CALHOUN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DIGITAL CLASSROOM PLAN

The intent of the District Digital Classroom Plan (DCP) is to provide a perspective on what the district considers being vital and critically important in relation to digital learning implementation, the improvement of student performance outcomes, and how this progress will be measured. The plan shall meet the unique needs of students, schools and personnel in the district as required by s.1011.62(12)(b), F.S.

Part I. DIGITAL CLASSROOMS PLAN - OVERVIEW

I.1 District Mission and Vision statements

Title/Role	Name:	Email/Phone:
Information Technology District	David Simpson	david.simpson@calhounflschools.org
Contact		
Curriculum/Instructional/Assessment	Kay Tipton	kay.tipton@calhounflschools.org
District Contact		
Finance District Contact	Elaine Barber	elaine.barber@calhounflschools.org
District Leadership Contact	Vicki Davis	vicki.davis@calhounflschools.org
District Technology Coach	Tiffany Nichols	tiffany.nichols@calhounflschools.org
School Contact-Altha Public School	Sara Kay Waldorff	sara.waldorff@calhounflschools.org
School Contact-Blountstown	Tracie Taylor	tracie.taylor@calhounflschools.org
Elementary School		
School Contact-Blountstown High	Tracy Wood	tracy.wood@calhounflschools.org
School		
School Contact-Blountstown Middle	Stephanie	stephanie.brogden@calhounflschools.org
School	Brogden	
School Contact-Carr Elementary and	Treva McCroan	treva.mccroan@calhounflschools.org
Middle School		

I.2 Planning Process

School and district representatives were charged with the task of developing a technology plan. Committee members include district staff, assistant principals, the District Technology Coach, and a media specialist.

Input based on individual needs was solicited from the school representatives. School-based needs assessment surveys, school improvement plans, technology resources inventory and student achievement were also reviewed and analyzed. Goals and objectives were identified to meet the district's technology needs.

1.3 Technology Integration Matrix (TIM)

School based members of the DCP team will be trained in the use of the Technology Integration Matrix using *iTeach Professional Learning*. All teachers will complete the TUPS (survey) once per semester. This data will be used to determine professional development needs for classroom teachers. The district technology coach will provide the appropriate training based on teacher feedback. The TIM-O

observation tool will be implemented once per year in the second semester. Observations will be conducted by the school based members of the DCP team, as well as the district technology coach. The purpose of the TIM-O will be to assess and monitor technology integration in the district.

I.4 Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)

Calhoun County School District uses Florida's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) as its model to support student academic and behavior needs. The district and schools use data to problem solve and integrate academic and behavioral instruction and intervention in direct proportion to student needs.

The three levels of support are defined as follows:

Tier I

This level of support provides Interventions to all students in the classroom. Interventions maybe research based, but are not necessarily prescriptive. The interventions consist of school-wide, universal core curriculum. At this level, the core curriculum should be effective with at least 80% of all students.

The teacher begins with whole class instructional strategies. Instruction is differentiated as needed with flexible small groups and other differentiation strategies. Students are monitored and student progress assessed using authentic result measures.

Tier II

This level of support is implemented when assessment data indicates a student is not making adequate progress from universal instruction alone. Students are provided generally smaller group interventions designed to meet the specific needs of a student and his/her peers with similar needs. These interventions must be evidence based strategies.

Students in general education classrooms that have not met benchmarks through whole class and differentiated instruction are provided strategic interventions. These interventions do not replace classroom instruction but support classroom instruction by focusing on specific deficits. Strategic interventions should be conducted by the classroom teacher or other trained individuals with groups of five or fewer students. Interventions are targeted to the identified area of need. If a significant number of students appear to be in need of Tier 2 instruction, the effectiveness of Tier I (core) instruction must be re-evaluated.

Tier III

This level of support is the most intensive. Interventions are those which offer a student highly individualized, systematic and explicit instruction in the area of assessed need. Although the programs or strategies may be similar to those offered at Tier II, the intervention is classified as "intensive" if it is individualized to meet the needs of a particular student and the duration and/or intensity of the intervention is increased to accelerate student response. Intensity is increased when instructional time is increased and group size is decreased.

