# Madison County 2020-2021 District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Template

## **Contact Information**

The district contact(s) should be the person(s) ultimately responsible for the plan and its implementation and will be Florida Department of Education's (FDOE) contact for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan. Please designate the contact(s) for your district.

	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Main District Reading Contact	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Responsibility	Name	Title	Email	Phone
Elementary ELA	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Secondary ELA	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Reading Endorsement	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Reading Curriculum	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Professional Development	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Assessment	Barbara Pettiford	Assessment Coordinator	barbara.pettiford@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1554
Data Element	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
Summer Reading Camp	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552
3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Promotion	Robin Hill	Curriculum Director	robin.hill@mcsbfl.us	850-973-1552

### **Plan Information**

How is the district communicating the contents of its Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan to all stakeholders?

The reading plan will be posted on the district website. Additionally, the plan will be reviewed at each school site by the principal or his/her designee during pre-planning.

Monitoring of District K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan Implementation as required by 6A-6.053(1)(a) F.A.C. District-Level Leadership 6A-6.053(7) F.A.C.

K-5

Component of Reading	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
Oral language	Oral Language, Written Language, Receptive Language, Expressive Language, and Overall Language skill levels	Screener: Colorado Oral Language Screener	One to One testing	2 times
Phonological awareness	rhyme recognition, phoneme identity and isolations, phoneme blending and segmentation, phoneme addition and substitution, phoneme deletion	Screener/Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring: iReady	Electronically	3 times
Phonics	letter recognition, consonant sounds, short and long vowels, decoding one and two-syllable words, inflectional endings; prefixes and suffixes, digraphs and diphthongs, vowel patterns, decoding longer words.	Screener/Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring: iReady	Electronically	3 times
Fluency	Letter naming, phonemic segmentation, non- sense words, and word reading fluency	Screener/Progress Monitoring: DIBELS	One to one testing	3 times
Vocabulary	academic and domain-specific vocabulary, word relationships, word learning strategies, use of reference materials, prefixes, suffixes, and word roots.	Screener/Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring: iReady	Electronically	3 times
Comprehension	author's purpose, categorize and classify, cause and effect, drawing conclusions/making inferences, fact and opinion, main idea and details, message, summarizing/retelling, text structure, determining word meaning, compare and contrast across different texts and media, analysis of close reading of a text, citing textual evidence.	Screener/Diagnostic/Progress Monitoring: iReady	Electronically	3 times

Progress Monitoring Tool	What data is being collected?	Assessment type (e.g., screener, diagnostic, progress monitoring/formative, summative)	How is the data being collected?	How often is the data being collected?
STAR	Phonic knowledge & skills, word recognition, fluency & accuracy, vocabulary, engaging & responding to texts, understanding & interpreting texts	Screener/Progress Monitoring	Electronically	3 times
Achieve3000	Lexile levels and performance on standards	Diagnostic/Progress monitoring	Electronically	3 times

K-12 Data Analysis and Decision-making as required by 6A-6.053(1)(b) F.A.C.

	Data Analysis and Decision-making						
How often is the	What problem-solving	What steps is the district	How are concerns communicated if it is	Who at the district level is			
data being	steps are in place for	taking to see building and	determined that the K-12 Reading Plan is	responsible for providing plan			
reviewed and by	making decisions	classroom level data and to	not being implemented in an explicit	implementation oversight,			
whom?	based on the data?	share findings with	manner, based on data to meet the needs	support and follow-up?			
		individual schools?	of students?				
Quarterly	Data teams meet and use decision tree	Quarterly	Meetings with school-based admin. mtg	Robin Hill			

# School Level Leadership 6A-6.053(8) F.A.C.

Practice	Who ensures that the	How is the	How often is the data being	How is the data being shared	How often is the data
	practice is informed	purpose	collected?	and by whom?	being reviewed and by
	by a specific purpose?	communicated?			whom?
Weekly reading	Principals or designees	Discussions during	Monthly	Follow up feedback by	Monthly by Principals
walkthroughs by		data chats		Principals	
administrators					
Data chats	Principals/Curriculum	Oral conversations	Monthly	Oral conversations with	Monthly by Principals
	Coordinators			Principals & Teachers	
Reading	Principals /Coaches	Setting of	Quarterly	Data Reviews by Team	Quarterly by the team
Leadership		designated goals		members	
Team per 6A-					
6.053(3) F.A.C.					

