## Palm Beach County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

#### **Contact Information**

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Diana Fedderman	Assistant Superintendent of Teaching and Learning	diana.fedderman@palmbeachschools.org	561-357-5989
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Vivian Holcombe	Elementary Literacy Program Planner	vivian.holcombe@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8015
Secondary ELA	Tara Smith	Secondary Literacy Program Planner	tara.smith@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-7352
Reading Endorsement	Gail Sherman	6-12 Reading Intervention Program Planner	gail.sherman@palmbeachschoools.org	561-434-5880
Reading Intervention	Mary Ann Colbert	Manager K-12 Reading Interventions, Elementary Social Studies, and Community Partnerships	maryann.colbert@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8854
Professional Development	Jen Kuras	Director of Professional Development	jenifer.kuras@palmbeachschools.org	561-649-6839
Assessment	Mark Howard	Chief of Performance and Accountability	mark.howard.1@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8851
Data Element	Paul Houchens	Director Department of Research Evaluation & State Assessment	paul.houchens@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8780
Summer Reading Camp	Mary Ann Colbert	Manager K-12 Reading Interventions, Elementary Social Studies, and Community Partnerships	maryann.colbert@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8854
3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Promotion	Vivian Holcombe	Elementary Literacy Program Planner	vivian.holcombe@palmbeachschools.org	561-434-8015

## **Plan Information**

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The contents of the School District of Palm Beach County's Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan will be communicated to all stakeholders through our District website.

# Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

	_
K	-5
<b>TZ</b>	-~

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	English Language Development (ELLs with Beginning English proficiency level)	Formative	Imagine Learning Platform	(Grades K-5) Benchmark assessment give 3 times a year, and data collected throughout daily usage
	The Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System	Formative	SDPBC Education Data Warehouse	(Grade k) 3 times a year
Dhanalagiagi	Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS)	Screener	Renaissance Place/STAR Early Literacy	(Grade K) 1 <sup>st</sup> 30 days of school.
Phonological awareness	English Language Development (ELLs with Beginning English proficiency level)	Formative	Imagine Learning Platform	(Grades K-5) Benchmark assessment given 3 times a year, and data collected throughout daily usage
	iReady Adaptive Technology	Diagnostic	iReady Platform	(Grades K-5) 3 times a year
	IStation Espanol	Formative	IStation Platform	Grades K-5 Dual Language Monthly
Phonics	The Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System	Formative	SDPBC Education Data Warehouse	(Grades K-3) 3 times a year (Grades 4-5) twice a year
	English Language Development (ELLs with Beginning English proficiency level)	Formative	Imagine Learning Platform	(Grades K-5) Benchmark assessment given 3 times a year, and data collected throughout daily usage
	iReady Adaptive Technology	Diagnostic	iReady Platform/Performance Matters	(Grades K-5) 3 times a year
	IStation Espanol	Formative	IStation Platform	Grades K-5 Dual Language Monthly
Eluoney	The Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System	Formative	SDPBC Education Data Warehouse	(Grades K-3) 3 times a year (Grades 4-5) twice a year
Fluency	IStation Espanol	Formative	IStation Platform	Grades K-5 Dual Language
Vocabulary	iReady Adaptive Technology	Diagnostic	iReady Platform	(Grades K-5) 3 times a year
	IStation Espanol	Formative	IStation Platform	Grades K-5 Dual Language Monthly
	District Created Florida Standards Quizzes	Formative	Performance Matters	(Grades 2-5) Every 4-6 weeks

	SDPBC District Winter Diagnostics	Diagnostic	Performance Matters	(Grades 3-5) 1 time/mid-year
	Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) English Language Arts	Summative	Performance Matters	(Grades 3-5) 1 time/end of year
	English Language Development (ELLs with Beginning English proficiency level)	Formative	Imagine Learning Platform	(Grades K-5) Benchmark assessment given 3 times a year, and data collected throughout daily usage
	Part 2 of the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System	Formative	SDPBC Education Data Warehouse	(Grades K-5) 3 times a year (Grades 4-5) 2 times a year
	iReady Adaptive Technology	Diagnostic	iReady Platform	(Grades K-5) 3 times a year
	IStation Espanol	Formative	IStation Platform	Grades K-5 Dual Language Monthly
	District Created Florida Standards Quizzes (FSQ)	Formative	Performance Matters	(Grades 2-5) every 4-6 weeks
Comprehension	District Created Unit Standards Assessments (USAs)	Formative	Performance Matters	(Grades 2-5) every 4-6 weeks
	SDPBC District Winter Diagnostics	Diagnostic	Performance Matters	(Grades 3-5) 1 time/mid-year
	English Language Development (ELLs with Beginning English proficiency level)	Formative	Imagine Learning Platform	(Grade K-5) Benchmark assessment given 3 times a year, and data collected throughout daily usage
	Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) English Language Arts	Summative	Performance Matters	(Grades 3-5) 1 time/end of year

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment	Proficiency index scores reflective of grade-level literacy growth	Screener/ Progress Monitoring	Reading Plus Online Assessment Platform	(Grades 6-12) 3 times per year (i.e., beginning, middle, and end of year)
SDPBC English Language Arts Florida Standards Quizzes (FSQ)	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level Language Arts Florida Standards (LAFS) mastery	Formative	SDPBC Performance Matters/Unify Platform	(Grades 6-10) 6 times per year (i.e., once per instructional unit)
SDPBC English Language Arts Unit Standard Assessments (USA)	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level LAFS mastery	Formative	SDPBC Performance Matters/Unify Platform	(Grades 6-10) 6 times per year (i.e., once per instructional unit)
SDPBC Performance Assessments (PBPA)	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level LAFS mastery for writing	Formative	SDPBC Educational Data Warehouse	(Grades 6-10) 4 times per year
SDPBC Winter FSA Diagnostic English Language Arts	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level LAFS mastery	Diagnostic	SDPBC Performance Matters/Unify	(Grades 6-10) 1 time per year in January
Florida Standards Assessment English Language Arts Reading	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level LAFS mastery	Summative	Florida Statewide Assessments System/ SDPBC Educational Data Warehouse	(Grades 6-10) 1 time per year
Florida Standards Assessment English Language Arts Writing	Proficiency scores reflective of grade-level LAFS mastery	Summative	Florida Statewide Assessments System/ SDPBC Educational Data Warehouse	(Grades 6-10) 1 time per year

## K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

At elementary, middle, and high school level, the District providesthe District providestwo LAFS-based assessments per instructional unit inELA classes (six total units throughout the year, roughly six weeks each in length) that can be used to monitorstudent progress toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-baseddiagnostic assessment	
the District providestwo LAFS-basedassessments perinstructional unit inELA classes (six totalunits throughout theyear, roughly six weekseach in length) that canbe used to monitorstudent progresstoward mastery of theLAFS. The District alsomandates a LAFS-based	
two LAFS-basedassessments perinstructional unit inELA classes (six totalunits throughout theyear, roughly six weekseach in length) that canbe used to monitorstudent progresstoward mastery of theLAFS. The District alsomandates a LAFS-based	
assessments per instructional unit inELA classes (six total units throughout the year, roughly six weeks each in length) that can be used to monitor student progress toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
instructional unit inELA classes (six totalunits throughout theyear, roughly six weekseach in length) that canbe used to monitorstudent progresstoward mastery of theLAFS. The District alsomandates a LAFS-based	
ELA classes (six totalunits throughout theyear, roughly six weekseach in length) that canbe used to monitorstudent progresstoward mastery of theLAFS. The District alsomandates a LAFS-based	
units throughout the year, roughly six weekseach in length) that canbe used to monitorstudent progresstoward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
year, roughly six weeks each in length) that can be used to monitor student progress toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
each in length) that can be used to monitor student progress toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
be used to monitor student progress toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
student progress   toward mastery of the   LAFS. The District also   mandates a LAFS-based	
toward mastery of the LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
LAFS. The District also mandates a LAFS-based	
mandates a LAFS-based	
ulagnostic assessment	
in January to assist in	
monitoring student	
progress toward	
mastery of the LAFS.	
Data from these	
assessments inform	

principals, their		
supervisors, and		
district personnel on		
students' progress		
towards the district's		
goals.		

