Walton County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

Contact Information

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Secondary ELA	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Reading Endorsement	Myca Chandler	Coordinator of Instructional Supports	myca.chandler@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Reading Curriculum	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Professional Development	Myca Chandler	Coordinator of Instructional Supports	myca.chandler@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Assessment	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Data Element	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
Summer Reading Camp	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100
3 rd Grade Promotion	Crystal Appel	Supervisor, Curriculum & Instruction	appelc@walton.k12.fl.us	850-892-1100

Plan Information

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collaborates with the Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent, Literacy Coaches and school-based teams including Principals and Teachers.

In July, the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction will share the District Reading Plan with all Principals and will facilitate a discussion regarding the implications of the District Reading Plan such as scheduling, assessment, materials and instruction considerations.

The Principals will use this information to inform their school plans. The District Literacy Coaches will create an elementary and secondary presentation of the District Reading Plan to share with each school's team at the beginning of the year to ensure that all teachers are aware of the instructional implications. To ensure all stakeholders have easy access to this information, the District Reading Plan presentations will be added to the K-12 Literacy page of the Walton County School District's website. Additionally, a link to FLDOE will be easily accessible on the district's website so that all stakeholders can access the plan.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	FLKRS/ STAR Early Literacy	Universal Screener	Literacy Coaches review each classroom's Screening Report; Literacy Coaches share trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Beginning of Year Quarterly
Phonological awareness	PAST	Diagnostic & Progress Monitoring	Teachers submit Class-wide Assessment Data Forms to their Principals; Principals share forms with Coaches; Coaches share data trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Beginning of Year Middle of Year End of Year
Phonics	Phonics First Assessment LETRS Spelling Screener	Diagnostic& Progress Monitoring	Teachers submit Class-wide Assessment Data Forms to their Principals; Principals share forms with Coaches; Coaches share data trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Beginning of Year Middle of Year End of Year
Fluency	LETRS Phonics and Word Reading Survey	Diagnostic& Progress Monitoring	Teachers submit Class-wide Assessment Data Forms to their Principals; Principals share forms with Coaches; Coaches share data trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Beginning of Year Middle of Year End of Year
Vocabulary	STAR Reading	Universal Screener; Progress Monitoring	Literacy Coaches review each classroom's Screening Report; Literacy Coaches share trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Quarterly
Comprehension	STAR Reading	Universal Screener; Progress Monitoring	Literacy Coaches review each classroom's Screening Report; Literacy Coaches share trends with Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Quarterly

6-12				
Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type	How is the data being	How often is the data
		(e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress	collected?	being collected?
		monitoring/formative, summative)		
STAR Reading	FS Benchmark Screening Reports	Progress Monitoring	Literacy Coaches review each	Three times per year
			classroom's Screening	
			Report; Literacy Coaches	
			share trends with Supervisor	
			of Curriculum and Instruction	
Tier 2: Achieve 3000 or	Achieve 3000 Level Set	Level Set: Progress Monitoring	Literacy Coaches review each	Three times per year
Language Live	Language Live Diagnostic	Language Live: Diagnostic and Progress	Tier 2 classroom's Level Set	
		Monitoring	or Diagnostic data for growth	
			and share trends with	
			Supervisor of Curriculum and	
			Instruction	

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

Data Analysis and Decision-making						
How often is the data	What problem-solving steps are in place for	What steps is the district taking	How are concerns communicated if it	Who at the district level is		
being reviewed and by	making decisions based on the data?	to see building and classroom	is determined that the K-12 Reading	responsible for providing		
whom?		level data and to share findings	Plan is not being implemented in an	plan implementation		
		with individual schools?	explicit manner, based on data to	oversight, support and		
			meet the needs of students?	follow-up?		
The Supervisor of	WCSD fully engages in the MTSS (Multi-tiered	The Supervisor of Curriculum	If it is determined that the District	The Supervisor of		
Curriculum and	System of Support) model using district-wide and	and Instruction and the	Reading Plan is not being	Curriculum and		
Instruction and the	school-wide trend data. As part of the MTSS	Deputy Superintendent	implemented in an explicit manner	Instruction is responsible		
District Literacy Coaches	approach all schools receive tiered ELA support.	review building and	based on data, the Supervisor of	for providing plan		
collaborate to collect and	Tier 1 Schools (low-risk): 80% of all students are on	classroom level data along	Curriculum and Instruction and	implementation		
analyze student progress	pace to show one year's growth and 80% of	with the school's District	the Deputy Superintendent	oversight, coordinating		
monitoring data after	students scoring Level 1 or Level 2 on STAR are on	Literacy Coach after each	reinforce the expectation that	district supports, and		
administration to inform	pace to earn a learning gain. These schools have	assessment administration.	principals will monitor and support	engaging in follow-up.		
school-based Literacy	access to district-wide professional development	This data is used to inform	full implementation of the District			
Care Plans and to identify	and supports and participate in School	School Improvement visit	Reading Plan with classroom			
district-wide trends as	Improvement visits / walkthroughs each semester.	discussions. The school's	walkthroughs, scheduling, and			
well as school-based or		leadership team collaborate	coordinating of professional			
grade level trends. The	Tier 2 Schools (moderate risk): Schools making	with the District School	development and coaching			
Supervisor of Curriculum	uneven growth will receive scheduled school-based,	Improvement team to	supports.			
and Instruction uses	supports by a District Literacy Coach as well as	discuss implications and				
school literacy data to	customized, job-embedded professional learning	supports.				
inform conversations	opportunities and more frequent School					
during School	Improvement visits.					

