



## INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS ADMINISTRATOR

Welcome **Cathy Seeds!**

[Home](#)
[Change Password](#)
[Log-out](#)

### Recommendation

Yes

**Comments:** I feel this material is extremely comprehensive and diverse. If I were to teach this course, I would definitely purchase this material.

**Notation:** These materials provide enough comprehensive lessons for a full year course in video game design.

### Material for Review

**Course:** Game & Simulations Foundation (8208200)

**Title:** Video Game Design Foundations , Edition: 2nd

**Copyright:** 2014

**Author:** Ploor

**Grade Level:** 9 - 12

### Content

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Alignment with curriculum** 1. A. The content aligns with the state's standards and benchmarks for subject, grade level and learning outcomes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

The material covers nearly every standard listed for program #8208200

2. A. The content is written to the correct skill level of the standards and benchmarks in the course.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

3. A. The materials are adaptable and useful for classroom instruction.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The software design guide in particular includes excellent relevant and rigorous classroom assignments. The QR codes that lead to pre & post tests, vocabulary games and flashcards is a great resource for students to use with their smartphones.

**B. Level of Treatment**4. B. The materials provide sufficient details for students to understand the significance of topics and events.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Subject matter is covered thoroughly with lots of practice.

5. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the standards.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Charts and graphics embedded in the material help create a high level of complexity that is not too difficult for students to understand.

6. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the student abilities and grade level.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Perfect for grades 9-12, but could also work for middle school grade 8. Very complex without being too difficult.

7. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the time period allowed for teaching.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Perfect amount of material for a 1 year course.

**C. Expertise for Content Development**8. C. The primary and secondary sources cited in the materials reflect expert information for the subject.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

9. C. The primary and secondary sources contribute to the quality of the content in the materials.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The software guide provides lots of correlating practice.

**D. Accuracy of Content**10. D. The content is presented accurately. (Material should be devoid of typographical or visual errors).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Although working with the book on a daily basis for a year might reveal an error, none were detected during this review.

11. D. The content of the material is presented objectively. (Material should be free of bias and contradictions and is noninflammatory in nature).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

12. D. The content of the material is representative of the discipline? (Material should include prevailing theories, concepts, standards, and models used with the subject area).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

13. D. The content of the material is factual accurate. (Materials should be free of mistakes and inconsistencies).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

**E. Currency of Content** 14. E. The content is up-to-date according to agriculture industry standards of practice.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

15. E. The content is presented to the curriculum, standards, and benchmarks in an appropriate and relevant context.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

16. E. The content is presented in an appropriate and relevant context for the intended learners.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

**F. Authenticity of Content** 17. F. The content includes connections to life in a context that is meaningful to students.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Connections to employment in the game design field is present and obvious.

18. F. The material includes interdisciplinary connections which are intended to make the content meaningful to students.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

The content is inherently meaningful to any student who would elect to take a course in game design.

**G. Multicultural Representation** 19. G. The portrayal of gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, cultural, religious, physical, and various social groups are fair and unbiased. (Please explain any unfair or biased portrayals in the comments section).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

There is no evidence of bias.

**H. Humanity and Compassion** 20. H. The materials portray people and animals with compassion, sympathy, and consideration of their needs and values and exclude hard-core pornography and inhumane treatment. (An exception may be necessary for units covering animal welfare).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

The material stresses the need for teamwork, respect and compromise

21. In general, is the content of the benchmarks and standards for this course covered in the material.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

## Presentation

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the

evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Comprehensiveness of Student and Teacher Resources**1. A. The comprehensiveness of the student resources address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional teaching materials for the course.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Very comprehensive. The online material (QR codes) is an excellent addition. The teacher will however need MS Office and Game Factory 2 installed on computers to follow the course material.

**B. Alignment of Instructional Components**2. B. All components of the major tool align with the curriculum and each other.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Excellent scope and sequence, and text, software guide and instructor's resources all align with each other.

**C. Organization of Instructional Materials**3. C. The materials are consistent and logical organization of the content for the subject area.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

The content builds on itself and is organized in a logical order of instruction.

**D. Readability of Instructional Materials**4. D. Narrative and visuals engage students in reading or listening as well as in understanding of the content at a level appropriate to the students' abilities.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Charts, graphics and visuals enhance the level of comprehension of the material and are aesthetically engaging.

**E. Pacing of Content**5. E. The amount of content presented at one time or the pace at which it is presented must be of a size or rate that allows students to perceive and understand it.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Content is chunked in easy to digest amounts with lots of practice to support understanding.

