

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

---

*Members*

DR. AKSHAY DESAI

MARK KAPLAN

ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ

JOHN R. PADGET

KATHLEEN SHANAHAN

Dr. Eric J. Smith  
Commissioner of Education



April 1, 2011

Mr. Adrian H. Cline, Superintendent  
DeSoto County School District  
P. O. Drawer 2000  
Arcadia, Florida 34265-2000

Dear Superintendent Cline:

The Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services is in receipt of your district's response to the preliminary findings of its 2010-11 Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Compliance Self-Assessment. This letter and the attached document comprise the final report for DeSoto County School District's 2010-11 Level 1 and Fall Cycle Level 2 self-assessment monitoring process.

The self-assessment system is designed to address the major areas of compliance related to the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). SPP Indicator 15, Timely Correction of Noncompliance, requires that the state identify and correct noncompliance **as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification**. While any incident of noncompliance is of concern, in accordance with the language in SPP Indicator 15, the Bureau's current monitoring system considers the timeliness of correction of noncompliance to be of greatest significance.

The results of district self-assessments are included in the State's APR and are used to inform oversight activities, including the selection of districts for on-site monitoring, and the local educational agency (LEA) determinations required under Section 300.603, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, which result in districts being identified as "meets requirements," "needs assistance," "needs intervention," or "needs substantial intervention."

On January 5, 2011, the preliminary report of findings from the 2010-11 Level 1 and Fall Cycle Level 2 self-assessment process was released to your district's ESE Director. The preliminary report detailed student-specific incidents of noncompliance that required immediate correction. Districts were required to correct all student-specific noncompliance and to provide evidence to the Bureau no later than March 7, 2011. In addition, districts are required to demonstrate that they are now correctly implementing each of the standards identified as noncompliant (i.e., 100 percent compliance).

**BAMBI J. LOCKMAN**  
*Chief*

*Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services*



Florida Department of Education  
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services

2010 – 2011 Self-Assessment  
Level 1 and Fall Cycle Level 2  
DeSoto County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard

This report provides a summary of the district's results and must be used when developing corrective actions. See the *Student Report: Incidents of Noncompliance* for student-specific findings. Results are reported by standard, and are based on the following:

Number of DJJ protocols completed: 7  
Number of standards per DJJ: 31  
Number of IEP protocols completed: 5  
Number of standards per IEP: 37  
Number of T16 protocols completed: 5  
Number of standards per T16: 16

Total number of protocols: 17  
Total number of standards: 482  
Total number of incidents of noncompliance (NC): 0  
Overall % incidents of noncompliance: 0%

Total number of different standards assessed: 84  
Total number of different standards for which noncompliance was identified: 0  
% of different standards for which noncompliance was identified: 0%

Percent of noncompliance is calculated as the # of incidents of noncompliance for a given standard divided by the # of protocols reviewed for that standard, multiplied by 100.

\* **Correctable for the student(s):** A finding which requires immediate action(s) to correct the noncompliance

\*\* **Ensure future compliance:** For findings which cannot be corrected for individual students, corrective actions are required to address how the district will ensure future compliance

**No findings of noncompliance!**