Monitoring of	Principals/Curriculum	PLCs and Data	Quarterly	Quarterly reports to district	Quarterly by Principals
plan	Coordinators	chats		administrators by the	
implementation				Principals & leadership team	
Other:					
(Specify)					
		Impler	nentation and Progress-monito	oring	
What problem-so	lving steps are in place	How are concerns of	ommunicated if it is	How will district leadership provide plan implementation	
for making decision	ons based on data?	determined that the	e plan is not being	oversight, support and follow-up?	
	implemented in a systematic and explicit manner,				
based on data to meet the needs of students?					
After review of data, the principal will Principals will meet individually with teachers or		In quarterly meetings, district administrators will review			
guide the teacher	s through the decision	as teams to review the decision trees and steps of		student performance and walk through data. Suggestions	
making process.		action that should b	oe taken.	will be provided if needed.	

# Professional Development per 6A-6.053(4) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it communicated to	How is it monitored by	How often is it reported to the	To whom is it reported at the district?	Who at the district level is responsible for following up if
	principals?	principals?	district and in what		the professional development
			format?		requirement isn't happening?
Training in multisensory	Beginning of the	Sign in Sheets	Monthly – Log of	Robin Hill, Curriculum	Robin Hill, Curriculum Director
reading intervention	year Admin. Mtg.		training activities	Director	
Differentiated professional	Beginning of the	Coaching Plans /	Monthly Admin	Travis Blue, HR Director	Travis Blue, HR Director &
development with intensity	year Admin. Mtg. –	Walk throughs	meetings – Update on	& Robin Hill, Curriculum	Robin Hill, Curriculum Director
increased for those teachers	Review of		coaching plans	Director	
whose progress monitoring	Expectations				
data is not showing growth					
Identification of mentor	Beginning of the	Annual Mentor	Annually – Mentor	Travis Blue, HR Director	Travis Blue, HR Director
teachers	year Mtg. – Mentor	Plan is provided	assignment list		
	assignment list	to principals			
Establishing of model	Summer Adm.	Coaching Plans	Annually – List	Travis Blue, HR Director	Travis Blue, HR Director
classrooms within the school	Mtg. – Review of		provided to	& Robin Hill, Curriculum	& Robin Hill, Curriculum
	Expectations		Superintendent	Director	Director
Providing teachers with time	Summer Adm.	Meeting Minutes	Quarterly reports to	Robin Hill, Curriculum	Robin Hill, Curriculum Director
weekly to meet together for	Mtg. – Master	and attendance	district leadership	Director	
professional development	Schedule Reviews		team		
including lesson study / PLCs					

# Instruction

K-5 Uninterrupted 90 minute Daily Reading Block per 6A-6.053(9)(a) F.A.C.

Requirement	How is it	How is it monitored	How is it reported to the	To whom is it	How often is it reported
	communicated to	by principals?	district?	reported at the	to the district?
	principals?			district?	
Whole group instruction utilizing	Beginning of the	Walk throughs /	Quarterly reports to	Robin Hill,	Quarterly
an evidence-based sequence of	year Admin. Mtg. –	Lesson Plans	district administrators by	Curriculum	
reading instruction	Review of		the Principals &	Director	
	Expectations		leadership team		
Small group differentiated	Beginning of the	Walk throughs /	Quarterly reports to	Robin Hill,	Quarterly
instruction in order to meet	year Admin. Mtg. –	Lesson Plans	district administrators by	Curriculum	
individual student needs	Review of		the Principals &	Director	
	Expectations		leadership team		

## **Budget per** 6A-6.053(2) F.A.C.

How is the district prioritizing K-3 students with substantial reading deficiencies in the use of its Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation funds?