I.5 District Policy

Type of Policy	Brief Summary of Policy	Web Address	Date of Adoption
Student data safety, security and privacy	The District has an Information Security Incident Response Plan that provides guidelines and procedures to be used in case of an incident that would threaten the security, confidentiality, integrity and/or availability of the district's information assets, information systems, and/or networks that deliver information.	NA	6/14/2012
District teacher evaluation components relating to technology	The Instructional Evaluation System uses the Art and Science of Teaching by Dr. Robert Marzano as its framework. Domain II: Planning and Preparing provides for the use of available technology resources in the design and delivery of instruction.	http://calhounflschools.org/teacher- evaluation-system	11/12/15
Bring Your Own Device Policy	NA	NA	NA
Policy for refresh of devices (students and teachers)	NA	NA	NA
Acceptable/Responsi ble Use Policy (student, teachers, admin)	The District provides a network accessible to all staff and eligible students as part of its overall goal of improving education.	Student Code of Conduct and Discipline page 40 http://calhounflschools.org/code-of- conduct	7/16/15
		Employee Handbook page 18 http://calhounflschools.org/employee -handbook	7/16/15
Master Inservice Plan (MIP) technology components	Technology of Educational Leaders & Technology in the Classroom/Digital Curriculum	http://www.paec.org/MIP.pdf	8/13/15

Part II. DIGITAL CLASSROOMS PLAN -STRATEGY

STEP 1 – Need Analysis:

Districts will identify current district needs based on student performance outcomes and other key measurable data elements for digital learning.

- A. Student Performance Outcomes
- B. Digital Learning and Technology Infrastructure
- C. Professional Development
- D. Digital Tools
- E. Online Assessments

A. Student Performance Outcomes—Needs Analysis

Student	Performance Outcomes (Required)	Baseline 2014 % of students scoring Level 3 or above on FCAT	Target % of students scoring Level 3 or above on FSA	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
1.	ELA Student Achievement	64	65	2016
2.	Math Student Achievement	67	70	2016
3.	Science Student Achievement			
	Grade 5 FCAT Science	61	65	2016
	Grade 8 FCAT Science	52	56	2016
4.	Science Student Achievement-Biology	69	72	2016
5.	ELA Learning Gains	69	70	2016
6.	Math Learning Gains	70	72	2016
7.	ELA Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%	64	65	2016
8.	Math Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%	60	64	2016
9.	Overall, 4-year Graduation Rate	81	85	2016
10.	Acceleration Success Rate	65	68	2016

B. Digital Learning and Technology Infrastructure—Needs Analysis

	Infrastructure Needs Analysis (Required)	Baseline Fall 2014	Actual Spring 2015	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
II.B.1.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	4.19 :1	3.17:1	1:1	2019	2.17
II.B.2.	Count of student instructional desktop computers meeting specifications	422	588	1748	2017	1160
II.B.3.	Count of student instructional mobile computers (laptops) meeting specifications	88	91	418	2019	327
II.B.4.	Count of student web-thin client computers meeting specifications	0	0	0	0	NA
II.B.5.	Count of student large screen tablets meeting specifications	0	3	1500	2020	1497
II.B.6.	Percent of schools meeting recommended bandwidth standard	40%	20%	100%	2017	80%
II.B.7.	Percent of wireless classrooms (802.11n or higher)	55.24%	76.92%	100%	2016	23.08%
II.B.8.	District completion and submission of security assessment (Districts will complete the security assessment provided by the FDOE. However under s.119.07(1) this risk assessment is confidential and exempt from public records.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
II.B.9.	District support of browsers in the last two versions	yes	yes	yes	2014	met