Improvement visits and	
district-wide trend data to	Tier 3 Schools (high risk): Schools not making
inform professional	adequate growth will receive more frequent
development sessions for	intensive, regularly scheduled visits from a District
administrators, coaches	Literacy Coach to provide feedback and support as
and teachers.	part of the coaching process as well as customized,
	job-embedded professional learning opportunities
	and quarterly School Improvement visits.

School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the practice is informed by a specific purpose?	How is the purpose communicated?	How often is the data being collected?	How is the data being shared and by whom?	How often is the data being reviewed and by whom?
Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	During scheduled School Improvement Visits the Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction meets with school administrators to discuss data and determine specific purpose for reading walkthroughs	Quarterly	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collect this data and share school trends with the Superintendent	Quarterly by the Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction
Data chats	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	During monthly Principal Meetings and scheduled School Improvement Visits	Quarterly	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collect this data and share school trends with the Superintendent	Quarterly by the Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction
Reading Leadership Team per 6A-6.053(3) F.A.C.	Deputy Superintendent, Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction and District Literacy Coaches	During monthly Principal Meetings and scheduled School Improvement Visits	Quarterly	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collect this data and share school trends with the Superintendent	Quarterly by the Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction
Monitoring of plan implementation	Deputy Superintendent, Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction and District Literacy Coaches	During monthly Principal Meetings and scheduled School Improvement Visits	Quarterly	Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collect this data and share school trends with the Superintendent	Quarterly by the Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction

Implementation and Progress-monitoring						
What problem-solving steps are in place	How are concerns communicated if it is	How will district leadership provide plan implementation				
for making decisions based on data?	determined that the plan is not being	oversight, support and follow-up?				
	implemented in a systematic and explicit manner,					
	based on data to meet the needs of students?					
WCSD fully engages in the MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Support) model using district-wide and school-wide trend data. As part of the MTSS approach all schools receive tiered ELA support. Tier 1 Schools (low-risk): 80% of all students are on pace to show one year's growth and 80% of students scoring Level 1 or Level 2 on STAR are on pace to earn a learning gain. These schools have access to district-wide professional development and supports and participate in School Improvement visits / walkthroughs each semester.	If it is determined that the District Reading Plan is not being implemented in an explicit and systematic manner based on data, the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction and the Deputy Superintendent reinforce the expectation that principals will monitor and support full implementation of the District Reading Plan with classroom walkthroughs, scheduling, and coordinating of professional development and coaching supports.	The Deputy Superintendent and Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction will provide plan implementation oversight, support and follow-up through School Improvement Visits (including classroom walkthroughs during literacy blocks and Tier 2 instruction), scheduling principal professional development informed by school data, scheduling literacy supports (District Literacy Coaches and customized teacher professional development).				
Tier 2 Schools (moderate risk): Schools making uneven growth will receive scheduled school-based, supports by a District Literacy Coach as well as customized, job-embedded professional learning opportunities and more frequent School Improvement visits.						
Tier 3 Schools (high risk): Schools not making adequate growth will receive more frequent intensive, regularly scheduled visits from a District Literacy Coach to provide feedback and support as part of the coaching process as well as customized, job-embedded professional learning opportunities and quarterly School Improvement visits.						

Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	Monthly principal meetings; district professional development events calendar; District Literacy Coach conversations; Progress Monitoring Guide; District Reading Plan	Each principal utilizes the Professional Development for ELA Teachers page in the WCSD Progress Monitoring Guide to ensure that teachers are participating in training related to multisensory reading interventions.	Each principal submits the Professional Development for ELA Teachers page from the WCSD Progress Monitoring Guide to the school's assigned Literacy Coach at the beginning of the year to ensure that multisensory reading intervention professional development is offered to teachers listed. The list is updated by the principals as new teachers join the school during the year.	The District Literacy Coaches submit the Professional Development for ELA Teachers and their coaching calendars to the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction.	The Supervisor of Curriculum follows up with the principal, District Literacy Coach and Deputy Superintendent if the requirement isn't happening.
Differentiated professional development with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth	Monthly principal meetings; district professional development events calendar; District Literacy Coach conversations, Progress Monitoring Guide; District Reding Plan	Quarterly, each principal uses data and the Professional Development for ELA Teachers page in the WCSD Progress Monitoring Guide to ensure that teachers participate in differentiated professional development, with intensity increased for those teachers whose progress monitoring data is not showing adequate growth.	Quarterly, each principal submits the Professional Development for ELA Teachers page from the WCSD Progress Monitoring Guide to the school's assigned Literacy Coach at the beginning of the year to ensure that multisensory reading intervention professional development is offered to teachers listed. The list is updated by the principals as new teachers join the school during the year.	Quarterly, the District Literacy Coaches submit the Professional Development for ELA Teachers and their coaching calendars to the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction.	The Supervisor of Curriculum follows up with the principal, District Literacy Coach and Deputy Superintendent if the requirement isn't happening.

Identification of mentor teachers	Monthly principal meetings; Professional development department communications, District Literacy Coach conversations	Classroom ELA block walkthroughs, ELA data	Principal referral to Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction supported by School Improvement Visit ELA walkthroughs, coaching conversations and teacher data	Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction at the beginning of the year and updated as new mentors are identified	The Supervisor of Curriculum follows up with the principal, District Literacy Coach and Deputy Superintendent if the requirement isn't happening.
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Monthly principal meetings; School Improvement visits; District Literacy Coach conversations	Classroom ELA block walkthroughs, ELA data	Principal referral to Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction supported by School Improvement Visit ELA walkthroughs, coaching conversations and teacher data	Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction at the beginning of the year and updated as new model classrooms are identified	The Supervisor of Curriculum follows up with the principal, District Literacy Coach and Deputy Superintendent if the requirement isn't happening.
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	Monthly principal meetings; School Improvement Visits, WCSD Professional Development Protocols	Master schedules; PLCs scheduled and agendas	Principal submission of Master Schedules to Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction and the Deputy Superintendent; school-based Professional Learning Facilitator submission of agendas and sign-in sheets to Professional Development Department	Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction and the Deputy Superintendent before the beginning of the year and updated as changes occur	The Supervisor of Curriculum follows up with the principal, District Literacy Coach and Deputy Superintendent if the requirement isn't happening.

Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	Monthly principal	Classroom walkthroughs	Classroom walkthrough data	Deputy	Quarterly
an evidence-based sequence of	meetings; School	during the ELA block;		Superintendent	
reading instruction	Improvement Visits,	lesson plans; class-wide			
_	Progress Monitoring	data			
	Guide; District				
	Reding Plan				
Small group differentiated	Monthly principal	Classroom walkthroughs	Classroom walkthrough	Deputy	Quarterly
instruction in order to meet	meetings; School	during small group	data	Superintendent	
individual student needs	Improvement Visits,	reading instruction;			
	Progress Monitoring	lesson plans; student			
	Guide; District	data			
	Reding Plan				

Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

Walton County School District (WCSD) will utilize the Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds for elementary reading coach salaries. Tiered interventions and supplemental materials are provided for students in grades K-3. WCSD will also utilize these funds for 3rd grade summer reading camps.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	27,025.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	309,961.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	100,000.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	0
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	0
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	69,980.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	10,000.00
District expenditures on professional development	10,000.00
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	0
District expenditures on summer reading camps	13,540.00
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	0
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	0
Sum of Expenditures	540,506.00
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	540,506.00

Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

Sonday System	
MaxScholar	
Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes 🗌 No 🖂	

If yes, which grade levels?

Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

WCSD fully engages in the MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Support) model using district-wide and school-wide trend data. As part of the MTSS approach all schools receive tiered ELA support.

Tier 1 Schools (low-risk): 80% of all students are on pace to show one year's growth and 80% of students scoring Level 1 or Level 2 on STAR are on pace to earn a learning gain. These schools have access to district-wide professional development and supports and participate in School Improvement visits / walkthroughs each semester.

Tier 2 Schools (moderate risk): Schools making uneven growth will receive scheduled school-based, supports by a District Literacy Coach as well as customized, job-embedded professional learning opportunities and more frequent School Improvement visits.

Tier 3 Schools (high risk): Schools not making adequate growth will receive more frequent intensive, regularly scheduled visits from a District Literacy Coach to provide feedback and support as part of the coaching process as well as customized, job-embedded professional learning opportunities and quarterly School Improvement visits.

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Communication regarding the District Literacy Coach roles and responsibilities occurs during monthly principal meetings, District Reading Plan presentations with every faculty member at each school, District Literacy Coach cadre meetings and is reinforced as necessary throughout the year.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

The Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction supervises the District Literacy Coaches and monitors whether the district's coaching plan is adhered to. The Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction shares with the Deputy Superintendent, the direct supervisor of the principals, when the coaching plan is not adhered to or supported.

Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes oxtimes No \Box

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
 - $_{\odot}$ the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data $_{\odot}$ administration and analysis of instructional assessments
 - \circ providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these requirements being communicated to principals?	How are coaches recording their time and tasks?	Who at the district level is monitoring this?	How often is the data being reviewed?	What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on the data?
During monthly principal meetings, the District Reading Plan presentations at each school and during School Improvement Visits	Outlook calendar, School Care Plan Summaries, Itinerant Sign-In Logs	Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction	Monthly	Once data is reviewed, the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction collaborates with the District Literacy Coach, Principal and Deputy Superintendent as part of the problem- solving process based on data.

Other Considerations

Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions

specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that</u> can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Lev	e Level(s): Primary: K-2					
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: <i>FLKRS / STAR Early Literacy /STAR Reading Screening Report</i> : District Benchmark At Grade Level (Green; \geq 40th PR) or On Watch (Blue \geq 25 th PR)					
THEN:	TIER 1 Only: 120 minute ELA block including 90 minutes uninterrupted					
	Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content ki provides print rich, systematic, sc incorporates writing in response includes accommodations (IEP, E. incorporates the principles of Uni includes specially designed instru	raffolded, and differentiated in to reading SOL or 504) iversal Design for Learning				
		Core Curriculum				
	Please indicate your core curriculum and modere	d how its use by the students serv ate evidence, or promising evider				
rier 1	ReadyGen : ReadyGen uses authentic texts with modeling and teacher directed activities. Rated as Meets Expectations in Text Quality, Building Knowledge and Usability by EdReports.org and meets ESSA's Promising criteria. AND Phonics First reading system OR Tyner Framework OR Saxon Phonics: Systematic, sequential, explicit phonics programs implemented with a multisensory approach Strategies used within Phonics First are consistent with those recommended in the US Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (IES) What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and University of Florida Literacy Institute. (UFLI). AND Heggerty's Phonemic Awareness (K-1) : systematic, sequential, phonemic awareness resource for teachers which includes activities that teach children to identify, detect, delete, segment or blend segments of spoken words congruent with Results First Clearinghouse second highest Positive rating.					
Ħ		Progress Monitoring				
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2			
	STAR Early Literacy Quarterly PAST, LETRS Spelling Screener Beginning, Middle & End of Year District Reading Assessments	Green or Blue (PR≥ 25) 75% or higher	Yellow or Red (PR < 25) 74% or lower			
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data revealing 80% of students scoring in Green or Blue on STAR Early Literacy or STAR Reading by AP2 AND 75% or higher on PAST AP2	ored?improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?Principalcudents scoringwalkthroughs during ELA block and District Literacy Coach reviewR Early Literacyof Class-wide Progress Monitoring data including STAR Early				
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Data indicating 80% of students have a ≥ 40 Student Growth Percentile on STAR	<i>improve effectiveness of Tie</i> that all teachers new to a cu	ce to identify and solve problems to er 1 curriculum? Principals ensure urriculum participate in onboarding nize effective implementation.			