We are using funds from the Reading Instruction Allocation to provide a reading coach for schools that have students in grades K-3 and to provide a summer reading camp for students in grade 3 who demonstrate reading deficiencies on the Florida Standards Assessment.

Reading Allocation Budget Item	Amount
Estimated proportional share distributed to district charter	29,000
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to elementary schools	55,000
District expenditures on reading coaches assigned to secondary schools	0
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to elementary schools	0
District expenditures on intervention teachers assigned to secondary schools	40,000
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for elementary schools	9,521.00
District expenditures on supplemental materials or interventions for secondary schools	34,864.00
District expenditures on professional development	2,000
District expenditures on helping teachers earn the reading endorsement	5,000
District expenditures on summer reading camps	32,000
District expenditures on additional hour for school on the list of 300 lowest performing	0
elementary schools	
Flexible Categorical Spending	0
Sum of Expenditures	
Amount of District Research-Based Reading Instruction Allocation	207,385

# **Summer Reading Camp**

All Summer Reading Camp teachers are required to be highly effective and reading endorsed/certified. An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What evidence-based instructional materials are being used for Summer Reading Camp?

Leveled Literacy Intervention
Will students in grades other than 3 be served also? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
If yes, which grade levels?

# **Reading Allocation Literacy Coaches**

Minimum Qualifications per 6A-6.053(6)(d) F.A.C.:

Coaches must meet the following criteria:

- reading endorsement or reading certification
- experience as successful classroom teachers
- knowledge of evidence-based reading research
- special expertise in quality reading instruction and infusing reading strategies into instruction
- data management skills
- strong knowledge base in working with adult learners
- excellent communication skills
- outstanding presentation, interpersonal, and time-management skills

An addendum will be sent out the last week of August 2020 requesting specific information.

What problem-solving method was used to determine which schools have the greatest need based on student performance data in reading per 6A-6.053 F.A.C.? (Please attach any rubrics or related artifacts)

2019 FSA Data and 2019-20 iReady Data

How have you communicated to principals, coaches, teachers, and district staff that coaches are not asked to perform administrative functions that will confuse their role for teachers; and that they are to spend limited time administering or coordinating assessments?

Review of the Madison County District Reading Plan will be conducted at our annual Administrative Beginning of Year Mtg. Reading coach duties are spelled out in the plan.

Who is monitoring whether that is adhered to? Whom do coaches go to with concerns if these requirements are not followed?

Robin Hill, Curriculum Director	

#### Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(b) F.A.C.

Is your district using the Just Read, Florida! coaching model? Yes  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

If you checked no, please complete and submit the Alternative Coaching Model document.

If you checked yes, please fill out the following chart:

## Requirements of the Just Read, Florida! Coaching Model per 6A-6.053(6)(c) F.A.C.

- Provide professional development on the following:
  - o the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data
  - o administration and analysis of instructional assessments
  - o providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention
- Model effective instructional strategies for teachers
- Facilitate study groups
- Train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction
- Coach and mentor colleagues
- Provide daily support to classroom teachers
- · Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading programs are implemented with fidelity
- Help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students
- Help lead and support reading leadership teams at their school(s)
- Continue to increase their knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction, intervention, and instructional reading strategies
- Work frequently with students in whole and small group instruction to model and coach in other teachers' classrooms

How are these	How are coaches	Who at the	How often is	What problem-solving
requirements being	recording their	district level is	the data being	steps are in place for
communicated to	time and tasks?	monitoring this?	reviewed?	making decisions based
principals?				on the data?
Review of District	Coaching Log	Robin Hill,	Quarterly	MTSS System
Reading Plan at		Curriculum		
annual Adm. BOY Mtg.		Director		

#### Other Considerations

# Reading Intervention Data Element per 6A-6.053(7)(e)

Reporting of data elements is required by the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan within the Automated Student and Staff Data Base System. These data elements include:

- Student Enrollment in Reading Intervention;
- Reading Endorsement competency status for teachers;
- Reading Certification progress status for teachers.

