



## INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS ADMINISTRATOR

Welcome Cathy Seeds!

Home

Change Password

Log-out

### Recommendation

Yes

**Comments:** Content well organized and presented. Information delivered in teachable segments making it easier for both teacher and students. Could use evaluation criteria for skills evaluation, and more coverage of estimating materials. Good curriculum.

**Notation:** No notations deemed necessary.

### Material for Review

**Course:** Brick and Block Masonry (8722900)

**Title:** Florida Masonry (Bundle) , Edition: 1

**Copyright:** 2015

**Author:** NCCER

**Grade Level:** 9 - 12

### Content

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Alignment with curriculum 1.** A. The content aligns with the state's standards and benchmarks for subject, grade level and learning outcomes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

2. A. The content is written to the correct skill level of the standards and benchmarks in the course.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

3. A. The materials are adaptable and useful for classroom instruction.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Good navigational features in curriculum. Study guide correlates well to desired outcome of each section.

**B. Level of Treatment** 4. B. The materials provide sufficient details for students to understand the significance of topics and events.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Excellent graphics and illustrations to expand on reading material.

5. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the standards.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

6. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the student abilities and grade level.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Text and curriculum might be a little difficult for early high school programs.

7. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the time period allowed for teaching.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**C. Expertise for Content Development** 8. C. The primary and secondary sources cited in the materials reflect expert information for the subject.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

9. C. The primary and secondary sources contribute to the quality of the content in the materials.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**D. Accuracy of Content** 10. D. The content is presented accurately. (Material should be devoid of typographical or visual errors).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

11. D. The content of the material is presented objectively. (Material should be free of bias and contradictions and is noninflammatory in nature).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

12. D. The content of the material is representative of the discipline? (Material should include prevailing theories, concepts, standards, and models used with the subject area).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Would be nice for some sustainable building practices such as LEED ratings and practices be included in the text materials.

13. D. The content of the material is factual accurate. (Materials should be free of mistakes and inconsistencies).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**E. Currency of Content** 14. E. The content is up-to-date according to agriculture industry standards of practice.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Would be nice for some sustainable building practices such as LEED ratings and practices be included in the text materials.

15. E. The content is presented to the curriculum, standards, and benchmarks in an appropriate and relevant context.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

16. E. The content is presented in an appropriate and relevant context for the intended learners.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**F. Authenticity of Content** 17. F. The content includes connections to life in a context that is meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

18. F. The material includes interdisciplinary connections which are intended to make the content meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Would like to see more emphasis placed on the math aspects of materials estimating.

**G. Multicultural Representation** 19. G. The portrayal of gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, cultural, religious, physical, and various social groups are fair and unbiased. (Please explain any unfair or biased portrayals in the comments section).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**H. Humanity and Compassion** 20. H. The materials portray people and animals with compassion, sympathy, and consideration of their needs and values and exclude hard-core pornography and inhumane treatment. (An exception may be necessary for units covering animal welfare).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

21. In general, is the content of the benchmarks and standards for this course covered in the material.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The only benchmark areas that seem to be missing are those relating to scientific research, questioning, and investigations which could be covered in a prerequisite course.

## Presentation

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the

evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Comprehensiveness of Student and Teacher Resources** 1. A. The comprehensiveness of the student resources address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional teaching materials for the course.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Very complete curriculum. Good study guides and review questions relative to standards.

**B. Alignment of Instructional Components** 2. B. All components of the major tool align with the curriculum and each other.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**C. Organization of Instructional Materials** 3. C. The materials are consistent and logical organization of the content for the subject area.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Curriculum organization follows practical applications in this trade area.

**D. Readability of Instructional Materials** 4. D. Narrative and visuals engage students in reading or listening as well as in understanding of the content at a level appropriate to the students' abilities.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**E. Pacing of Content** 5. E. The amount of content presented at one time or the pace at which it is presented must be of a size or rate that allows students to perceive and understand it.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

curriculum breaks down content into manageable sized units for student comprehension.

**Accessibility** 6. The material contains presentation, navigation, study tool and assistive supports that aid students, including those with disabilities, to access and interact with the material. (For assistance refer to the answers on the UDL questionnaire).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

7. In general, how well does the submission satisfy PRESENTATION requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Presentation section).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Power point supplements assist curriculum in a very orderly progression. Easy to navigate between text and curriculum text.

## Learning

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT

- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT  
 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT  
 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT  
 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Motivational Strategies** 1. A. Instructional materials include features to maintain learner motivation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**B. Teaching a Few "Big Ideas"** 2. B. Instructional materials thoroughly teach a few important ideas, concepts, or themes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Well organized and good "chunking" of information for students to be able to work with.

**C. Explicit Instruction** 3. C. The materials contain clear statements of information and outcomes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

good use of illustrations to clarify text materials.

**D. Guidance and Support** 4. D. The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully become more independent learners and thinkers.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

5. D. Guidance and support must be adaptable to developmental differences and various learning styles.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**E. Active Participation of Students** 6. E. The materials engage the physical and mental activity of students during the learning process.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Good selection of activities incorporated into text curriculum.

7. E. Rate how well the materials include organized activities that are logical extensions of content, goals, and objectives.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**F. Targeted Instructional Strategies** 8. F. Instructional materials include the strategies known to be successful for teaching the learning outcomes targeted in the curriculum requirements.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Not many strategies for working with students with disabilities and more diverse populations. All public schools are required to provide diversity strategies.

9. F. The instructional strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in teaching the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**G. Targeted Assessment Strategies**10. G. The materials correlate assessment strategies to the desired learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Could help to add some grading criteria / rubric for evaluation activities.

11. G. the assessment strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in assessing the learners' performance with regard to the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**Universal Design for Learning**12. This submission incorporates strategies, materials, activities, etc., that consider the needs of all students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

13. In general, does the submission satisfy LEARNING requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Learning section.)

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Curriculum well organized and presented to targeted audience.

If you have questions contact Office of Instructional Materials, [imstaff@fldoe.org](mailto:imstaff@fldoe.org) or 850-245-0425

---

[DOE Home](#) | [Commissioner](#) | [Board of Education](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [DOE Paperless](#) | [Open Government](#) | [Site Index](#)

For questions & comments regarding education issues: [Commissioner@fldoe.org](mailto:Commissioner@fldoe.org)

| For questions & comments regarding this Web site: [E-mail Webmaster](#)  
[Accessibility](#) | [Copyright Florida Department of Education ©2005](#) | [Privacy Statement](#) | [Public Records](#)  
 Free Downloads: [Acrobat Reader](#) | [Excel Viewer 2003](#) | [Word Viewer 97/2000](#) | [PowerPoint Viewer 2003](#)

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public-records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.