• Florida Department of Education “Green Book”
  http://www.fldoe.org/finance/contracts-grants-procurement/grants-management

• Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014
  http://www.doleta.gov/WIOA/
[ Q & A]

We welcome your comments, feedback and suggestions
Thank you!