#### Charter schools per 6A-6.053(5)

Charter schools must utilize their proportionate share of the research-based reading allocation in accordance with Sections 1002.33(7)(a)2.a., and 1008.25(3)(a), F.S. All intensive reading interventions specified by the charter must be delivered by a teacher who is certified or endorsed in reading.

#### Instructional Continuity Plan

Given that it is important to plan ahead for any contingency, please attach your Instructional Continuity Plan for 2020-2021 if you wish to have it reviewed by Just Read, Florida! We will offer feedback and suggest resources.

# Curriculum/Instruction/Assessment Decision Trees per 6A-6.053(9)(c)

<u>Use the following decision tree template to address ALL district students. What follows is one tree that</u> can be copied as needed. The template can be used for grade bands or for individual grades.

The Decision Trees must contain the following information:

- The grade level(s) of students the decision tree is addressing
- Name and performance benchmark on screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, local assessment, statewide assessment or teacher observations used to identify students with substantial deficiencies in reading and subsequent interventions provided
- A description of the intensive, explicit, systematic and multisensory reading interventions which will be provided to students in grades K-3
- Information on how the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener will be used to identify students for intervention
- Core curriculum and K-12 intervention materials that address the six (6) components of reading: oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension
- The methods for providing reading instruction and intervention to students who may continue to receive instruction through distance or blended learning

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree									
Grade Le	Grade Level(s): K									
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Student scores between 497-529 on STAR Early Literacy (FLKRS).									
THEN:	TIER 1 Only									
	Initial instruction:  • is standards-aligned  • builds background and content knowledge, motivation  • provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction  • incorporates writing in response to reading  • includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)  • incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning  • includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities									
		Core Curriculum								
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.									
	HMH Journeys, FLVS, and Ready Reading supplemented with Saxon Phonics Strong Evidence HMH Journeys - <a href="https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart">https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart</a> Ready Reading - <a href="https://www2.curriculumassociates.com/aboutus/Press-Release-Curriculum-Associates-Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirements.aspx">https://www2.curriculumassociates.com/aboutus/Press-Release-Curriculum-Associates-Ready-meets-ESSA-Federal-Funding-Requirements.aspx</a> Saxon Phonics - <a href="https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart">https://www.hmhco.com/research/essa/essa-solutions-comparison-chart</a>									
	Progress Monitoring									
TIER 1	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions							
	Initial: STAR Early Literacy Progress Monitoring: iReady	Scale Score: 497-529 Green Zone – Scale Scores vary by grade level	Students who score below the Green Zone in individual domains will receive tiered interventions in those domains.							
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? iReady progress monitoring data & Classroom walk-through data	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats to review student progress and classroom walk-through data. As areas of need are identified, PLCs are developed to address the needs.								
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Student performance data	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats to review student progress and classroom walk-through data.								
	How is instruction modified for students participating in distance learning are provinstruction and use FLVS curriculum.									

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Student scores between 438-496 on STAR Early Literacy (FLKRS).								
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions								
	Interventions:								
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 2 Pro	gress Monitoring				
instruction and TIER 2 interventions		Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Tie 3 interventions			
	Leveled Literacy Instruction	Weekly		95% on Running Records	90% on Running Records	<85% on Running Records			
	iReady Intervention Toolbox, Heggerty Phonemic Awareness program	iReady Diagnostic 3X a year		Student moves into Green Zone in iReady	Student remains in Yellow Zone	Student drops into the Red Zone			
struction	iReady Differentiated Paths	Monthly progress checks		Student moves into Green Zone in iReady	Student remains in Yellow Zone	Studen into the Zone	-		
<del>-</del>									
TIER	Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided	3	Number of minu	tes per intervention s	ession	30		
	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions.  Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.								
	Explain how the use of the programs/mo or promising evidence.  The LLI intervention program was sele- demonstrated increases in reading perform	cted base	_		_				
	How are Tier 2 interventions modified fo Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized or small group support thro	online le	ssons t	to target areas of d	eficiency. Teachers al	so provide	2		