## School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the	How is the	How often is the data being	How is the data being shared	How often is the data
	practice is informed by a specific purpose?	purpose communicated?	collected?	and by whom?	being reviewed and by whom?
Weekly reading walkthroughs by administrators	Principal and/or designees	The purpose is communicated through Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with teachers	Data is collected weekly at a minimum.	The principal and School Leadership Team share the data including in PLCs.	The principal including the School Leadership team reviews the data with teachers weekly.
Data chats	Principal and/or designees	The purpose is communicated through PLCs and one to one meetings with teachers	Data is collected at a minimum monthly.	The principal or designees shares the data minimally 3 times a year, but most often monthly.	The principal or designees reviews the data monthly at minimum.
Reading Leadership Team per 6A- 6.053(3) F.A.C.	Principal and/or designee	The Principal and/or designee creates a Reading Leadership Team annually by sharing the purpose at faculty following up in PLCs, and selecting key people from each grade level.	The data is collected monthly at each meeting.	The principal shares the data at faculty meetings and PLCs.	The data is being reviewed monthly by the Principal and designee.

plan Regional or dimplementation Instructional Superintendent		The purpose is communicated in PLCs and faculty meetings.	The data is being collected monthly.	The principal and/or designee share data in the form of feedback to teachers.	The data is being reviewed monthly by the principal and designee.
Other: (Specify)					
		Implen	nentation and Progress-monito	oring	
What problem-solving steps are in place for making decisions based on data?		How are concerns communicated if it is determined that the plan is not being implemented in a systematic and explicit manner, based on data to meet the needs of students?		How will district leadership provide plan implementation oversight, support and follow-up?	
In PLCs, grade level data is analyzed for patterns and trends to adjust, reteach opportunities to improve overall student data. The next step is the using the MTSS process in School-based Team analyzes individual student data to support students who are performing below grade level. More specific issues that involve students' not making adequate progress will go for more data analysis in the School Based Support Team to ensure all students' needs are met.			signee meets with teachers in hool Based Team meetings to steps.	The Regional or Instructional S and School Based Team meetin implementation and oversight Learning teams support school assessments, interventions, dif the MTSS process.	ngs to monitor The District Teaching and s with curriculum needs,

# Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to principals?	How is it monitored by principals?	How often is it reported to the district and in what format?	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if the professional development requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory reading intervention	The Reading Intervention Handbook outlines the guidelines and multisensory interventions. The Reading intervention Team, the Multicultural Department, and the ESE Department provide a schedule of professional development on multisensory reading interventions. This information is shared with principals through a District Bulletins and webinar each year.	Principals monitor training through professional agendas and leave forms for training.	The attendance is reported to the through our District eLearning Management System at the end of each training.	The attendance is reported to our District Professional Development Team at the end of each session.	The Regional and Instructional Superintendents follow up with principals about their implementation and employ the Reading Intervention Team, the Multicultural Department, and the ESE Department to offer professional development and support
Differentiated professional	Principals	Regional and	The observational and	Regional and	Teaching and Learning and the
development with intensity	examine data in	Instructional	student data are	Instructional	Department of Professional
increased for those teachers	our Performance	Superintendents	reported monthly to	Superintendents and	Development provide
whose progress monitoring	Matters District	alongside principals	the Regional or	, principals meet monthly	professional development by
data is not showing	platform to	monitor teacher	Instructional if not	to share and discuss the	request of the principals.
adequate growth	determine	progress through	more meetings to	data.	, r - r -

Identification of mentor	teachers who need specific training. Principals are	walkthroughs. Principals hold	discuss the progress of teachers and need of more support. Principals or	The data is reported to	The Office of Recruitment and
teachers	notified via a District Bulletin about the guidelines and procedures for mentor teachers from the Office of Recruitment and Retention.	monthly meetings with mentors and mentees.	designees report the minutes monthly to the Professional Development Team	the Department of Professional Development and the Office of Recruitment and Retention.	Retention and the Professional development Department offer schools support in the identification and guidance around mentor teachers.
Establishing of model classrooms within the school	Principals are informed through monthly Principal Leadership Academies and Regional Portfolio Meetings.	Principals monitor the classrooms through walkthroughs and instructional rounds with teachers, District Leaders, and Regional or Instructional Superintendents.	Principals report the observational and student data to Regional or Instructional Superintendents during their monthly meetings.	Regional and Instructional Superintendents and principals meet monthly to share and discuss the data.	The principal works with Teaching and Learning and the Professional Development Department for additional support as needed.
Providing teachers with time weekly to meet together for professional development including lesson study and PLCs	Principals are informed about PLCs through a District Bulletin	Single School Culture Coordinator (SSCC) or PLC/grade level leaders turn in PLC meeting minutes weekly to Principal.	Principals report and discuss the PLC minutes monthly with their Instructional or Regional Superintendent who also attend PLCs.	Regional or Instructional Superintendents received information and data about PLCs from principals.	The principal works with the Professional Development Department for additional support as needed.

## Instruction

## K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	Information is	Principals monitoring	Principals include whole	Regional or	The data is being
an evidence-based sequence of	communicated to	the planning, data,	group instruction in their	Instructional	reviewed monthly by
reading instruction	principals through	and reflection during	School Improvement	Superintendents	Regional or Instructional
	District Bulletins,	Professional Learning	Plans and meet monthly		Superintendents and
	Regional Portfolio	Community (PLC)	with their Instructional or		the principal and
	meetings, and	meetings and/or	Regional Superintendent		designee.
	Principal	common planning	who also attend PLCs and		
	Leadership	meetings, and observe	conduct classroom walks		
	Academies	the instruction during	and instructional rounds.		
	throughout the	classroom walks and			
	year.	instructional rounds.			
Small group differentiated	Information is	Principals monitoring	Principals include small	Regional or	The data is being
instruction in order to meet	communicated to	the planning, data,	group differentiated	Instructional	reviewed monthly by
individual student needs	principals through	and reflection during	instruction in their School	Superintendents	Regional or Instructional
	District Bulletins,	Professional Learning	Improvement Plans and		Superintendents and
	Regional Portfolio	Community (PLC)	report and discuss it		the principal and
	meetings, and	meetings and/or	monthly with their		designee.
	Principal	common planning	Instructional or Regional		
	Leadership	meetings, and observe	Superintendent who		
	Academies	the instruction during	attend also PLCs and		
	throughout the	classroom walks and	conduct classroom walks		
	year.	instructional rounds.	and instructional rounds.		

#### Budget per 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

We plan to use the funds to impact student achievement by paying for salaries and benefits for 1 reading intervention manager. This position will provide intervention support to elementary and secondary school level administrators. They will also coordinate professional development services for schools based upon need and ensure that schools have appropriate reading intervention materials and support for implementation. Funds will also be used for 9.5 elementary literacy specialists, 1 elementary resource teacher, and 2 elementary reading interventionists to implement professional development and coaching to support pedagogy and curriculum/intervention implementation at the teacher and school level. Additional funds will be used for supplemental materials for elementary schools in an effort to improve literacy instruction. We will also use the Summer Camp allocation to pay for our Third Grade Summer Reading Camp program to provide additional reading support to our third grade students who did not score a Level 2 or higher on the FSA ELA.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	\$1,200,000.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	\$0.00
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	\$164,054.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	\$0.00
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	\$0.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	\$573,788.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	\$0.00
District expenditures on professional development	\$1,711,942.00
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	\$0.00
District expenditures on summer reading camps	\$1,500,000.00
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	\$3,674,794.00
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	\$0.00
Total	\$8,824, 578.00

#### Summer Reading Camp

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

Teacher Created Materials: Florida Summer School (includes the following components and pieces) Comprehension: Exploring Reading (Whole Group & Small Group) Read Aloud Vocabulary: Building Vocabulary Practicing for Success: Stanford Achievement Test: Reading

Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes  $\Box$  No  $\boxtimes$  If yes, which grade levels?