Infra	astructure Needs Analysis by School		Actual		Date for	
Al	tha	Baseline Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Target	Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
1.	FTE	585.41	612.47			
2.	Total Classrooms	38	38	38		
3.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	585 to 0	5.7 to 1	1 to 1	2019	
4.	Meets recommended bandwidth standard (Y/N)	N	N	Υ	2017	
5.	# of IEEE 802.11n or greater Wireless Access Point used in classroom setting	5	2	30	2017	28
6.	# of Student Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	108	513	2019	405
7.	# of Student Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	223	150	0	2017	(150)
8.	# of Student Laptops Meeting Specifications	0	0	100	2017	100
9.	# of Student Laptops Not Meeting Specifications	62	10	0	2017	(10)
10.	# of Student Large Screen Tablets (9.5 inch or higher)	2	0	400	2020	400
11.	# of Interactive Whiteboards	6	14	38	2017	24
12.	# of Document Cameras	6	19	38	2017	19
13.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	17	25	2017	8
14.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	37	30	0	2017	(30)
15.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	3	38	2017	35
16.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	22	26	0	2017	(26)
17.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	2	10	2017	8
18.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	3	1	0	2017	(1)
19.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	2	5	2017	3
20.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	1	0	0	2015	met
21.	# of Classrooms with wireless connection meeting IEEE 802.11n standard or greater	0	5	38	2017	33

Infr	astructure Needs Analysis by School		Actual		Date for	
Bl	ountstown Elementary	Baseline Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Target	Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
1.	FTE	621.28	610.51			
2.	Total Classrooms	37	35	36		
3.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	3.3 to 1	5.6 to 1	1 to 1	2019	
4.	Meets recommended bandwidth standard (Y/N)	N	N	Υ	2017	
5.	# of IEEE 802.11n or greater Wireless Access Point used in classroom setting	8	15	15	2015	met
6.	# of Student Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	188	106	511	2019	405
7.	# of Student Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	152	0	2017	(152)
8.	# of Student Laptops Meeting Specifications	0	3	100	2019	100
9.	# of Student Laptops Not Meeting Specifications	0	0	0	2015	met
10.	# of Student Large Screen Tablets (9.5 inch or higher)	3	3	400	2020	397
11.	# of Interactive Whiteboards	29	36	36	2015	met
12.	# of Document Cameras	29	36	36	2015	met
13.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	6	12	22	2017	10
14.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	10	0	2016	(10)
15.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	29	18	34	2017	16
16.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	16	0	2017	(16)
17.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	4	2	5	2017	3
18.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	3	0	2017	(3)
19.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	2	0	3	2017	3
20.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	1	0	2017	(1)
21.	# of Classrooms with wireless connection meeting IEEE 802.11n standard or greater	0	0	36	2017	36

Infr	astructure Needs Analysis by School		Actual		Date for	
BI	ountstown High	Baseline Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Target	Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
1.	FTE	385.38	410.57			
2.	Total Classrooms	31	41	41		
3.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	4.3 to 1	1.3 to 1	1 to 1	2019	
4.	Meets recommended bandwidth standard (Y/N)	N	Ν	Υ	2017	
5.	# of IEEE 802.11n or greater Wireless Access Point used in classroom setting	29	31	31	2015	met
6.	# of Student Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	89	223	273	2016	50
7.	# of Student Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	129	0	0	2015	met
8.	# of Student Laptops Meeting Specifications	0	88	138	2017	50
9.	# of Student Laptops Not Meeting Specifications	88	0	0	2015	met
10.	# of Student Large Screen Tablets (9.5 inch or higher)	0	0	300	2020	300
11.	# of Interactive Whiteboards	0	45	45	2015	met
12.	# of Document Cameras	22	22	45	2019	23
13.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	37	37	37	2015	met
14.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	0	0	2015	met
15.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	24	24	2015	met
16.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	24	0	0	2015	met
17.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	11	11	11	2015	met
18.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	0	0	2015	met
19.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	0	5	2017	7
20.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	0	0	2015	met
21.	# of Classrooms with wireless connection meeting IEEE 802.11n standard or greater	0	41	41	2015	met