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Student scores between 437 or below on STAR Early Literacy (FLKRS).								
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions								
su	Immediate, intensive intervention:      extended time     targeted instruction based on student need     small group or one-on-one instruction     accommodations (IEP, ESOL, or 504)     more frequent progress monitoring than TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions     additional time allotted is in addition to core instruction and tier 2 interventions								
ind TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration	Assessment & Performance of requency remove Tier 3 and Tier 2 interve		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring  Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance that would   changes to intervent	orompt Tier 3			
	Leveled Literacy Instruction	Weel	kly	90% on Running Records	<85% on Rur Records	nning			
	iReady Intervention Toolbox	iReady Diagnostic 3X a year		Diagnostic		Student moves into the Yellow Zone in iReady	Student show progress from progress mo to the next.	m one	
2 interventions, and TIER	RAVE-O, FCRR Activities, Saxon Phonics, iReady Differentiated Paths	iReady Monthly Progress Checks		Student moves into the Yellow Zone in iReady	Student show progress from progress mo to the next.	m one			
2 inter	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.								
ı, TIER	Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided	5	Number of minutes per inte session	rvention	45			
TIER 1 instruction	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions.  Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.								
TIER	Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.  The LLI intervention program was selected based on the Work Works Clearinghouse evaluation. The program demonstrated increases in reading performance.								
	How are Tier 3 interventions modified for Teachers are able to prescribe specific on individualized and small group support th	line lessons	to targe	t areas of deficiency. Teachers	s also provide	?			

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree									
Grade Lo	evel(s): 1-5								
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Based on the iReady Standard View – Student scores in the Green Zone (current grade level instruction)								
THEN:	TIER 1 Only								
	<ul> <li>provides print rich, systemati</li> <li>incorporates writing in respo</li> <li>includes accommodations (IE</li> <li>incorporates the principles of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>is standards-aligned</li> <li>builds background and content knowledge, motivation</li> </ul>							
		Core Curriculum							
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.								
	HMH Journeys and Ready Reading supplemented with Saxon Phonics								
	Progress Monitoring								
	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions						
TIER 1	iReady Diagnostic - 3 times	Student scores in the Green Zone (on grade level)	Students who score below the Green Zone in individual domains will receive tiered interventions in those domains.						
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored? iReady progress monitoring data & Classroom walk-through data	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats t review student progress and classroom walk-through data. As areas of need are identified, PLCs are developed to address the needs.							
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Student performance data	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats to review student progress and classroom walk-through data.  Curriculum problems are then addressed in team planning sessions.							
	How is instruction modified for stude Students participating in distance lea stream live instruction and use FLVS of	rning are provided instruction	_						

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Based on the iReady Standard View – Student scores in the Yellow Zone (one year below current grade)									
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions									
	<ul><li>provide systematic, explicit, and</li><li>are matched to the needs of the</li></ul>	s to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback addition to core instruction								
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & TIER 2 Progress Monitoring  Duration									
tions	Duration	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Criter would additio	rmance ria that prompt on of Tier ventions			
instruction and TIER 2 interventions	Leveled Literacy Instruction	Weekly		95% on Running Records	Records Runnir		<85% on Running Records			
and TIER 2	iReady Intervention Toolbox, iReady Oral Fluency Screener	iReady Diagnostic 3X a year		Student moves into Green Zone in iReady	Student remains in Yellow Zone	Studen into th Zone	it drops e Red			
struction	iReady Differentiated Paths	Mon prog che	ress	Student moves into Green Zone in iReady	Student remains in Yellow Zone	Studen into th Zone	it drops e Red			
⊣										
TIER	Number of times a week intervention pr	ovided	5	Number of minu	tes per intervention s	ession	30			
	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions.  Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.									
	Explain how the use of the programs/mor promising evidence. https://ies.ed.go The LLI intervention program was sele demonstrated increases in reading perfo	ov/ncee/ ected base	wwc/Ir	nterventionReport,	<u>/679</u>					
	How are Tier 2 interventions modified for Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized and small group support the	online le	ssons	to target areas of d	eficiency. Teachers pr	ovide				