#### **Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches**

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.: Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information. What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

The district level coaching staff will provide targeted level of support based upon the greatest needs demonstrated by FSA ELA data, as well as, current district data that is collected throughout the year. The Department of Teaching and Learning works closely with the Regional Superintendents to determine which schools need targeted support within their portfolio. Together they support these schools. Schools identified with the most need (based on student achievement data) will receive priority scheduling and more support than schools with less need (based on student achievement data). As available and appropriate, literacy coaches will provide training and coaching to schools identified with less need (based on student achievement data).

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Prior to entering the school scheduled for targeted level coaching support, a meeting with the school's principal, leadership team, coach, and a district administrator is conducted. In this initial meeting, the role of the coach is reviewed to ensure that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their roles for teachers.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

District administration from the Department of Curriculum and Instruction and Reading Intervention are assigned to monitor whether coaches are performing their intended roles in schools. Coaches may report any concerns that they have to these administrators if these requirements are not followed.

## Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! Coaching model? Yes  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

- If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.
- If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

#### Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
  - $\circ$  the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data  $\circ$  administration and analysis of instructional assessments
  - o providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their time and	district level is	the data	steps are in place for
communicated to	tasks?	monitoring	being	making decisions
principals?		this?	reviewed?	based on the data?
A meeting with the	Coaches submit weekly	Program	Data is	The Literacy coaches
school's principal,	and monthly	Planners, who	reviewed	conduct daily
leadership team,	documentation of their	are	weekly	classroom visits,
coach, and a district	time and tasks within a	administrators	during	analyze student data,
administrator is	school. Coaches are	at the district,	leadership	model lessons for
conducted. In this	provided protocol tools	review the	team	teachers, and provide
meeting, the role of	that aid in their	coach's	meetings.	continuous feedback
the coach is	documentation.	calendar and		to teachers and
reviewed in depth.	In addition, coaches	logs of coaching		develop plans for
	maintain logs	activities as a		improvement. The
	documenting their time	means of		Literacy coaches
	spent in classrooms	determining		monitor the
	coaching teachers;	effectiveness of		effectiveness of their
	professional	coaching		coaching by
	development provided	provided.		measuring the impact
	to teachers; lessons they	District staff		that their teachers'
	have modeled; and their	also conduct		instructional practices
	work with students in	support visits to		have on students'
	whole and small group	schools and		academic growth. The
	instruction. Additionally,	maintain logs		Literacy coaches work

 		T1
district staff requires	documenting	with individual
coaches to submit	their	teachers and
implementation plans	observations	collaborative teams of
after each monthly	and	teachers through the
professional learning	determining	analyses of student
session. These action	how effectively	work, lesson design,
plans outline how	coaches are	modeling of
coaches put into practice	implementing	instruction and
content of the district-	district	providing professional
provided professional	initiatives and	development based
learning.	to offer	on the school's needs.
	individual	
	support to	
	coaches when	
	the need arises.	
	Site visits to	
	schools include	
	conversations	
	between district	
	staff, coaches	
	and teachers, to	
	collaboratively	
	determine next	
	action steps,	
	which will	
	further	
	promote	
	effective	
	literacy	
	practices	
	throughout the	
	school.	
	Professional	
	learning.	

#### Other Considerations

#### Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

#### Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

#### Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

#### Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.</u>

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree				
Grade Leve	l(s): Kindergarten			
IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>FLKRS: 25% or higher (scale score 455 and above)</li> <li>iReady: 25<sup>th</sup> percentile on national norms or above</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: Early Literacy Behaviors 4 and above and/or reading continuous text level A or above</li> </ul>			
THEN:	TIER 1 Only			
	<ul> <li>Initial instruction:</li> <li>is standards-aligned</li> <li>builds background and content knowledge, motivation</li> <li>provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction</li> <li>incorporates writing in response to reading</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> <li>incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning</li> <li>includes Specially Designed Instruction for students with disabilities</li> </ul>			
	Core Curriculum			
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence. Based on ESSA categories (every student succeeds act) refer to ESSA document for help on completing. Use it as a guide for identifying evidence based core curriculum. Includes definitions			
TIER 1	Core Instruction (Tier 1) consists of a Balanced Literacy framework which is supported by strong evidence. These components vary by school and may include, but are not limited to:			
	<ul> <li>Whole Group instructional support through District-created Modules of Instruction (K-5), <u>CKLA (K-3)</u> (Moderate Evidence), <u>iReady Lessons</u> (Promising Evidence), <u>Fountas &amp; Pinnell Phonics Lessons</u> (Strong Evidence), FUNdations Standard Lessons (Moderate Evidence), <u>Words Their Way</u> (Strong Evidence), <u>Benchmark</u> (Strong Evidence), and <u>Estrellita</u> (Moderate Evidence).</li> <li>Small Group differentiated instruction which includes <u>guided reading</u> (Strong Evidence), <u>small group shared reading</u> (Strong Evidence), <u>skill/strategy</u> (Strong Evidence for Direct Instruction in vocabulary, oral language, phonological awareness, phonics and fluency)</li> <li><u>iReady Adaptive Technology</u> (Promising Evidence) and <u>Istation Español Adaptive Technology</u> (Strong Evidence).</li> </ul>			

	Progres	s Monitoring			
Assessment & Frequency	-	ance Criteria that Tier 1 is sufficient	-	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions	
<ul> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System (3 times/year)</li> <li>iReady Diagnostic assessment (3 times/year)</li> </ul>	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	FLKRS: 25% or above (Scale Score: 455+) iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR Early Literacy Behavior Assessment: 4 or above AND/OR Reading Record: A independent or above	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester End of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trimester	FLKRS: 11%-24% (Scale Score: 405 -454) iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Early Literacy Behavior Assessment: 1-3 iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Early Literacy Behavior Assessment: 6-7	
	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR Reading Record: A Independent or above	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	<b>iReady:</b> 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR <b>Reading Record:</b> B Independent	
	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR Reading Record: C Independent or above			
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?</li> <li>District and school leaders work in concert to implement the instructional rounds process to evaluate effectiveness of instruction</li> <li>District and school leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of students in need of intervention)</li> <li>Walkthroughs by administration</li> <li>Teacher evaluations</li> </ul>	improve ef Pr PL pla Da	fectiveness of Tier 1 ofessional developm C/Common Planning anning	<i>instruction</i> ent Meetings	and solve problems to n? for standards-based ess of standards-based	
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?</li> <li>District and school leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of students in need of intervention)</li> </ul>	improve ef Us As fo st	fectiveness of Tier 1 e student data (i.e., sessment, iReady Dia rmative assessments	<i>curriculun</i> FLKRS, SDF agnostic as ) to identif		

• District and school leaders work in concert to implement instructional rounds process to evaluate effectiveness of curriculum	PLC/Common Planning meetings looking for alignment between standards and curriculum.			
Whole group and small group	ents who receive instruction through distance learning? Ip synchronous and asynchronous lessons are provided through Aeets, television, and paper packets.			

IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>FLKRS: 11%-24% (scale score 405-454)</li> <li>iReady: 12<sup>th</sup>-24<sup>th</sup>% on national norms</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: Early Literacy Assessment score of 1-3 and/or not yet reading continuous text levels.</li> </ul>					
THEN:	TIER 1	instruction an	d TIER 2 interve	ntions		
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 inter	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions         Interventions:       are standards-aligned         address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations         provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrierskills         are matched to the needs of the students         provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback         occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction         includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)         TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration         Assessment & Frequency       Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction       Performance 3 interventions in addition of Tier 1					
2 interventions	<ul> <li>Florida Center for Reading Research</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient	Student has a positive response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data	Student has a poor response to intervention based on individual progress	

<ul> <li>FUNdations Double Dose/Estrellita</li> <li>iReady Tools for Instruction/iStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons</li> <li>Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) Orange System/Soluciones</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM) Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM) LLI Reading Records administered once every six days; Soluciones Reading Record biweekly	based on individual progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above).	and/or performance criteria indicates a continuation of Tier 2 based on SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	monitoring and/or performance criteria indicates a Tier 3 intervention in needed based on individual progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see char above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.
Number of times a week intervention p What procedures are in place to ide intervention, including alignment w • Walkthroughs by administra • Data chats • Professional development/co • Meeting with Problem Solvin effectiveness of Tier 2 instru response to intervention, an	entify and solve with core curricu ation oaching ng/School Based action through s	problems to impi lum and instruction Team to conduct mall group data co	on? data analysis, evalu omparisons, determi	f Tier 2 nate the

or pro	n how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evid nising evidence.
•	Leveled Literacy Intervention: <u>Strong Evidence</u>
•	Florida Center for Reading Research: Strong Evidence for identified strategies
•	FUNdations – <u>Moderate Evidence</u>
•	IReady – <u>Promising Evidence</u>
•	IStation – <u>Strong Evidence</u>
•	Soluciones - <u>Moderate Evidence</u>
Small g	roup and/or one-to-one intervention may continue in the followingways; Small group in online streaming platforms (i.e., GoogleMeet) Online collaborative environment (i.e., Google classroom) Online collaborative document (Google docs, Teams) Conference calls
FUNda learnir strateg face-to is a fao such a	er, the intervention may need to be provided through the use of a strategy in lieu of a program (i.e., L tions, etc.) due to teachers/students having limited program materials available with them for blende g. When transitioning from the use of an intervention program or system to an intervention instruction y, it will be important to ensure that the strategy selected addresses the same skills and strategies as face program and are aligned to students' targeted needs. For example, Leveled Literacy Intervention re-to-face intervention system that addresses a skill subset (i.e., multiple skills taught in an integrated s, phonics, text processing, fluency, and comprehension). These same areas of reading deficiency can sed using an instructional strategy more appropriate for blended learning, such as Shared Reading. The n time adjustments for supplemental intervention.

IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>FLKRS: Below 10% (Scale Score: 0 - 404)</li> <li>iReady: 11<sup>th</sup> Percentile or below</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: Early Literacy Behavior Assessment score 0 and/or not yet reading at a continuous text level.</li> </ul>
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions
TIER 1 instruction,	<ul> <li>Immediate, intensive intervention:</li> <li>extended time</li> <li>targeted instruction based on student need</li> <li>small group or one-on-one instruction</li> <li>accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504)</li> <li>more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions</li> <li>additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions</li> </ul>

TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	TIER 3 Progress Monitoring			
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criterio that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions	
Florida Center for Reading Research	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or performance criteria indicates a continuation of Tier 2	Student has a poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitorin data and/or performance	
iStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	intervention based on SPDBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year.	criteria indicates a change in Tier 3 interventions is needed based on SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic	
Leveled Literacy Intervention Orange System/Soluciones	LLI Reading Records administered once every six days; Soluciones Reading Record biweekly		assessment criteria for that time of yea (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	
All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided endorsement.	by a teacher who	is certified in reading or has th	ne reading	
Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided 5	Number of minutes per intervention session	Time is determine by the program/ strategy	
Number of times a week intervention pro What procedures are in place to ident intervention, including alignment with Walkthroughs by administratio Data chats Professional development/coa	tify and solve pro h core curriculum on	intervention session	dete by tl prog strat	

•	Meeting with Problem Solving/School Based Team to conduct data analysis, evaluate the effectiveness of Tier 2 instruction through small group data comparisons, determine students' response to intervention, and modify intervention plans as needed
-	how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate ce, or promising evidence.
•	Leveled Literacy Intervention: Strong Evidence
•	Florida Center for Reading Research: Strong Evidence for identified strategies
•	Soluciones - <u>Moderate Evidence</u>
•	IStation – <u>Strong Evidence</u>
	<b>The Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?</b> Foup and/or one-to-one intervention may continue in the followingways; Small group in online streaming platforms (i.e., Google Meet) Online collaborative environment (i.e., Google classroom) Online collaborative document (Google docs, Teams) Conference calls
FUNda learnin strateg face-to (LLI) is way, su be add	er, the intervention may need to be provided through the use of a strategy in lieu of a program (i.e., LLI, tions, etc.) due to teachers/students having limited program materials available with them for blended g. When transitioning from the use of an intervention program or system to an intervention instructional y, it will be important to ensure that the strategy selected addresses the same skills and strategies as the -face program and are aligned to students' targeted needs. For example, Leveled Literacy Intervention a face-to-face intervention system that addresses a skill subset (i.e., multiple skills taught in an integrated inch as, phonics, text processing, fluency, and comprehension). These same areas of reading deficiency can ressed using an instructional strategy more appropriate for blended learning, such as Shared Reading. The sult in time adjustments for intensive intervention.

## Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree

## Grade Level(s): First, Second, and Third Grade

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Most Recent iReady Diagnostic or School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark					
	Assessment System data show that the student is on grade level:					
	iReady: 1 <sup>st</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade – 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile and above (based on national norms)					
	SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment System:					
	• 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level D or above					
	• 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level I or above					
	• 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level L or above					

THEN:		TIER 1 Only					
	<ul> <li>Initial instruction:</li> <li>is standards-aligned</li> <li>builds background and content knowledge, motivation</li> <li>provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction</li> <li>incorporates writing in response to reading</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> <li>incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning</li> <li>includes Specially Designed Instruction for students with disabilities</li> </ul>						
	-	Core Curriculum n and how its use by the students serve oderate evidence, or promising evidence					
TIER 1	<ul> <li>by strong evidence. These correlimited to:</li> <li>Whole Group instruction Instruction (K-5), CKLA Evidence), Fountas &amp; P Way (Strong Evidence), Evidence).</li> <li>Small Group differentiate Evidence), small group Evidence for Direct Instruction awareness, phonics and the structure of the structure of</li></ul>	logy (Promising Evidence) and <u>lst</u>	nay include, but are not reated Modules of eady Lessons (Promising Evidence), <u>Words Their</u> ), and <u>Estrellita</u> (Moderate s <u>guided reading</u> (Strong uce), <u>skill/strategy</u> (Strong guage, phonological				
	Progress Monitoring						
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions				

	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	Reading Record: F Independent or higher iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile OR	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> - 24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Reading Record: F Independent
		Reading Record: G Independent or higher	Seco	nd Grade
	6			iReady: 12th-24th
	End of 1 <sup>st</sup>	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile OR	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	Percentile OR <b>Reading Record:</b> G-H Independent
	Trimester	Reading Record: I Independent or higher	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trimester	<b>iReady:</b> 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR
	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile		Reading Record:J IndependentiReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup>
	Trimester	OR Reading Record: K Independent or higher	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	Percentile OR <b>Reading Record:</b> K Independent
	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile OR Reading Record: L Independent or		
		higher	ты	rd Grade
				iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup>
	Tł	<b>iReady:</b> 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	Percentile OR Reading Record:
	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	Percentile OR Reading Record: L	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	G-K Independent <b>iReady:</b> 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Independent or higher <b>iReady:</b> 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile	Trimester	OR <b>Reading Record:</b> I-L Independent
	Trimester	OR Reading Record: M Independent or higher	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR
	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trimester	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> or higher Percentile OR Reading Record: N		Reading Record: K-M Independent
		Independent or higher		
How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?	improve effe	dures are in place to id ectiveness of Tier 1 inst		e problems to
<ul> <li>District and school leaders working concert to implement the instructional round process</li> <li>District and school</li> </ul>	<ul><li>PLC, plar</li><li>Data</li></ul>	essional development /Common Planning Me uning a chats to monitor effeo ruction	-	
leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of				

TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Interventions: <ul> <li>are standards-aligned</li> <li>address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations</li> <li>provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrierskills</li> <li>are matched to the needs of the students</li> <li>provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback</li> <li>occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> </ul> TIER 2 Progress Monitoring
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions
IF:	work in concert to implement instructional rounds process to evaluate effectiveness of curriculum         How is instruction modified for students who receive instruction through distance learning?         • Whole group and small group synchronous and asynchronous lessons are provided through Google Classroom, Google Meets, television, and paper packets.         Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: iReady: 1 <sup>st</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade – 12 <sup>th</sup> - 24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (based on national norms)         SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment System:         • 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level C         • 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level G-H         • 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level G-K
	<ul> <li>Teacher evaluations</li> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?</li> <li>District and school leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of students in need of intervention)</li> <li>District and school leaders</li> <li>Use student data (i.e., FLKRS, SDPBC Literacy Assessment, iReady Diagnostic assessment, and other formative assessments) to identify trends in curricular strengths and weaknesses and create a plan to address curricular gaps.</li> <li>PLC/Common Planning meetings to look for alignment between standards and curriculum.</li> </ul>
	students in need of intervention) • Walkthroughs by administration

TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions
<ul> <li>Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR)</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)			Student has a poor response to intervention based on individual
<ul> <li>FUNdations Double Dose/Estrellita</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive	Student has a positive response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or	progress monitoring and/or performance criteria indicates a Tier 3 intervention is needed
<ul> <li>IReady Tools for Instruction/ IStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC	performance criteria indicates a continuation of Tier 2 based on SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic	based on individual progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment
• S.P.I.R.E. Reading	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see	assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team	and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If
<ul> <li>Leveled Literacy Intervention</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Grade: Orange or Green System</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Grade: Green or Blue System</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Grade: Green, Blue or Red System</li> <li>Soluciones</li> </ul>	Orange, Green, Blue Systems: LLI Reading Records administered once every six days Red System: LLI Reading records administered	chart above).	may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.

• Great Leaps	once eve days (45 n or 12 days min) Solucior Readin Record biweek	min) s (30 nes ng d kly				
	Curricul Basec Measur (FastBric Acadienc Easy CB	d res dge, ce or				
		,			I	
Number of times a week interventio	on provided	5	Number of minu	tes per intervention s	ession	30
What procedures are in place to i			-		f Tier 2	
<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to intervention, including alignment</li> <li>Walkthroughs by adm</li> <li>Data chats</li> <li>Professional developm</li> <li>Meeting with Problem effectiveness of Tier 2 students' response to</li> </ul>	<b>t with core co</b> ninistration nent n Solving/Sch ! instruction t	urricu nool Ba throug	<b>lum and instructi</b> ased Team to con h small group da	on? duct data analysis, e ta comparisons, dete	valuate	the
intervention, including alignment Walkthroughs by adm Data chats Professional developm Meeting with Problem effectiveness of Tier 2	t with core contraction ment n Solving/Sch instruction to intervention	urricu nool Ba throug , and i	<b>lum and instructi</b> ased Team to con h small group da modify interventio	on? duct data analysis, e ta comparisons, dete on plans as needed	valuate ermine	

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Small group and/or one-to-one intervention may continue in the following ways. *Small group in online streaming platforms (i.e., Google Meet)* Online collaborative environment (i.e., Google classroom) ٠ Online collaborative document (Google docs, Teams) • Conference calls • However, the intervention may need to be provided through the use of a strategy in lieu of a program (i.e., LLI, FUNdations, etc.) due to teachers/students having limited program materials available with them for blended learning. When transitioning from the use of an intervention program or system to an intervention instructional strategy, it will be important to ensure that the strategy selected addresses the same skills and strategies as the face-to-face program and are aligned to students' targeted needs. For example, Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) is a face-to-face intervention system that addresses a skill subset (i.e., multiple skills taught in an integrated way, such as, phonics, text processing, fluency, and comprehension). These same areas of reading deficiency can be addressed using an instructional strategy more appropriate for blended learning, such as Shared Reading. This may result in time adjustments for supplemental intervention.

IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>iReady: 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> Grade – 11<sup>th</sup> Percentile or below (based on national norms)</li> <li>SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: <ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level B or below</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level F or below</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Grade: Reading Record Level F or below</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2	2 interventions, a	and TIER 3 intensive in	terventions				
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 3 Intensive I	Immediate, intensive intervention:         • extended time         • targeted instruction based on student need         • small group or one-on-one instruction         • accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504)         • more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions         • additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions         TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration							
2 interventio Interventions		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions				
2 interventions, and TIER Interventions	<ul> <li>Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR)</li> </ul>	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or performance criteria	Student has a poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or performance				

S.P.I.R.E. Reading	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	indicates a continuation of Tier 2 intervention based on SPDBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady	criteria indicates a change in Tier 3 interventions is needed based on SDPBC Literacy
• Estrellita	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year.	Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above).
<ul> <li>IStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons</li> </ul>	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)		**NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.
<ul> <li>Leveled Literacy Intervention 1<sup>st</sup> Grade: Orange or Green System 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade: Green or Blue System 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade: Green, Blue or Red System</li> <li>Soluciones</li> </ul>	Orange, Green, Blue Systems: LLI Reading Records administered once every six days Red System: LLI Reading records administered once every 8 days (45 min) or 12 days (30 min) Soluciones Reading Record biweekly Weekly Curriculum Based Measures		
	(FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)		

Wilson Reading S		Based M (FastBrid				
must k teache readin	r 3 Interventions be provided by a er who is certified in g or has the reading sement.					
Number of tim	es a week intervention pr	ovided -	5		•	
identify and so improve effect intervention, with core curr instruction? • W • Do • Pr Meeting with Team to cond effectiveness small group d students' resp	ares are in place to olve problems to tiveness of Tier 3 including alignment ficulum and Yalkthroughs by adminis ata chats Problem Solving/Schoo luct data analysis, evalu of Tier 2 instruction thro bonse to intervention, ar ention plans as needed	t I Based ate the ough mine		Number of minutes per intervention session		Time is determined by the program/strategy
Explain how th		aterials/s	strategies i	s supported by strong evide	ence,	moderate
<ul> <li>Wilson</li> <li>Great</li> <li>Florida</li> <li>SPIRE</li> <li>IReady</li> <li>IStatic</li> <li>Soluci</li> </ul>	ed Literacy Intervention: <u>Si</u> n Reading System: <u>Strong</u> Leaps: <u>Promising Evidence</u> a Center for Reading Rese (Grade 3-8) – <u>Strong Evidence</u> on – <u>Strong Evidence</u> ones - <u>Moderate Evidence</u> trellita <u>- Moderate Evidence</u>	Evidence e arch: <u>Strc</u> ence	(3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade			
Small group and Sn Or Or Co However, the inte	d/or one-to-one interventi nall group in online strean nline collaborative environ nline collaborative docume onference calls ervention may need to be	on may c ning platf ment (i.e ent (Goog provided	ontinue in t forms (i.e., ( ., Google cl gle docs, Tea through th	Google Meet) lassroom)	fa pro	ogram (i.e., LLI,

blended learning. When transitioning from the use of an intervention program or system to an intervention instructional strategy, it will be important to ensure that the strategy selected addresses the same skills and strategies as the face-to-face program and are aligned to students' targeted needs. For example, Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) is a face-to-face intervention system that addresses a skill subset (i.e., multiple skills taught in an integrated way, such as, phonics, text processing, fluency, and comprehension). These same areas of reading deficiency can be addressed using an instructional strategy more appropriate for blended learning, such as Shared Reading. This may result in time adjustments for intensive intervention.