	District Literacy Coaches collaborate with ELA teachers as part of district's Curriculum Alignment Project (CAP) to ensure curriculum is aligned to grade level standards and curriculum and to address any gaps.
	who receive instruction through distance learning? tion using these programs through virtual means.

IF:	K-2 student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: FLKRS / STAR Early Literacy/ STAR Screening Report <25 and PAST AP 2 ≤74%							
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions K-2: Daily 120-minute ELA block including 90 minutes uninterrupted reading PLUS 30 minutes Tier 2 instruction daily using a program listed below							
	 provide systematic, explicit, of are matched to the needs of provide multiple opportunitie occurs during time allotted in 							
S	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies		TIER 2 P	rogress Monitoring				
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	& Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions			
	HeadSprout	Weekly	80% on HeadSprout reports	Less than 80% on HeadSprout reports	Consistently less than 70% on HeadSprout reports			
	Imagine Learning	Weekly	80% average on Imagine Learning reports	Less than 80% on Imagine Learning reports	Consistently less than 70% on Imagine Learning reports			
	Linda Mood Bell Visualizing and Verbalizing, Seeing Stars, Lindamood-Bell Talkies for Oral Language Comprehension and Expression	Assessmen t for Visual or Concept Imagery Weekly	90% on program assessment	89%-70% on program assessment	Below 70% on program assessment on 2/5 times			
	Systematic Phonics Instruction: Lexonik Leap, Sonday Essentials, Max Scholar, Tyner, Saxon Phonics, Sonday or Phonics First	Weekly	90% average on program assessments	Less than 89% on program assessments	Consistently less than 70% on program assessments			

Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)	Weekly	95% Recc	on Running ords	90% on Running Records	89% or belo Running Re	
Great Leaps	Weekly	95% on Running Records		90% on Running Records	89% or belo Running Re	
Compass Learning	Weekly	Weekly 80% on Compass Learning Reports		Less than 80% on Compass reports	Consistentl than 70% o Compass Le reports	n
Number of times a week interve	ention provided	5	Number of r	minutes per interventio	on session	30
needing Tier 2 intervention and, growth with a Student Growth Pe Coach review of Class-wide Progr	in a healthy Tier 2 ercentile ≥40. Pri	2 grou ncipal	p, 80% or more walkthroughs	e of the Tier 2 students during Tier 2 instructio	making accele on and District	erateo Litera
alignment with core curriculum and needing Tier 2 intervention and, growth with a Student Growth Per Coach review of Class-wide Progr and teachers. Explain how the use of the prog or promising evidence. HeadSpr Imagine Learning received a Pro Strong reviews from Evidence fo Sonday and Phonics First are sys consistent recommendation from	in a healthy Tier 2 ercentile ≥40. Pri ress Monitoring d grams/materials/ rout received a Po pmising review fro pr ESSA. Lexonik L stematic, explicit m the US Departm	2 grou Incipal lata inc strate otentia om Evic eap, S phonic nent o	o, 80% or more walkthroughs cluding STAR E gies is support illy Positive rat dence for ESSA onday Essenti cs programs im f Education's I	e of the Tier 2 students during Tier 2 instructio arly Literacy and PAST ted by strong evidence, ting from What Works (A. Lindamood-Bell inter als, Max Scholar, Tyne aplemented with a mul nstitute of Education So	making accele on and District results with pr demoderate ev Clearinghouse. Tventions earn r, Saxon Phon tisensory appr ciences (IES) W	erateo Litera incipa idenco ied ics, roach /hat
needing Tier 2 intervention and, growth with a Student Growth Per Coach review of Class-wide Progr and teachers. Explain how the use of the prog or promising evidence. HeadSpr Imagine Learning received a Pro Strong reviews from Evidence fo Sonday and Phonics First are sys	in a healthy Tier 2 ercentile ≥40. Pri ress Monitoring d prams/materials/ rout received a Po omising review fro or ESSA. Lexonik L stematic, explicit m the US Departr d University of Flo e Rating from Rese (Compass Learni	2 group Incipal lata ind Strate otentia om Evic eap, S phonic nent o orida L ults Fir ng) ear	o, 80% or more walkthroughs cluding STAR E gies is support illy Positive rat dence for ESSA onday Essenti cs programs im f Education's I iteracy Institut st Clearinghou rned Strong re	e of the Tier 2 students during Tier 2 instructio arly Literacy and PAST ted by strong evidence, ting from What Works (A. Lindamood-Bell inter als, Max Scholar, Tyne pplemented with a mul nstitute of Education So te (UFLI). LLI earned a So ise. Great Leaps meets views from Evidence for	making accele on and District results with pr definition of the second clearinghouse. The second of the second ry Saxon Phon tisensory appr ciences (IES) W Strong rating fr WWC Standar or ESSA.	erate Liter incip idenc ics, ioach /hat com ds

IF:	K-2 student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Early Literacy Percentile Rank \leq 10 Percentile Rank and PAST AP 2 \leq 70%
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions K-2: Daily 120 ELA block including 90 minutes uninterrupted reading PLUS 30 minutes Tier 2 instruction PLUS 30 minutes Tier 3 interventions provided by a Reading Endorse or Reading Certified Teacher
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	 Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions

TIER 3		TIER 3 Progress Monitorin	ng
Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions
Imagine Learning	Weekly	80% average on Imagine Learning reports	Less than 80% on Imagine Learning reports
Systematic Phonics Instruction: Phonics First, Sonday, Lexonik Leap, Sonday Essentials, or Wilson with embedded multi-sensory approach	Weekly	Less than 89% on program-based assessments	Less than 70% on program-based assessments
Linda Mood Bell Visualizing and Verbalizing, Seeing Stars, Lindamood-Bell Talkies for Oral Language Comprehension and Expression	Auditory Conceptualization Assessment	90% on Program Assessment	< 90% on Program Assessment
Compass Learning	Weekly	80% average on Compass Learning reports	Less than 80% on Compass Learning reports
Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)	Weekly	90% on Running Records	89% or below on Running Records

All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.

Number of times a week intervention provided	5	Number of minutes per	30-45
		intervention session	

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? With a healthy core and Tier 2, less than 5% of students will need Tier 3 interventions. Individual student data is monitored by the school-based Instructional Coach or Interventionist and all Tier 3 interventions are observed by the MTSS Liaison. 80% of Tier3

students should have an Student Growth Percentile of 40 or higher on STAR Reading AP2 and AP3.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. Imagine Learning received a Promising review from Evidence for ESSA. Phonics First, Sonday, Lexonik Leap, Sonday Essentials, and Wilson are systematic, explicit phonics programs implemented with a multisensory approach consistent recommendation from the US Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (IES) What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and University of Florida Literacy Institute (UFLI) Lindamood-Bell interventions earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA. Pathblazer (Compass Learning) earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA. LLI earned a Strong rating from Evidence for ESSA and a Positive Rating from Results First Clearinghouse.

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 3 interventions through Teams.

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Lev	de Level(s): 3 rd – 5 th					
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report: FSA Benchmark Level (Green; \geq 40th PR) or On Watch (Blue $\geq 25^{th}$ PR)					
THEN:		TIER 1 Only				
	Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities					
		Core Curriculum				
	Please indicate your core curricul	um and how its use by the students serve evidence, or promising evidenc	ed is supported by strong evidence, moderate e.			
	ReadyGen : ReadyGen uses authentic texts with modeling and teacher directed activities. Rated as Meets Expectations in Text Quality, Building Knowledge and Usability by EdReports.org and meets ESSA's Promisin criteria. Phonics First for 3 rd grade and Structures for 4 th and 5 th .					
		Progress Monitoring				
rier 1	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
Ē	STAR Reaching District Reading Assessments Spelling Screener	Blue (PR ≥40) or Green (PR≥ 25) Average ≥75%	Yellow (10-24PR) or Red (≤10 PR) Average <74%			
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data revealing 80% of students scoring in Green or Blue on STAR Reading by AP2 AND 75% or higher on Spelling Screener?	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? Principal walkthroughs during ELA block and District Literacy Coach review of Class-wide Progress Monitoring data including STAR Reading and PAST results with principals and teachers. Quarterly data chats with school leadership, teachers and Literacy Coach. Principal, Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction, and District Literacy Coaches will collaborate to schedule related professional development.				
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Data indicating 80% of students have a ≥ 40 Student Growth Percentile on STAR					

How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 1 instruction through Teams.

IF:	3-5 student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report FSA Benchmark <25 and Spelling Screener <74					
THE N:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions					
	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to provide systematic, explicit, and inte are matched to the needs of the stu provide multiple opportunities to pro- occurs during time allotted in additi includes accommodations (IEP, ESO	eractive small gi dents actice the targe on to core instru	roup instruction tar	geting foundational/b	arrier skills	
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 2 Pro	gress Monitoring		
1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to select the intervention below to address student need:	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions	
d TIER 2 ir	Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)	Weekly	95% on Running Records	90% on Running Records	89% or below on Running Records	
uction and	Great Leaps	Weekly	95% on Running Records	90% on Running Records	89% or below on Running Records	
TIER 1 instr	Systematic Phonics Instruction: Phonics First, Tyner, Saxon Phonics, Lexonik Leap, MaxScholar, Sonday, Sonday Essentials, Structures, or Wilson	Weekly	90% on program assessments	89% on program assessments	Less than 89% on specific program assessments	
	Lindamood-Bell Verbalizing and Visualizing or Seeing Stars	Assessment for Visual or Concept Imagery Weekly	90% on program assessment	89%-70% on program assessment	Below 70% on program assessment on 2/5 times	
	HeadSprout / Raz-Kids Plus	Weekly	80% on HeadSprout reports	Less than 80% on HeadSprout reports	Consistently less than 70% on HeadSprout reports	