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Based on the iReady Standard View – Student scores in the Red Zone (two or more years below current grade)									
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions									
	<ul><li>small group or one-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-on-</li></ul>									
10	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies &			TIER 3 Progress Monitoring						
2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Duration	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Crit would prompt ch Tier 3 intervel	anges to				
	Leveled Literacy Instruction	Weekly		90% on Running Records	<85% on Runnii Records	ng				
	iReady Intervention Toolbox	iReady Diagnostic 3X a year		Student moves into the Yellow Zone in iReady	Student shows progress from c progress monitor the next.	one				
ventions, ar	RAVE-O, FCRR Activities, Saxon Phonics, iReady Differentiated Paths	iReady Mon Progress Ch	-	Student moves into the Yellow Zone in iReady	Student shows progress from coprogress monitor the next.	ne				
2 interv	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.									
<u>~</u>	Number of times a week interve	ention provided	5	Number of minutes per int session	ervention	45				
TIER 1 instruction, TIE	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 3 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions.  Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.									
TIER	Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.  The LLI intervention program was selected based on the Work Works Clearinghouse evaluation. The program demonstrated increases in reading performance.									
	How are Tier 3 interventions mo Teachers are able to prescribe individualized and small group s	e specific online le	essons to	target areas of deficiency. To	eachers provide					

	Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree									
Grade I	de Level(s): 6-8									
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  6 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 671 or above  7 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 770 or above  8 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 812 or above									
THEN:	TIER 1 Only									
	Initial instruction:  • is standards-aligned  • builds background and content knowledge, motivation  • provides print rich, systematic, scaffolded, and differentiated instruction  • incorporates writing in response to reading  • includes accommodations (IEP, ESOL or 504)  • incorporates the principles of Universal Design for Learning  • includes specially designed instruction for students with disabilities									
		Core Curriculum								
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.									
	Springboard ELA: A 2012 Efficacy study showed Florida schools using Springboard curriculum over a 3 year period demonstrated strong evidence of growth.									
		Progress Monitoring								
TIER 1	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions							
-	STAR Reading	3 Times	Students who drop below grade level in any of the domains will receive interventions in those domains							
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?  STAR progress monitoring data & Classroom walk-through data Classroom walk-through data  What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats to review student progress and classroom walk-through data. As areas of need are identified, PLCs are developed to address the needs.									
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Student performance data	What procedures are in place to it improve effectiveness of Tier 1 cu Administrators and coaches meet student progress and classroom w	rriculum? with teachers for data chats to review							
	How is instruction modified for students participating in distance leading in the instruction and use FLVS curriculars.	arning are provided instruction by M	th distance learning? ladison County teachers who stream							