	ountstown Middle	Baseline Fall 2014	Actual Spring 2015	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
1.	FTE	288.31	266.03			
2.	Total Classrooms	20	20	20		
3.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	288 to 0	3.7 to 1	1 to 1	2019	
4.	Meets recommended bandwidth standard (Y/N)	N	N	Υ	2017	
5.	# of IEEE 802.11n or greater Wireless Access Point used in classroom setting	8	9	10	2017	1
6.	# of Student Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	79	195	2018	137
7.	# of Student Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	156	100	0	2018	(100)
8.	# of Student Laptops Meeting Specifications	0	0	50	2019	50
9.	# of Student Laptops Not Meeting Specifications	30	30	0	2019	(30)
10.	# of Student Large Screen Tablets (9.5 inch or higher)	0	0	200	2020	200
11.	# of Interactive Whiteboards	16	16	20	2016	4
12.	# of Document Cameras	16	16	20	2016	4
13.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	0	15	2018	15
14.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	15	15	0	2018	(15)
15.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	0	23	2018	23
16.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	23	23	0	2018	(23)
17.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	5	5	2015	met
18.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	4	0	0	2015	met
19.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	2	5	2018	3
20.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	3	0	0	2015	met
21.	# of Classrooms with wireless connection meeting IEEE 802.11n standard or greater	0	18	20	2016	2

_	astructure Needs Analysis by School	Baseline Fall 2014	Actual Spring 2015	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved	Gap to be addressed
1.	FTE	255.1	262.73			
2.	Total Classrooms	20	21	21		
3.	Student to Computer Device Ratio meeting specification	255 to 1	3.6 to 1	1 to 1	2019	
4.	Meets recommended bandwidth standard (Y/N)	N	Ν	Υ	2017	
5.	# of IEEE 802.11n or greater Wireless Access Point used in classroom setting	7	7	10	2017	3
6.	# of Student Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	72	93	2017	165
7.	# of Student Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	95	0	0	2015	met
8.	# of Student Laptops Meeting Specifications	0	0	30	2017	30
9.	# of Student Laptops Not Meeting Specifications	29	30	0	2017	(30)
10.	# of Student Large Screen Tablets (9.5 inch or higher)	0	0	200	2020	200
11.	# of Interactive Whiteboards	4	19	21	2016	2
12.	# of Document Cameras	17	19	21	2016	2
13.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	0	15	2017	15
14.	# of Teacher Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	13	11	0	2017	(11)
15.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	19	21	2016	2
16.	# of Teacher Laptop Computers <u>Not</u> Meeting Specifications	21	0	0	2015	met
17.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	1	3	2016	2
18.	# of Admin Desktop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	3	0	0	2015	met
19.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Meeting Specifications	0	0	2	2016	2
20.	# of Admin Laptop Computers Not Meeting Specifications	0	0	0	2015	met
21.	# of Classrooms with wireless connection meeting IEEE 802.11n standard or greater	0	21	21	2015	met

C. Professional Development—Needs Analysis

Calhoun County School District will work to provide instructional personnel and staff with access to opportunities and training to assist with the integration of technology into classroom teaching.

Profess (Requir	ional Development Needs Analysis ed)	Baseline	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
II.C.1.	Average Teacher technology integration	57% Entry	40% Entry	2016
	via the TIM	31% Adoption	40% Adoption	
		7% Adaption	10% Adaption	
		2% Infusion	5% Infusion	
		3% Transform	5% Transform	
II.C.2.	Percentage of total evaluated teacher	67% Entry	40% Entry	2016
	lesson plans at each level of the TIM	33% Adoption	40% Adoption	
		0% Adaption	10% Adaption	
		0% Infusion	5% Infusion	
		0% Transform	5% Transform	

Profess	ional Development Needs Analysis	Baseline	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
II.C. 3.	Average teacher technology integration via the TIM	40%	95%	2018

D. Digital Tools—Needs Analysis

A key component to digital tools is the implementation and integration of a digital tool system that assists district instructional personnel and staff in the management, assessment and monitoring of student learning and performance.