Imagine Learning	Weekly	In Le	30% on magine Learning reports	Less than 80% on Imagine Learning reports	Consis less the on Ima Learnin reports	an 709 agine ng
Compass Learning	Weekly	Co Le	80% on Compass Learning Reports	Less than 80% on Compass reports	Consis less the on Cor Learnin reports	an 709 npass ng
Number of times a week intervention pr What procedures are in place to ider intervention, including alignment with having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re	n tify and sol th core curr g Tier 2 interv n a Student G view of Class	Ive proble riculum and vention an Growth Per	lems to improv and instruction nd, in a healthy ercentile ≥40. Pr	? The District defines a Tier 2 group, 80% or m incipal walkthroughs c	ier 2 a healthy lore of th luring Tie	core a e Tier er 2
What procedures are in place to iden intervention, including alignment with having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re- Screener results with principals and teach Explain how the use of the programs/me	ntify and sol th core curr Tier 2 interv n a Student G view of Class ners.	Ive proble riculum au vention an Growth Per -wide Prog tegies is su	lems to improv and instruction nd, in a healthy ercentile ≥40. Pr ogress Monitorin	<i>ve effectiveness of T</i> ? The District defines a Tier 2 group, 80% or m incipal walkthroughs o ng data include STAR an rong evidence, modero	ier 2 a healthy lore of th during Tie nd Spellin ate evide	core a e Tier er 2 ng nce, or
What procedures are in place to ider intervention, including alignment wi having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re- Screener results with principals and teach	ntify and sol th core curr g Tier 2 interv n a Student G view of Class ners. aterials/strat rating from 1	Ive proble riculum au vention an Growth Per -wide Prog tegies is su Evidence f	lems to improvements to improvement in a healthy for the second	ve effectiveness of T ? The District defines a Tier 2 group, 80% or m incipal walkthroughs o g data include STAR an cong evidence, moderd Positive Rating from Re	ier 2 a healthy lore of th during Tie nd Spellin ate evided esults Firs	e Tier : er 2 ng nce, or t
What procedures are in place to ider intervention, including alignment wi having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re- Screener results with principals and teach Explain how the use of the programs/me promising evidence. LLI earned a Strong Clearinghouse. Great Leaps meets WWC Leap, MaxScholar, Sonday, Sonday Esser	ntify and sol th core curr Tier 2 interv a Student G view of Class ners. Aterials/strat rating from I Standards w ntials, Struct	Ive proble riculum au vention an Growth Per -wide Prog tegies is su tegies is su Evidence fi ithout rese ures, and	lems to improve and instruction and, in a healthy preentile ≥40. Pr by ported by str for ESSA and a F servation Phonic Wilson are syst	ve effectiveness of T ? The District defines a Tier 2 group, 80% or m incipal walkthroughs o g data include STAR an cong evidence, moderd Positive Rating from Re cs First, Tyner, Saxon F cematic, explicit phonic	ier 2 a healthy lore of th during Tie nd Spellin ate eviden esults Firs Phonics, L cs program	core a e Tier i er 2 ng nce, or t t _exoni l
What procedures are in place to ider intervention, including alignment wi having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re Screener results with principals and teach Explain how the use of the programs/ma promising evidence. LLI earned a Strong Clearinghouse. Great Leaps meets WWC Leap, MaxScholar, Sonday, Sonday Essen implemented with a multisensory approx Education's Institute of Education Science	ntify and sol th core curr Tier 2 interv a Student G view of Class ners. aterials/strat standards w ntials, Struct ach consisten es (IES) What	Ive proble riculum au vention an Growth Per -wide Prog tegies is su Evidence f ithout resu ures, and v at with reco t Works Clo	lems to improve and instruction and, in a healthy preentile ≥40. Pr ogress Monitorin supported by str for ESSA and a F servation Phonic Wilson are syst commendations learinghouse (W	<i>The District defines of The District defines a</i> Tier 2 group, 80% or me incipal walkthroughs of ag data include STAR an cong evidence, modera Positive Rating from Re cs First, Tyner, Saxon F cematic, explicit phonic from the US Departme /WC,) and University of	ier 2 a healthy lore of th during Tie nd Spellin ate eviden esults Firs Phonics, L cs program ent of f Florida	core a e Tier er 2 ng nce, or t t Literad
What procedures are in place to ider intervention, including alignment wi having less than 20% of students needing students making accelerated growth with instruction and District Literacy Coach re- Screener results with principals and teach Explain how the use of the programs/ma promising evidence. LLI earned a Strong Clearinghouse. Great Leaps meets WWC Leap, MaxScholar, Sonday, Sonday Esser implemented with a multisensory approximation	ntify and sol th core curr Tier 2 interv a Student G view of Class ners. Aterials/strat rating from I Standards w ntials, Struct ach consisten es (IES) What ntions earned	Ive proble riculum an vention an Growth Per -wide Proge tegies is su tegies is su Evidence f ithout rese ures, and v at with reco t Works Cle d Strong re	lems to improve and instruction and, in a healthy preentile ≥40. Properss Monitorin supported by str for ESSA and a F servation Phonic Wilson are syst commendations learinghouse (Mareviews from Ev	ve effectiveness of T P The District defines a Tier 2 group, 80% or m incipal walkthroughs o g data include STAR an cong evidence, modera Positive Rating from Re cs First, Tyner, Saxon F tematic, explicit phonic from the US Departma /WC,) and University o idence for ESSA. Raz-K	ier 2 a healthy lore of th during Tie nd Spellin ate eviden esults Firs Phonics, L cs program ent of f Florida ids Plus e	core a e Tier er 2 ng nce, of t t t Literad earned

IF:	3 rd through 5 th grade student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report FSA Benchmark <10 and
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2	 Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions

TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 3 Progress Monitoring				
& Duration Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to select the intervention below to address student need:	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions		
Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)	Weekly	90% on Running Records	89% or below on Running Records		
Systematic Phonics Instruction: Phonics First, Sonday, Sonday Essentials, Lexonik Leap, and Wilson	Weekly	89% on program assessments.	< 70% on specific program assessments.		
Lindamood-Bell Verbalizing and visualizing or Seeing Stars	Assessment for Visual or Concept Imagery Weekly	90% on program assessment	< 70% on program assessment 2/5 times		
Compass Learning	Weekly	90% on program assessment	< 70% on program assessments		

All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.