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Level(s): Fourth and Fifth						
IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>Grade 4- <ul> <li>iReady: 25<sup>th</sup> percentile on national norms or above</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: reading continuous text at level O independent or above</li> </ul> </li> <li>Grade 5- <ul> <li>iReady: 25<sup>th</sup> percentile on national norms or above</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: reading continuous text at level O independent or above</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
THEN:	TIER 1 Only					
-1	<ul> <li>Initial instruction:</li> <li>is standards-aligned</li> <li>builds background and content knowledge, motivation</li> <li>provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction</li> <li>incorporates writing in response to reading</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> <li>incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning</li> <li>includes Specially Designed Instruction for students with disabilities</li> </ul>					
TIER 1	Core Curriculum					
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.					
	Core Instruction (Tier 1) consists of a Balanced Literacy framework which is supported by strong evidence. These components vary by school and may include, but are not limited to:					
	<ul> <li>Whole Group instructional support through District-created Modules of Instruction (K-5), <u>EL Education</u> (Strong Evidence) (4-5), <u>iReady Lessons</u> (Promising</li> </ul>					

<ul> <li>Evidence), <u>Fountas &amp;</u> <u>Way</u> (Strong Evidence Evidence).</li> <li>Small Group differen Evidence), <u>small grou</u> Evidence for Direct Ir awareness, phonics a</li> <li><u>iReady Adaptive Tech</u> <u>Technology</u> (Strong E</li> </ul>	e), <u>Bench</u> tiated ins up shared nstruction and fluen	mark (Strong Evide struction which incl <u>I reading (Strong Ev</u> n in vocabulary, ora cy) Promising Evidenc	ence), and udes <u>guide</u> vidence), sl al language	Estrellita (Moderate ed reading (Strong kill/strategy (Strong
	- <u>r</u>	Progress Monitoring	1	
Assessment & Frequency	-	ormance Criteria that ates Tier 1 is sufficient	-	ance Criteria to that would ddition of Tier 2 intervention
School District of Palm Beach	Grade 4-		Grade 4-	
<ul> <li>County Literacy Benchmark</li> <li>Assessment System (3 times/year)</li> <li>iReady Diagnostic assessment (3 times/year)</li> </ul>	End of	<b>iReady:</b> 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above f 1 <sup>st</sup> CR <b>Reading Record:</b>	End of 1 <sup>st</sup>	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Reading Record: L-N Independent
<ul> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostic Assessment (1 time/year)</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach</li> </ul>		O/P Independent or above iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup>	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Reading Record: M-P Independent
County LAFS Assessments	End of	Percentile or above 2 <sup>nd</sup> OR Reading Record: Q Independent or above	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup>	<b>iReady:</b> 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR <b>Reading Record:</b> O-Q Independent
	End of	iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above 3 <sup>rd</sup> OR Reading Record: R Independent or above		
	Grade 5-		Grade 5-	
	End of 1 <sup>st</sup>	<b>iReady:</b> 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR <b>Reading Record:</b> R/S Independent or above	End of 1 <sup>st</sup>	<b>iReady:</b> 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR <b>Reading Record:</b> P/Q Independent
	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	<b>iReady:</b> 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR <b>Reading Record:</b> S/T	End of 2 <sup>nd</sup>	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile OR Reading Record: Q/R Independent
		Independent or above	End of 3rd	iReady: 12 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> Percentile

	End of 3 <sup>rd</sup> iReady: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile or above OR Reading Record: T/U Independent or above     Reading Record: R/S			
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?</li> <li>District and school leaders work in concert to implement the instructional rounds process and evaluate effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction</li> <li>District and school leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of students in need of intervention)</li> <li>Walkthroughs by administration</li> <li>Teacher evaluations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?</li> <li>Professional development</li> <li>PLC/Common Planning Meetings for standards-based planning</li> <li>Data chats to monitor effectiveness of standards-based instruction</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?</li> <li>District and school leaders monitor student data (i.e., group comparisons, % of students in need of intervention)</li> <li>District and school leaders work in concert to implement the instructional rounds process and evaluate effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?</li> <li>Use student data (i.e., LAFS District Assessments, SDPBC Literacy Assessment, iReady Diagnostic assessment, SDPE LAFS Diagnostics and other formative assessments) to identify trends in curricular strengths and weaknesses an create a plan to address curricular gaps.</li> <li>PLC/Common planning meetings focus on evaluating the alignment between standards and curriculum</li> </ul>			
Whole group and small gr	udents who receive instruction through distance learning? roup synchronous and asynchronous lessons are provided through e Meets, television, and paper packets.			

IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>Grade 4- <ul> <li>iReady: 12<sup>th</sup>-24<sup>th</sup>% on national norms</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: reading continuous text at levels L-N independently.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Grade 5- <ul> <li>iReady: 12<sup>th</sup>-24<sup>th</sup>% on national norms</li> <li>School District of Palm Beach County Literacy Benchmark Assessment System: reading continuous text at levels P-Q independently.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions							
TIE	Interventions: <ul> <li>are standards-aligned</li> <li>address gaps and reduce barriers to students' ability to meet Tier 1 expectations</li> <li>provide systematic, explicit, and interactive small group instruction targeting foundational/barrier skills</li> <li>are matched to the needs of the students</li> <li>provide multiple opportunities to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback</li> <li>occurs during time allotted in addition to core instruction</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> </ul>							
R 1	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies &	TIER 2 Progress Monitoring						
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions			
	<ul> <li>Florida Center for Reading Research</li> </ul>	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient	positive responsepoor responseto interventiontobased oninterventionindividualbased onprogressindividualmonitoring dataprogressand/ormonitoringperformanceand/orcriteria indicates aperformancecontinuation ofcriteriaTier 2 based onindicates aSDPBC LiteracyTier 3	intervention based on individual			
	Wilson Just Words	Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	based on individual progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC Literacy		monitoring and/or performance criteria indicates a			
			TELEV					

•	iReady Tools for Instruction/iStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons	Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM) Biweekly Curriculum Basec Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	criteria for that time of year (see chart above).	assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or	progress monitoring data and/or SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for		
•	Leveled Literacy Intervention Green, Blue, Red, Gold, or Purple System/Soluciones	LLI Green, and Blue Systems: LLI Reading Records administered once every six days LLI Red, Gold, and Purple Systems: LLI Reading Records administered once every eight days (45 min.) or 12 days (30 min.) Soluciones Reading Record biweekly	2	intervention.	that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.		
•	Great Leaps	Biweekly Curriculum Basec Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)					
N	umber of times a week interven	tion provided 5	Number of minu	ites per intervention s	ession 30		
<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?</li> <li>Walkthroughs by administration</li> <li>Data chats</li> <li>Professional development/coaching</li> <li>Meeting with Problem Solving/School Based Team to conduct data analysis, evaluate the effectiveness of Tier 2 instruction through small group data comparisons, determine students' response to intervention, and modify intervention plans as needed</li> </ul>							

earning?
am (i.e., LLI, for blended instructional ategies as the atervention (LLI) ategrated way, ency can be eading. This may
a at a
ng at a
ng at a
f n e e

THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions				
	Immediate, intensive intervention: <ul> <li>extended time</li> <li>targeted instruction based on st</li> <li>small group or one-on-one instr</li> <li>accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or</li> <li>more frequent progress monito</li> <li>additional time allotted is in ad</li> </ul>	uction 504) ring than TIER 1 instr		15	
	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring		
TIER 1 inst	Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions	
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Florida Center for Reading Research	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	Student has a positive response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or performance criteria indicates a continuation of Tier 2	Student has a poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data and/or performance	
	Wilson Reading System	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	intervention based on SPDBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year.	criteria indicates a change in Tier 3 interventions is needed based on SDPBC Literacy Benchmark Assessment and iReady Diagnostic assessment criteria for that time of year (see chart above). **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team	
	S.P.I.R.E Reading	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)			
	iStation Espanol Teacher Directed Lessons	Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)		may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	
	Leveled Literacy Intervention Green, Blue, Red, Gold, or Purple System/Soluciones	LLI Green, and Blue Systems: LLI Reading Records administered			

	once every six days LLI Red, Gold, and Purple Systems: LLI Reading Records administered once every		
	eight days (45 min.) or 12 day (30 min.) Soluciones		
	Reading Record biweekly	t	
Great Leaps	Weekly Curriculum Based Measure (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)	s	
All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided endorsement.	l by a teacher wh	o is certified in reading or has t	he reading
	avidad E	Number of minutes per	Time a la
Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided 5	Number of minutes per intervention session	Time is determined by the program/strategy
Number of times a week intervention pro What procedures are in place to ident intervention, including alignment with Walkthroughs by administration Data chats Professional development/coa Meeting with Problem Solving, effectiveness of Tier 2 instructor response to intervention, and the	tify and solve p th core curriculu ion aching ty/School Based T ion through sm	intervention session roblems to improve effective im and instruction? Feam to conduct data analysis all group data comparisons, d	determined by the program/strategy ness of Tier 3
<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identi intervention, including alignment with</li> <li>Walkthroughs by administration</li> <li>Data chats</li> <li>Professional development/coat</li> <li>Meeting with Problem Solving, effectiveness of Tier 2 instruction</li> </ul>	tify and solve p th core curriculu ion aching n/School Based T ion through sm modify interver	intervention session roblems to improve effective im and instruction? Feam to conduct data analysis all group data comparisons, d ition plans as needed	determined by the program/strategy ness of Tier 3

- IStation <u>Strong Evidence</u>
- Soluciones <u>Moderate Evidence</u>

*How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning? Small group and/or one-to-one intervention may continue in the following ways;* 

- Small group in online streaming platforms (i.e., Google Meet)
- Online collaborative environment (i.e., Google classroom)
- Online collaborative document (Google docs, Teams)
- Conference calls

However, the intervention may need to be provided through the use of a strategy in lieu of a program (i.e., LLI, Wilson, S.P.I.R.E Reading, etc.) due to teachers/students having limited program materials available with them for blended learning. When transitioning from the use of an intervention program or system to an intervention instructional strategy, it will be important to ensure that the strategy selected addresses the same skills and strategies as the face-to-face program and are aligned to students' targeted needs. For example, Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) is a face-to-face intervention system that addresses a skill subset (i.e., multiple skills taught in an integrated way, such as, phonics, text processing, fluency, and comprehension). These same areas of reading deficiency can be addressed using an instructional strategy more appropriate for blended learning, such as Shared Reading. This may result in time adjustments for intensive intervention.

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree					
Grade Leve	Grade Level(s): 6-12					
IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>A level 3, 4 or, 5 on the student's FY19 English Language Arts Florida Standards Assessment (FSA)</li> </ul>					
THEN:	TIER 1 Only					
TIER 1	<ul> <li>Initial instruction:</li> <li>is standards-aligned</li> <li>builds background and content knowledge, motivation</li> <li>provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction</li> <li>incorporates writing in response to reading</li> <li>includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)</li> <li>incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning</li> <li>includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities</li> </ul>					

## Core Curriculum

Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.

SDPBC's core curriculum includes six units of study utilizing *Houghton, Mifflin, Harcourt (HMH) Florida Collections* instructional materials in addition to ancillary materials/texts that build background and infuse statutory mandates. The core curriculum is framed around different components of Balanced Literacy, Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS), and the Focused Instructional Model (FIM), each of which are supported by strong evidence. Tier 1 – Strong Evidence: supported by one or more well-designed and well-implemented randomized control experimental studies. *Tier 1 core curriculum services (time and focus) may differ by school. Core curriculum:* 

- is aligned to the Language Arts Florida Standards
- addresses reading comprehension, vocabulary, text-based writing, speaking and listening, and language
- consists of print-rich, on grade-level, culturally relevant and high-interest texts
- provides whole group and explicit, systematic, scaffolded differentiated instruction
- allows multiple opportunities for practice and application of standards-based instruction, literacy skills and strategies with meaningful texts/contexts
- incorporates writing in response to reading
- promotes gradual release of information through the sequence of modeled instruction, guided practice, and independent practice
- embeds ongoing formative assessments at varying points of instruction
- uses a variety of progress monitoring tools such as curriculum-based measures
- utilizes Tier 1 interventions and strategies for all students
- includes resources for accommodations and scaffolds (i.e., ESOL, 504, IEP)
- includes resources for students with disabilities (i.e., Access Points Curriculum)
- provides multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression (i.e., UDL)

Progress Monitoring					
Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions			
<ul> <li>Florida Standards Assessment English Language Arts - administered annually</li> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - ongoing progress</li> </ul>	• FY19 ELA FSA Score of 3, 4, or 5	<ul> <li>Predicted level 1 or 2 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Below proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary</li> </ul>			

<ul> <li>monitoring administered 3 times per year (beginning, middle, end)</li> <li>SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic -administered annually (Grades 6-10)</li> <li>SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessment System: Florida Standard Quizzes and Unit Standard Assessment - each assessment is administered 6 times per year/once per unit of instruction (Grades 6-10)</li> </ul>	English Language Arts Formative Assessments: Florida Standard Quizzes and Unit Standard Assessment
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?</li> <li>School Improvement Planning and Instructional Reviews by Principals, School leadership Teams, Regional or Instructional Superintendents, and District Leadership</li> <li>SDPBC Classroom Teacher Evaluation System - Focused Instructional Model</li> <li>System-wide data-based problem- solving by Principals, School Leadership Teams, Regional or Instructional Superintendents, and District Leadership teams</li> <li>Data analysis/chats by school and district leadership teams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?</li> <li>Identifying, promoting, and training school administrators and Reading Leadership Teams in evidence-based instructional practices for all students</li> <li>Identifying, promoting, and training teacher mentors at school sites</li> <li>Establishing model classrooms at school sites</li> <li>Implementing collaborative problem solving through PS/SBT to develop, implement, and monitor Tier I instructional practice/interventions</li> <li>Analyzing student data from district formative and statewide summative assessments to identify gaps and supports needed to sustain effective Tier 1 instructional practices at the school/classroom level</li> <li>Promoting systematic planning through Lesson Study Groups and/or Professional Learning Communities to assess effectiveness of Tier Instruction/interventions</li> <li>Providing professional development that supports the use of an evidence-based problem-solving process with fidelity and assists educators with assessing the effectiveness and fidelity of implementation of Tier I instruction/interventions</li> <li>Providing instructional coaching for Tier I interventions</li> <li>Utilizing "decision rules"/student response to instruction/intervention for instructional decision making (i.e., positive, questionable, poor based on rate of student performance)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored?</li> <li>School Improvement Planning</li> <li>Curriculum review and program evaluation by school and district leadership teams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?</li> <li>Training school administrators and Reading Leadership Teams in Tier 1 core curriculum resources</li> <li>Analyzing student data from district formative and statewide summative assessments to identify gaps and supports necessary for sustaining effective Tier 1 core curriculum</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>System-wide data-based problem- solving by school and district leadership teams.</li> <li>Data analysis/chats by school and district leadership teams.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Promoting systematic planning through Lesson Study Groups and/or Professional Learning Communities to assess effectiveness of Tier I curriculum</li> <li>Providing professional development that supports the use of an evidence-based problem-solving process with fidelity and assists educators with assessing the effectiveness and fidelity of implementation of Tier I curriculum</li> <li>Providing instructional coaching for implementation of Tier 1 core curriculum</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Providing blended instruction thr</li> <li>Providing whole group and differ</li> <li>Teacher-student communication</li> <li>Foundational content addressed</li> </ul>	who receive instruction through distance learning? Tough both synchronous and asynchronous formats Trentiated reading instruction via Google Classroom and Google Meet (e.g., phone calls, Google Meet, email, etc.) and/or missed during FY20 distance learning in FY21 core curriculum tools that promote student engagement and collaboration