Digita	l Tools Needs Analysis (Required)	Baseline (to be established in 2015)	Baseline (to be established in 2015)	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
	Student Access and Utilization	% of student access	% of student utilization	% of student access	
II.D.1.	A system that enables access and information about standards/benchmarks and curriculum.	100%	Unable to determine	100%	2015
II.D.2.	A system that provides students the ability to access instructional materials and/or resources and lesson plans.	0%	0%	100%	2020
II.D.3.	A system that supports student access to online assessments and personal results.	0%	0%	100%	2020
II.D.4.	A system that houses documents, videos, and information for students to access when they have questions about how to use the system.	0%	0%	100%	2020
II.D.5	A system that provides secure, rolebased access to its features and data.	0%	0%	100%	2020
-	Teachers/Administrators Assess and Utilization	% of Teacher & Admin Access	% of Teacher & Admin utilization	% of Teacher & Admin Access	
II.D.1.	A system that enables access to information about benchmarks and use it to create aligned curriculum guides.	100%	Unable to determine	100%	2015
II.D.2.	A system that provides the ability to create instructional materials and/or resources and lesson plans.	100%	Unable to determine	100%	2015
li.D.3.	A system that supports the assessment lifecycle from item creation, to assessment authoring and administration and scoring.	100%	13%	100%	2015
II.D.4.	A system that includes district staff information combined with the ability to create and manage professional development offerings and plans.	100%	100%	100%	2015

II.D.5.	A system that includes comprehensive student information that is used to inform instructional decisions in the classroom for analysis, and for communicating to students and parents about classroom activities and progress.	100%	13%	100%	2015
II.D.6.	A system that leverages the availability of data about students, district staff, benchmarks, courses, assessments and instructional resources to provide new ways of viewing and analyzing data.	100%	13%	100%	2015
II.D.7.	A system that houses documents, videos, and information for teachers, students, parents, district administrators and technical support to access when they have questions about how to use or support the system.	20%	20%	100%	2019
II.D.8.	A system that includes or seamlessly shares information about students, district staff, benchmarks, courses, assessments and instructional resources to enable teachers, students, parents and district administrators to use data to inform instruction and operational practices.	0%	0%	100%	2020
II.D.9	A system that provides secure, role- based access to its features and data for teachers, students, parents, district administrators and technical support.	100%	100%	100%	2015
	Parent Access and Utilization	% of parent access	% of parent utilization	% of parent access	
II.D.1.	A system that includes comprehensive student information which is used to inform instructional decisions in the classroom, for analysis and for communicating to students and parents about classroom activities and progress.	100%	16%	100%	2015

D	gigital Tools Needs Analysis (District Provided)	Baseline	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
	Instructional Materials	Baseline %	Target %	
II.D.1.	Percentage of instructional materials purchased and utilized in digital format (purchased for 2015-2016)	50%	90%	2017
II.D.2.	Percentage of total instructional materials implemented and utilized that are digital format (includes purchased from prior years)	50%	90%	2017
II.D.3.	Percentage of instructional materials integrated into the district Digital Tools System	0%	50%	2017
II.D.4.	Percentage of the materials in answer 2 above that are accessible and utilized by teachers	50%	90%	2017
II.D.5.	Percentage of the materials in answer two that are accessible and utilized by students	50%	90%	2017
II.D.6.	Percentage of parents that have access via an LIIS to their students instructional materials [ss.1006.283(2)(b)11,F.S.]	0%	100%	2019
Digital Tools Needs Analysis (District Provided)		Baseline	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
II.D.8.	Purchases and implementation activities will support CAPE digital tool opportunities and courses.	Purchases and implementation at BMS and Altha	All Elementary and Middle Schools	2018

Online Assessments—Need Analysis

Online	Assessments Needs Analysis (Required)	Baseline (to be established in 2015)	Target	Date for Target to be Achieved (year)
II.E.1.	Computers/devices available for statewide FSA/EOC computer-based assessment Altha-71; BES-92; BHS-130;	513 Altha-71 BES-92 BHS-130 BMS-100 Carr-83 CARE/ALC-37	650	2017
II.E.2	Percent of schools reducing the amount of scheduled time required to complete statewide FSA/EOC computer-based assessment	5%	30%	2017

STEP 2 - Goal Setting:

Calhoun County School District has identified goals for integrating technology into all aspects of the educational system. These goals are focused on improving education for all students including those with disabilities.

- 1. Students will maintain high levels of academic achievement and be prepared to enter postsecondary with the skills necessary to succeed.
- 2. Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.
- 3. All teachers will have opportunities for differentiated professional development allowing for the implementation, integration and use of digital learning into the curriculum.
- 4. A digital tool system will assist district instructional personnel and staff in the management, assessment, and monitoring of student learning and performance.
- 5. Online assessment will seamlessly integrate into the school day with minimal loss of instructional time.