5

Number of times a week intervention provided
--

Number of minutes per intervention session

30-45

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? With a healthy core and Tier 2, less than 5% of students will need Tier 3 interventions. Individual student data is monitored by the school-based Instructional Coach or Interventionist and all Tier 3 interventions are observed by the MTSS Liaison. 80% of Tier3 students should have a Student Growth Percentile of 40 or higher on STAR Reading AP2 and AP3.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. LLI earned a Strong rating from Evidence for ESSA and a Positive Rating from Results First Clearinghouse. Phonics First, Sonday, Sonday Essentials, Lexonik Leap, and Wilson are systematic, explicit phonics programs implemented with a multisensory approach consistent recommendation from the US Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (IES) What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and University of Florida Literacy Institute (UFLI). Lindamood-Bell interventions earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA. Pathblazer (Compass Learning) earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA.

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 3 interventions through Teams.

	Curriculum, Instr	uction, and Assessment Decision	on Tree						
Grade Lo	evel(s): 6 th – 8 th								
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report: FSA Benchmark at Grade Level (Green; \geq 40th PR) or On Watch (Blue \geq 25 th PR)								
THEN:	TIER 1 Only								
	Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities 								
	Core Curriculum Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.								
	SpringBoard received Top Honors from EdReports for meeting expectations in the 6 th – 8 th grade curriculum. Research studies by ERIC has shown promising evidence on increasing ELA achievement.								
		Progress Monitoring							
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions						
TIER 1	STAR Reading	Blue (PR ≥40) or Green (PR≥ 25)	Yellow (10-24PR) or Red (≤10 PR)						
F	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data revealing 80% of students scoring in Green or Blue on STAR Reading for STAR AP2 and AP3; SpringBoard embedded assessment grade average ≥ 70%What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction? Principal walkthroughs during ELA block and District Literacy Coach review of class-wide progress monitoring data including STAR Reading and SpringBoard embedded assessment results with principals and teachers. Quarterly data chats with school leadership, teachers and Literacy Coach.								
	 How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Data indicating 80% of students have a ≥ 40 Student Growth Percentile on STAR What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? Principals ensure that all teachers new to a curriculum participate in onboarding for that curriculum to maximize effective implementation. District Literacy Coaches collaborate with ELA teachers as part of district's ongoing Curriculum Alignment Project (CAP) to ensure curriculum is aligned to grade level standards and curriculum and to address any gaps. 								

IF:	6 th – 8 th grade student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report FSA Benchmark <25 with a consistent history of Level 1 or 2 performance on FSA Reading.							
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions							
	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) 							
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/			TIER 2 Progress Mon	itoring			
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	,	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Criteria indicating Criter continuation of Tier would 2 interventions in additi		rmance ia that prompt n of Tier ventions	
	Achieve 3000	Level Set Benchmarks 3 times per year; on-going assessment via articles and lessons each week		Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade- level Lexile measure	Average achievement of 75% – 85% on article/lesson tests steadily increasing average Lexile level moving toward grade-level Lexile measure	Averag achieve of < 75 article/ tests w stagna falling level	ement % on ′lesson ′ith ting or	
	Language Live	Benchmark Assessments 3 times per year; End of Unit and Ongoing Progress Monitoring		Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade- level Lexile measure	Average achievement of 75% – 85% on article/lesson tests steadily increasing average Lexile level moving toward grade-level Lexile measure	Averag achieve of < 75 article/ tests w stagna falling level	ement % on 'lesson 'ith ting or	
	Number of times a w	eek intervention provided	5	Number of minutes per intervention session30-45				
	intervention, includ	re in place to identify and a ling alignment with core co % of students needing Tier 2	urricul	um and instructi	on? The District define	es a healt	-	

Tier 2 students making accelerated growth with a Student Growth Percentile ≥40. Principal walkthroughs during

Tier 2 instruction and District Literacy Coach review of Class-wide Progress Monitoring data including Achieve and Language Live results with principals and teachers.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. Achieve 3000 received a Strong rating from Evidence for ESSA. Language Live Demonstrates a Rationale by the Voyager Sopris Research Foundation.

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 2 instruction through Teams.

:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions							
	 Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions 							
	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 3 Progress Monitorin	ng			
	Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to select the intervention below to address student need:	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions			
	Systematic Phonics Instruction: Sonday, Lexonik, Structures	Program Assessment 1 x weekly		89% on program assessments	<75% on program assessments			
	Pathblazer	Weekly o assessmo grade-leo compreh	ents of vel	Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade-level Lexile measure	Average achievement of < 75% on article/lesson tests with stagnating or falling Lexile level			
	Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)	Runnings Records 1 x weekly		95% on Running Records	<75% on Running Records			
	Lindamood-Bell: Seeing Stars or Verbalizing and Visualizing	Auditory Conceptualization Assessment Weekly		90% on Program Assessment	<70% on Program Assessment 2/5 times			
	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is reading endorsed or certified in reading.							

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? With a healthy core and Tier 2, less than 5% of students will need Tier 3 interventions. Individual student data is monitored by the school-based Instructional Coach or Interventionist and all Tier 3 interventions are observed by the MTSS Liaison. 80% of Tier3 students should have a Student Growth Percentile of 40 or higher on STAR Reading AP2 and AP3.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Sonday, Lexonik Leap and Structures are systematic, explicit phonics programs implemented with a multisensory approach consistent recommendations from the US Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (IES) What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and University of Florida Literacy Institute (UFLI).

Pathblazer earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA. LLI earned a Strong rating from Evidence for ESSA and a Positive Rating from Results First Clearinghouse.

Lindamood-Bell interventions earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA.