IF:	<ul> <li>Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:</li> <li>A score of 2 or below on the FSA</li> </ul>				
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions				
	<ul> <li>provide systemskills</li> <li>are matched</li> <li>provide multi</li> <li>occurs durin</li> </ul>	ds-aligned s and reduce barriers to s ematic, explicit, and inter I to the needs of the stude tiple opportunities to prace g time allotted in addition ommodations (IEP, ESOL o	active small group instru ents ctice the targeted skill(s) n to core instruction	uction targeting found	
	TIER 2 Programs/ Materials/		TIER 2 Progress N	Aonitoring	
TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions	TER Strategies & Duration Frequen	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tier 3 interventions
	<ul> <li>Reading Plus Adaptive Literacy Intervention</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reading Plus Insight Benchmark Assessment Ongoing progress monitoring administered 3 times per year (beginning, middle, end)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - At or above grade level performance score</li> <li>Student has a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - 1 year below grade level performance score</li> <li>Student has</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - 2 years below grade level performance score</li> </ul>
			positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data	a positive or questionable response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring **NOTE: If</li> </ul>

			questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.
<ul> <li>Blended Learning/ Rotational Model: Newsela</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic - administered annually (Grades 6-10)</li> <li>SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessment System: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment - each assessment is administered 6 times per year/once per unit of instruction (Grades 6-10)</li> <li>Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicted level 3 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Above proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessments: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment</li> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicted level 2 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Below proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessments : Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment</li> <li>Student has a positive or questionable response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If questionable response to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicted level 1 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Below proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessments: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment</li> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention</li> </ul>

			intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.	team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.
Just Words	<ul> <li>Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive or questionable response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.</li> </ul>
HD Word	<ul> <li>Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive or questionable response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency</li> </ul>

				and/or intervention.		d/or ervention.
Achieve3000	<ul> <li>Biweekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>		Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 1 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data	<ul> <li>Student has a positive or questionable response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.</li> </ul>	qu or res int ba inc pro mo ** qu res int tea ne tar de an	udent has a estionable poor sponse to ervention sed on lividual ogress onitoring NOTE: If estionable sponse to ervention am may ed to evaluate get/ ficiency d/or ervention.
Number of times a w provided	veek intervention	5	Number of minute	es per intervention s	ession	20 - 25
<ul> <li>intervention, includ</li> <li>Walkthrough</li> <li>Data chats</li> <li>Professional</li> <li>Meeting with</li> </ul>	re in place to identify ling alignment with c as by administration development/coaching a School Based Team to , and modify interventio	ore cu condu	urriculum and inst	ruction?	-	
evidence, or promising Strong ESSA Rating: reliable assessment motivation. The prog and control over their	of the programs/mater ng evidence. Reading Plus is a web- and is designed to s gram combines persona r program experience. F derstanding of complex	based trengt lized p leadin	literacy program for then reading comp practice and adaptive g Plus is designed to	or grades 3-12+. It i rehension, vocabula e instruction, and of	ncludes a ary, effic fers stude	a valid and iency, and ents choice

How are Tier 2 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?
<ul> <li>Providing blended instruction through both synchronous and asynchronous formats</li> <li>Providing whole group and differentiated reading instruction via Google Classroom, and Google Meet</li> <li>Teacher-student communication (e.g., phone calls, Google Meet, email, etc.)</li> <li>Foundational content addressed and/or missed during FY20 distance learning in FY21 core curriculum</li> <li>Utilizing educational technology tools that promote student engagement and collaboration</li> </ul>

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: A score of 1 on the FSA				
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions				
TIER	Immediate, intensive • extended tin • targeted inst • small group • accommodat • more freque • additional tin TIER 3 Programs/Materials	interventions erventions			
1 instruction, TI	/Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions	
TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	<ul> <li>Blended Learning/ Rotational Model: Newsela</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessment System: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment - each assessment is administered 6 times per year/once per unit of instruction (Grades 6-10)</li> <li>Reading Plus Insight Benchmark Assessment Ongoing progress</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicted level 2 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Below proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessments: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment</li> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - At or above grade level performance score</li> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicted level 1 on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Diagnostic</li> <li>Below proficiency scores on SDPBC Secondary English Language Arts Formative Assessments: Florida Standards Quizzes and Uniform Standards Assessment</li> <li>Reading Plus InSight Benchmark Assessment - 2 years below grade level performance score</li> </ul>	

	<ul> <li>monitoring administered 3 times per year (beginning, middle, end)</li> <li>Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	now indicating Tier 2 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data.	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If a questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.</li> </ul>
HD Word	<ul> <li>Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 2 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If a questionable response to intervention team may need to reevaluate target/ deficiency and/or intervention.</li> </ul>
S.P.I.R.E Reading	<ul> <li>Weekly Curriculum Based Measures (FastBridge, Acadience or Easy CBM)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 2 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student has a questionable or poor response to intervention based on individual progress monitoring data **NOTE: If a questionable response to intervention team</li> </ul>

					ite target/ cy and/or
Wilson Reading System	Weekly Curricu Based Measu (FastBr Acadie Easy Cl	ilum res ridge, nce or	<ul> <li>Student has a positive response to intervention and is now indicating Tier 2 is sufficient based on individual progress monitoring data</li> </ul>	interven based or individua progress monitor **NOTE questior response interven may nee reevalua	hable or ponse to tion h al ing data : If a hable e to tion team ed to hte target/ cy and/or
All Tier 3 Interventions r endorsement.	must be provid	ded by a te	acher who is certified in read	ing or has the	reading
Number of times a weel intervention provided	k	5	Number of minutes per inte session	rvention	40 - 50
<ul> <li>3 intervention, including</li> <li>Walkthroughs b</li> <li>Data chats</li> <li>Professional deviation</li> </ul>	ng alignmen y administrati velopment/coa thool Based Te	t with cor on aching cam to cond	d solve problems to improver e curriculum and instruction duct data analysis, determine s ans as needed	n?	
moderate evidence, or p Strong ESSA Rating: Rea and reliable assessment and motivation. The pr students choice and con	ding Plus is a and is design ogram combi trol over their	dence. web-based ed to strer nes person program e	A literacy program for grades and literacy program for grades and here and adaptive experience. Reading Plus is destructed by the second second by the secon	3-12+. It includ n, vocabulary, e instruction, a signed to deve	efficiency, and offers lop critical

categorized as <u>Strong Evidence</u> as evidenced by ESSA guidelines. SPIRE (Grade 3-8) is categorized a <u>Strong Evidence</u> as evidenced by ESSA guidelines.			
How are Tier 3 interventions modified for students who receive interventions through distance learning?			
• Providing blended instruction through both synchronous and asynchronous formats			
• Providing whole group and differentiated reading instruction via Google Classroom and Google Meet			
• Teacher-student communication (e.g., phone calls, Google Meet, email, etc.)			
• Foundational content addressed and/or missed during FY20 distance learning in FY21 core curriculum			
• Utilizing educational technology tools that promote student engagement and collaboration			