Reviewer:	LEA:					
Initial Review Date:	Review of Revisions:		Forwarded for			
				Approval:		
SCHOOL INFORMATION						
Selecting Schools for Service		Y	Ν	Reviewer Comments		
	re all the schools selected eligible to receive services (SINI 1+)?					
Does each school provide a percentage of poverty?						
Do all schools have an allocation? Note: If LEA does not allocate funds to all schools, the LEA should						
provide an explanation why funds were not allocated to a school.						
DATA ANALYSIS DURING PROJECT PERIOD						
1.1. Is professional development of staff being provided to analyze student achieve	ement and program					
outcome data?						
1.2. Is the person/agency that will deliver the professional development identified?						
2.1. Is the frequency of the data analysis for SINI schools identified for Prevent I, Prevent II, Correct I,						
Correct II, or Intervene schools?						
2.2. Is the format for data analysis provided (professional learning communities, data chats, etc)?						
3. Is the use of the outcomes of data analysis provided?						
LEA SUPPORT TEAMS						
Activities Conducted by the LEA Support Team		Y	Ν	Reviewer Comments		
Is there a description of technical assistance provided by the LEA to Prevent I, Pre	vent II, Correct I,					
Correct II, and Intervene schools?						
Do these activities include different levels of oversight for schools in different categ	ories of					
Differentiated Accountability?						
Do the activities of the LEA Support Team align with the strategies being implement						
Is the frequency and duration of each activity conducted by the LEA Support Team						
STRATEGIES TO BE IMPLEMENTED						
Are all the schools receiving an allocation tied to a strategy?						
1a. Identify Need						
Is there a need identified?						
1b. Data Source(s) and Actual Outcome(s)						
Is there at least one data source to support the identified need?						
Is there a corresponding actual outcome for each data source listed?						
1c. Selection of School(s)						

## 2010-2011 Title I School Improvement Grants [1003(a)] Checklist

Does each strategy address at least one school in 1c?				
1d. Name of Strategy				
Is the name of each strategy provided?				
Does each strategy address the identified need?				
1e. Purpose, Description of Research of Effectiveness, and Differentiated Accountability Implem	enta	tion	Support	
Is there an adequate description of the purpose of each strategy?				
Is there a description of research of effectiveness of each strategy?				
Does each strategy support the implementation of Differentiated Accountability				
(http://flbsi.org/pdf/Final%202010-				
2011%20Strategies%20and%20Support%20Document%20for%20Regular%20Schools_June_18.pdf)				
Does each strategy support the identified need?				
1f. Root Causes				
Is a root cause identified?				
Does each root cause identified correspond with the identified need?				
Is the data/documents reviewed to determine each root cause to low academic achievement				
provided?				
Is there an explanation as to how the strategies implemented will eliminate each root cause to low				
academic achievement?				
1g. Targeted Population(s)		•		
Does each strategy indicate the targeted population(s) being addressed?				
Does the targeted population(s) correspond with the actual outcomes and identified need?				
1h. Current Capacity				
Are there state and local resources identified that will support the implementation of the strategy?				
Are there other federal resources identified that will support the implementation of the strategy (Title I,				
Public School Choice, Title II, etc.)?				
Are collaborated partners identified?				
Is the description of how strategies implemented will enhance/expand the current capacity of the LEA				
to support student academic achievement?				
1i. Frequency and Duration				
Is the frequency and duration of each strategy provided?				
2. Monitoring Implementation				
Is the person(s)/entity in charge of monitoring implementation of each strategy identified?				
3. Progress Monitoring				
Is the progress monitoring tool(s) for each strategy identified?				
4. Frequency of Progress Monitoring				
Is there a frequency of progress monitoring for each progress monitoring tool listed in each strategy?				

5. Supplement versus Supplant					
Is there sufficient clarifying information provided to support that each strategy supplements local and					
state education funds?					
6. Next Generation Strategic Imperative	•				
Does the selected Next Generation Strategic Imperative correspond with the each identified need and					
strategy?					
7. Academic Initiatives	•				
Did the LEA select the appropriate initiative as it relates to each identified strategy?					
DISSEMINATION/MARKETING					
1. Is the method(s) of disseminating/marketing of this application provided?					
2. Is the method(s) of reporting student outcomes of this application provided?					
3. Is the population(s) identified for each method of disseminating/marketing and reporting student					
outcomes of this application?					
4.1. Is the frequency of dissemination/marketing and reporting student outcomes of this application for					
each method identified?					
4.2. Is the duration of dissemination/marketing and reporting student outcomes of this application for					
each method identified?					
5. Is the information for dissemination/marketing and reporting student outcomes of this application					
supplied for speakers of other languages? Are those languages listed?					
EVALUATION OF PREVIOUS YEAR'S TITLE I SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT (see http://www.fldoe.org/bsa/title1/parta-					
1003a-1003g.asp)					
1. Was the description of the process for evaluating the outcomes of student academic achievement					
adequate?					
Did the process include:					
The data used to evaluate					
<ul> <li>Stakeholders involved in the evaluation</li> </ul>					
Reporting outcomes					
Proposed program changes					
Timeframes					
<ul> <li>Align with previous year's project</li> </ul>					
2.1. Does the description of success or failure in meeting proposed outcomes align with previous					
application?					
2.2. Does this year's application continue any listed successes in meeting program outcomes?					
2.3. Does this year's application address any listed failures in meeting program outcomes?					
3.1. Do the successes identified for program implementation correspond with the previous year's					

## 2010-2011 Title I School Improvement Grants [1003(a)] Checklist

BUDGET					