How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 3 interventions through Teams.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree

Grade Level(s): 9th – 12th

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report: FSA Benchmark At Grade Level (Green; \geq 40th PR) or On Watch (Blue \geq 25 th PR)								
THEN:	TIER 1 Only								
	Initial instruction: is standards-aligned builds background and content knowledge, motivation provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction incorporates writing in response to reading includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities								
	Core Curriculum								
1	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.								
TIER 1	SpringBoard received Top Honors from EdReports for meeting expectations in the 9 th – 12 th grade curriculum. Research studies by ERIC has shown promising evidence on increasing ELA achievement.								
	Progress Monitoring								
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions						
	STAR Reading	Blue (PR ≥40) or Green (PR≥ 25)	Yellow (10-24PR) or Red (≤10 PR)						
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? Data revealing 80% of students scoring in Green or Blue on	eing monitored?of Tier 1 instruction? Principal walkthroughs during ELA block and District Literacy80% of studentsCoach review of class-wide progress monitoring data including STAR Reading and							

STAR Reading for STAR AP2 and AP3; SpringBoard embedded assessment grade average ≥ 70%.	SpringBoard embedded assessment results with principals and teachers. Quarterly data chats with school leadership, teachers and Literacy Coach.
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Data indicating 80% of students have $a \ge 40$ Student Growth Percentile on STAR.	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum? Principals ensure that all teachers new to a curriculum participate in onboarding for that curriculum to maximize effective implementation. District Literacy Coaches collaborate with ELA teachers as part of district's ongoing Curriculum Alignment Project (CAP) to ensure curriculum is aligned to grade level standards and curriculum and to address any gaps.
How is instruction modified for st Students will have access to Tier 1	tudents who receive instruction through distance learning?

IF:	9 th – 12 th grade student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report FSA Benchmark <25 with a consistent history of Level 1 or 2 performance on FSA Reading.								
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions								
ntions	Interventions: are standards-aligned address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills are matched to the needs of the students provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504) 								
erver	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring							
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions				
TIER 1 inst	Achieve 3000 / Actively Learn	Level Set Benchmarks 3 times per year; on-going assessment via articles and lessons each week	Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade- level Lexile measure	Average achievement of 75% – 85% on article/lesson tests steadily increasing average Lexile level moving toward grade-	Average achievement of < 75% on article/lesson tests with stagnating or falling Lexile level				

CommonLit	Weekly Co Lit assessm of grade-le	nents evel	Average achievement of 86% - 100% on	level Lexile measure Average achievement of 75% – 85%	Average achievement of < 75% on	
	comprehension		article/lesson tests at grade- level Lexile measure	on article/lesson tests steadily increasing average Lexile level moving toward grade- level Lexile measure	article/lesson tests with stagnating or falling Lexile level	
Pathblazer	Weekly on assessmen grade-level compreher	ts of I	Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade- level Lexile measure	Average achievement of 75% – 85% on article/lesson tests steadily increasing average Lexile level moving toward grade- level Lexile measure	Average achievement of < 75% on article/lesson tests with stagnating or falling Lexile level	
Number of times a week intervention provided 5 Number of minutes per intervention 30-45						

What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2

intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? The District defines a healthy core as one having less than 20% of students needing Tier 2 intervention. When a greater percentage of students require Tier 2 interventions, the principal engages in the problem-solving process with the District Literacy Coach and the Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction about Core instruction. In a healthy Tier 2 group, 80% or more of the Tier 2 students make accelerated growth with a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) ≥40. When less than 80% of students in a Tier 2 group have an SGP greater than 40, the principal, Supervisor of Curriculum and Instruction, and District Literacy Coach engage in the problem-solving process, including Principal walkthroughs during Tier 2 instruction, and District Literacy Coach review of Class-wide Progress Monitoring data, including review of Achieve and Language Live results with principals and teachers.

Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

Achieve 3000 and Pathblazer received Strong ratings from Evidence for ESSA. CommonLit instruction includes opportunities for extended discussion of text meaning and interpretation around a diverse array of rich text, congruent with WWC guidance on improving adolescent literacy.

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 2 instruction through Teams.

IF:	9 th – 12 grade student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: STAR Reading Screening Report FSA Benchmark <10 and a consistent history of Level 1 performance on FSA Reading. meets the following criteria at beginning of school year.								
THE N:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions								
	 Immediate, intensive intervention: extended time targeted instruction based on student need small group or one-on-one instruction accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504) more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions 								
suc	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 3 Progress Monitoring					
Interventic	Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to select the intervention below to address student need:	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions				
2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Systematic Phonics Instruction: Sonday, Lexonik, Structures	Weekly		95% on program assessments	<89% on program assessments				
	Pathblazer	Weekly		Average achievement of 86% - 100% on article/lesson tests at grade-level Lexile measure	Average achievement of < 75% on article/lesson tests with stagnating or falling Lexile level				
ntior	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided b	by a tea	cher who i	is reading endorsed or certi	ified in readiı	ng.			
nterve	Number of times a week intervention provided	d	5	Number of minutes per inte session	rvention	30-45			
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 ir	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction? With a healthy core and Tier 2, less than 5% of students will need Tier 3 interventions. Individual student data is monitored by the school-based Instructional Coach or Interventionist and all Tier 3 interventions are observed by the MTSS Liaison. 80% of Tier3 students should have a Student Growth Percentile of 40 or higher on STAR Reading AP2 and AP3.								
TIER 1 ins	<i>Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. Sonday, Lexonik Leap and Structures</i> are systematic, explicit phonics programs implemented with a multisensory approach, consistent recommendations from the US Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (IES) What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and University of Florida Literacy Institute (UFLI). Pathblaze r earned Strong reviews from Evidence for ESSA.								
	How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Students will have access to Tier 3 interventions through Teams.								