**Accessibility**6. The material contains presentation, navigation, study tool and assistive supports that aid students, including those with disabilities, to access and interact with the material. (For assistance refer to the answers on the UDL questionnaire).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

Text-to-speech is not available, however, braille and closed captioning are available. The QR codes that allow smartphone and mobile study opportunities are very helpful.

7. In general, how well does the submission satisfy PRESENTATION requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Presentation section).

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    **FAIR ALIGNMENT**    **POOR ALIGNMENT**    **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

The content provides a well organized presentation that covers the state standards in a comprehensive manner allowing plenty of material and practice for student understanding for a one year course in game design. Furthermore, the content provides enough material that the instructor should not need any additional resources.

## Learning

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Comments are strongly encouraged to justify each rating. Please use the Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating.
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Career and Technical Education Specifications for the 2015-16 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

**A. Motivational Strategies**1. A. Instructional materials include features to maintain learner motivation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There are plenty of hands on activities designing games to keep students motivated using the software design guide

**B. Teaching a Few "Big Ideas"**2. B. Instructional materials thoroughly teach a few important ideas, concepts, or themes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The material covers the "Big Ideas" of good game design, cooperation and team work, and job skills.

**C. Explicit Instruction**3. C. The materials contain clear statements of information and outcomes.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The software design guide gives step-by-step instruction on creating specific games and explains that accommodations will need to be made for software versions that are different from those described in the guide.

**D. Guidance and Support**4. D. The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully become more independent learners and thinkers.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Additional activities are suggested to allow students to become independent learners.

5. D. Guidance and support must be adaptable to developmental differences and various learning styles.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The material is presented in a variety of media including written, power point, video, and executable files.

**E. Active Participation of Students**6. E. The materials engage the physical and mental activity of students during the learning process.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT    **GOOD ALIGNMENT**    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

7. E. Rate how well the materials include organized activities that are logical extensions of content, goals, and objectives.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The material is extremely comprehensive and includes grading rubrics, answer keys, standards, goals, lesson plans, etc.

**F. Targeted Instructional Strategies**8. F. Instructional materials include the strategies known to be successful for teaching the learning outcomes targeted in the curriculum requirements.

**VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**    GOOD ALIGNMENT    FAIR ALIGNMENT    POOR ALIGNMENT    VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

9. F. The instructional strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in teaching the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT   **GOOD ALIGNMENT**   FAIR ALIGNMENT   POOR ALIGNMENT   VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:  
The strategies are sound.

**G. Targeted Assessment Strategies** 10. G. The materials correlate assessment strategies to the desired learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT   GOOD ALIGNMENT   FAIR ALIGNMENT   POOR ALIGNMENT   VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

11. G. the assessment strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in assessing the learners' performance with regard to the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT   GOOD ALIGNMENT   FAIR ALIGNMENT   POOR ALIGNMENT   VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

**Universal Design for Learning** 12. This submission incorporates strategies, materials, activities, etc., that consider the needs of all students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT   GOOD ALIGNMENT   FAIR ALIGNMENT   POOR ALIGNMENT   VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:  
The material has a wide variety of materials and activities that should keep students engaged, and allow them to learn how to develop video games in an organized manner.

13. In general, does the submission satisfy LEARNING requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Learning section.)

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT   GOOD ALIGNMENT   FAIR ALIGNMENT   POOR ALIGNMENT   VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:  
The submission has more than enough diverse, comprehensive and organized material to meet the learning requirements for a 1 year course in video game design. Students should find the material engaging and diverse enough for multiple learning styles, and to foster independent learning

If you have questions contact Office of Instructional Materials, [imstaff@fldoe.org](mailto:imstaff@fldoe.org) or 850-245-0425

[DOE Home](#) | [Commissioner](#) | [Board of Education](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [DOE Paperless](#) | [Open Government](#) | [Site Index](#)

For questions & comments regarding education issues: [Commissioner@fldoe.org](mailto:Commissioner@fldoe.org)

| For questions & comments regarding this Web site: [E-mail Webmaster](#)

[Accessibility](#) | [Copyright Florida Department of Education ©2005](#) | [Privacy Statement](#) | [Public Records](#)  
Free Downloads: [Acrobat Reader](#) | [Excel Viewer 2003](#) | [Word Viewer 97/2000](#) | [PowerPoint Viewer 2003](#)

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public-records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.