STEP 3 – Strategy Setting:

Calhoun County School District knows that simply adding technology to a learning environment does not ensure that it will be integrated effectively. The use of technology in the curriculum should support higher-level learning, problem solving and critical thinking skills and directly support the student's mastery of the Florida Standards and NGSS standards across all content areas.

As the District continues to raise the level of technology integration, the use of educational technology tools will become a regular part of how students and teachers work on core curriculum learning. The District wants to see the measurable impact of technology on student achievement. Students should become better readers, writers and mathematicians because of their interaction with classroom technology. Teachers will use technology tools to assist them in making targeted instructional decisions for their students.

Goal Addressed	Strategy	Measurement	Timeline
Students will maintain high levels of academic achievement and be prepared to enter postsecondary with the skills necessary to succeed.	Increase access for ALL students to digital learning devices and tools in classrooms and other locations allowing easy access throughout the day.	 Continue to purchase student digital learning devices Continue to purchase and/or update Microsoft Office products to allow for increased student opportunity for writing 	Ongoing
Students will maintain high levels of academic achievement and be prepared to enter postsecondary with the skills necessary to succeed.	Integrate technology into the curriculum aligned with the Florida Standards.	 Continue to employ a teacher on special assignment to serve as a District Technology Coach to work with teachers as they integrate technology into their curriculum Continue to train teachers on the use of cPalms to enable them to access information to align curriculum. 	2015-2016
Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.	Upgrade infrastructure to district established standards	 Continue to purchase student digital learning devices Continue to upgrade access points Continue to upgrade network equipment 	2016 and ongoing

All teachers will have opportunities for professional development allowing for the implementation, integration and use of digital learning into the curriculum.	Employ a teacher on special assignment to serve as a District Technology Coach to oversee the implementation of the Professional Development for Digital Learning grant while providing embedded professional development.	 Continue to train teachers to align with the parameters of TIM Continue to provide a Technology Coach to allow embedded professional development and coaching during the school day. 	2016 and ongoing
A digital tool system will assist district instructional personnel and staff in the management, assessment, and monitoring of student learning and performance.	Train teachers and staff in appropriate use of the digital tool system.	Continue to provide training on the use of the district's LIIS-Performance Matters to ensure the effective and efficient use of instructional strategies that improve student achievement and drive instructional decisions.	2016 and ongoing
Online assessment will seamlessly integrate into the school day with minimal lose of instructional time.	Purchase a sufficient number of computers and/or devices required for online assessment to reduce administration and scheduling problems	Purchase computers and/or devices required for online assessments.	2016

Part III. DIGITAL CLASSROOMS PLAN - ALLOCATION PROPOSAL

The Digital Classrooms Plan and the Digital Classrooms Plan Allocation must include five key components as required by s.1011.62 (12) (b), F.S. In this section of the Calhoun County School District will outline specific deliverables that will be implemented in the current year that are funded from the Digital Classrooms Plan Allocation. The five components that are included are:

- A. Student Performance Outcomes
- B. Digital Learning and Technology Infrastructure
- C. Professional Development
- D. Digital Tools
- E. Online Assessments

A) Student Performance Outcomes

Enter the district student performance outcomes for 2015-16 that will be directly impacted by the DCP Allocation below:

Stude	nt Performance Outcomes	Baseline 2014 % of students scoring Level 3 or above on FCAT	2016 Target % of students scoring Level 3 or above on /FCAT FSA
III.A.1.	Increase FCAT Science Student Achievement	60%	70%
III.A.2.	Increase FSA ELA Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%	64%	65%
III.A.3.	Increase FSA Math Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%	60%	64%
III.A.4.	Increase 4-year Graduation Rate	81%	85%

B) Digital Learning and Technology Infrastructure

Infrasti	ructure Implementation				
	Deliverable	Estimated Completion Date	Estimated Cost	School/ District	Gap addressed from Sec II.
III.B.1.	Purchase and implement 115 new student desktop computers with tools necessary for online testing	June 2016	\$100,000	25-Altha 25-BES 25-BMS 20-BHS 20-Carr	Online assessment will seamlessly integrate into the school day and students will maintain high levels of academic achievement.
III.B.2.	Provide Blountstown Middle School and Blountstown Elementary School with a secure managed network	June 2016	\$10,000	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.
III.B.3.	Provide each school site with an upgraded infrastructure to support digital learning and online testing	June 2016	\$10,000	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.
III.B.4.	Increase bandwidth at each school to support digital learning and online testing	June 2016	\$100,000	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.

Evaluation and Success Criteria for B) Digital Learning and Technology Infrastructure:

Infrastructur	Infrastructure Evaluation and Success Criteria				
Deliverable	Monitoring and Evaluation and	Success Criteria			
(from	Process(es)				
above)					
III.B.1.	Activity will be monitored by monthly	All 115 devices installed and functioning properly			
	reporting to stakeholders	by May 2016			
III.B.2.	Activity will be monitored by monthly	BMS and BES will have a secure managed			
	reporting to stakeholders	network by June 2016			
III.B.3.	Activity will be monitored by monthly	Switches and access point will be purchased at			
	reporting to stakeholders	each school to upgrade infrastructure to support			
		digital learning and online testing by June 2016			
III.B.4.	Activity will be monitored by monthly	Bandwidth will be increased at each school with			
	reporting to stakeholders	the installation of fiber and the selection of a new			
		service provider by June 2016			

C) Professional Development

Profess	ional Development Implementat	ion			
	Deliverable	Estimated	Estimated	School/	Gap
		Completion	Cost	District	addressed
		Date			from Sec II.
III.C.1	Continue to employ a District Instructional Technology Coach to work with teachers collectively and individually to provide them with the skills to use and implement the digital technology tools.	August 2015	\$55,000	District	All teachers will have opportunities for professional development.
III.C.2	Continue to train teachers to use and implement the District's Digital Technology Tools.	June 2016	\$4,985	All Schools	All teachers will have opportunities for professional development.

Evaluation and Success Criteria for C) Professional Development:

Professional I	Professional Development Evaluation and Success Criteria				
Deliverable	Monitoring and Evaluation and	Success Criteria			
(from	Process(es)				
above)					
III.C.1	A technology coach will be	The technology coach is will work with teachers			
	employed to provide training to all	during the school day at their individual schools			
	teachers at all schools.	throughout the school year. An activity log will be			
		keep.			
III.C.2	Activity Log and/or sign-In Sheet will	TIM survey results will show the following			
	document participation.	increases:			
		40% Adoption			
		10% Adaption			
		5% Infusion			
		5% Transform			

CALHOUN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT JOB DESCRIPTION

DISTRICT INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY COACH

QUALIFICATIONS:

- 1. Valid Florida Educator's Certificate in any subject area
- 2. Bachelor's Degree or higher; Masters Degree in Educational Leadership preferred
- 3. Five (5) years of successful K-12 teaching experience with three (3) of those years emphasizing the integration of technology into the curriculum
- 4. Evidence of experience in the integration of technology into the curriculum and experience in delivering training to adults and/or teachers

REPORTS TO: Director of Instruction and Curriculum and also reports to the School Principals on a daily basis

JOB GOAL: To improve student performance by working with teachers and administration to facilitate the effective utilization and integration of technology into teaching and learning.

PERFORMANCE RESPONSIBILITES:

- 1. Collaborates with school-level instructional and administrative staff for instructional planning, coteaching, and modeling strategies for effectively integrating technology into the curriculum.
- 2. Uses the *Technology Integration Matrix* to identify technology integration competencies among instructional staff, in collaboration with school administrators and uses the results to deliver appropriate coaching, training, and resources to support professional growth of teachers.
- 3. Demonstrates appropriate use of instructional technology and other educational tools to enhance and extend instruction.
- 4. Facilitates and/or provides professional development related to instructional technology needed to support the utilization of instructional technology to enhance student learning.
- 5. Works with principals and teachers in organizing grade level department meetings in order to affect horizontal and vertical continuity and articulation of the instructional technology program throughout each school and district.
- Observes teachers in their classrooms and offers insights for the enhancement of instructional technology teaching-learning situations.
- 7. Demonstrates appropriate use of instructional technology and other educational tools to enhance and extend instruction.
- 8. Researches instructional technology related student achievement technology for the purpose of making recommendations and assisting with its implementation.
- 9. Performs other duties related to the improvement of students achievement as assigned by each school principal and/ or Director of Instruction and Curriculum.

PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS: Light work, exerting up to 20 pounds of force occasionally and/or up to 10 pounds of force frequently. If the use of arm and/or leg controls require exertion of forces greater than that for sedentary work and the worker sits most of the time.

TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT: 10 month position, 196 days, 7.5 hours per day

SALARY: Salary and benefits shall be paid consistent with the district's approved teacher salary schedule.

EVALUATION: Performance will be evaluated by the Director of Instruction and Curriculum in accordance with the Instructional Evaluation Plan.

D) Digital Tools

Implementation Plan for D) Digital Tools:

Digital '	Tools Implementation				
	Deliverable	Estimated Completion Date	Estimated Cost	School/ District	Gap addressed from Sec II.
III.D.1	Continue to offer additional CAPE digital tool certifications from the approved list.	May 2016	\$3000	Altha BMS Carr	Students will maintain high levels of academic achievement.
III.D.2	Continue to Implement Learning.com technology tools	August 2015	\$0 funded through PAEC	Altha BES BMS Carr	Students will maintain high levels of academic achievement.
III.D.3	Continue to communicate to parents and students the availability of digital tools	Ongoing	\$0	District	A digital tool system will allow parents and students to monitor learning and performance.
III.D.4	Continue to communicate to teachers the availability of digital tools	Ongoing	\$0	District	A digital tool system will assist teachers to monitor the learning and performance of their students.

Evaluation and Success Criteria for D) Digital Tools:

Digital Tools Ev	Digital Tools Evaluation and Success Criteria				
Deliverable	Monitoring and Evaluation and	Success Criteria			
(from above)	Process(es)				
III.D.1	Additional certifications will be	75% of students enrolled in classes will achieve			
	available to students	the industry certification			
III.D.2	Usage of digital tool	75% of students in grades 5-8 will use the			
		program.			
III.D.3	Usage of FOCUS	35% of students and/or parents will show usage			
		throughout the year.			
III.D.4	Usage of digital tools	75% of teachers will show increased usage			
		throughout the year.			

E) Online Assessments

Implementation Plan for E) Online Assessments:

Online A	Online Assessment Implementation						
	Deliverable	Estimated Completion Date	Estimated Cost	School/ District	Gap addressed from Sec II.		
III.E.1	Purchase and implement 115 new student desktop computers with tools necessary for online testing	June 2016	\$0	25-Altha 25-BES 25-BMS 20-BHS 20-Carr	Online assessment will seamlessly integrate into the school day and students will maintain high levels of academic achievement.		
III.E.2	Provide Blountstown Middle School and Blountstown Elementary School with a secure managed network	June 2016	\$0	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.		
III.E.3	Provide each school site with an upgraded infrastructure to support digital learning and online testing	June 2016	\$0	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.		
III.E.4	Increase bandwidth at each school to support digital learning and online testing	June 2016	\$0	District	Infrastructure will support digital learning and technology learning for all students.		

Evaluation and Success Criteria for E) Online Assessments:

Online Assessment Evaluation and Success Criteria				
Deliverable	Monitoring and Evaluation and	Success Criteria		
(from above)	Process(es)			
III.E.1	Activity will be monitored by	All 115 devices installed and functioning properly		
	monthly reporting to stakeholders	by May 2016		
III.E.2	Activity will be monitored by	BMS and BES will have a secure managed		
	monthly reporting to stakeholders	network by June 2016		
III.E.3	Activity will be monitored by	Switches and access point will be purchased at		
	monthly reporting to stakeholders	each school to upgrade infrastructure to support		
		digital learning and online testing by June 2016		
III.E.4	Activity will be monitored by	Bandwidth will be increased at each school with		
	monthly reporting to stakeholders	the installation of fiber and the selection of a new		
		service provider by June 2016		