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  6 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 510-670  7 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 574-769  8 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 597-811									
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions									
	<ul> <li>provide systematic, explicit, and</li> <li>are matched to the needs of the</li> </ul>	interaction students practice dition to	practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback dition to core instruction							
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies &  Duration			TIER 2 Pro	gress Monitoring					
ruction and TIER 2 interventions	Buruton	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Critei would addi Ti	rmance ria that prompt ition of er 3 rentions			
	Achieve3000	Benchmark Assessments 3 times a yr.		Average achievement above 85	Average achievement between 70 and 85	Average achievemen below 70				
n and TIEF	Moby Max	STAR Assessment 3X a year		6 <sup>th</sup> - >610 7 <sup>th</sup> - >769 8 <sup>th</sup> - > 811	6 <sup>th</sup> – 510 to 670 7 <sup>th</sup> – 574 to 769 8 <sup>th</sup> – 597 to 811	6 <sup>th</sup> - <5 7 <sup>th</sup> - <5 8 <sup>th</sup> - <5	574			
	Leveled Literacy Instruction	We	ekly	95% on Running Records	90% on Running Records	<85% Runni Recor	ng			
TIER 1 inst										
П	Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided	5	Number of min	outes per intervention		30			
	What procedures are in place to identification, including alignment with Administrators conduct targeted walk-to-peficiencies are noted and teachers are a	<i>h core c</i> throughs	u <b>rricului</b> to evalua	<b>m and instructio</b> ate the delivery o	on? f instruction and interv					
	Explain how the use of the programs/mo or promising evidence. Moby Max provide		_		_	rate evi	dence,			
	How are Tier 2 interventions modified fo Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized and support through Webe	online le	ssons to	target areas of d	eficiency. Teachers pro	_				

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  6 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of <510  7 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of <574  8 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of <597								
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions								
	Immediate, intensive intervention:								
tions	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration		TIER 3 Progress Monitoring						
e Interveni		Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criterion that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions					
interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Achieve3000	Benchmark Assessments 3 times a yr.	Average achievement between 70 and 85	Average Ach. below 70					
	Moby Max	STAR Assessment 3X a year	6 <sup>th</sup> – 510 to 670 7 <sup>th</sup> – 574 to 769 8 <sup>th</sup> – 597 to 811	6 <sup>th</sup> - <510 7 <sup>th</sup> - <574 8 <sup>th</sup> - <597					
ions, a	Leveled Literacy Instruction	Weekly	90% on Running Records	<85% on Running Records					
ervent	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.								
2	Number of times a week intervention pr	<b>ovided</b> 5	Number of minutes per inte	ervention 45					
TIER 1 instruction, TIER	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions. Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.								
TIER 1	Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.  Moby Max provides tiered interventions aligned with standards.								
	How are Tier 3 interventions modified for Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized and small group support th	online lessons to t	arget areas of deficiency. Teac	hers provide					

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Decision Tree									
Grade Lo	evel(s): 9-12								
IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale Score of 916 or higher  10 <sup>th</sup> -12 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale Score of 975 or higher								
THEN:	TIER 1 Only								
	<ul> <li>incorporates writing in respo</li> <li>includes accommodations (IE</li> <li>incorporates the principles of</li> </ul>	ic, scaffolded, and differentiated in nse to reading							
		Core Curriculum							
	Please indicate your core curriculum and how its use by the students served is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.								
	Springboard ELA: A 2012 Efficacy study showed Florida schools using Springboard curriculum over a 3 year period demonstrated strong evidence of growth. Reading Edge is used to provide interventions in Intensive Reading classes. <a href="https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/EvidenceSnapshot/572">https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/EvidenceSnapshot/572</a> - Strong Evidence								
	Progress Monitoring								
TIER 1	Assessment & Frequency	Performance Criteria that indicates Tier 1 is sufficient	Performance Criteria to that would prompt addition of Tier 2 interventions						
	STAR Reading	Students score on grade level in each domain.	Students score below grade level in any domain.						
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction being monitored?  STAR progress monitoring data & Classroom walk-through data	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 1 instruction?  Administrators and coaches meet with teachers for data chats to review student progress and classroom walk-through data. As areas of need are identified, PLCs are developed to address the needs.							
	How is the effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum being monitored? Student performance data	ored? improve effectiveness of Tier 1 curriculum?							
	How is instruction modified for stude Students participating in distance lea stream live instruction and use FLVS of	rning are provided instruction by N							

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 684-915  10 <sup>th</sup> – 12 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score of 723-974									
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction and TIER 2 interventions									
	<ul> <li>provide systematic, explicit, and</li> <li>are matched to the needs of the</li> </ul>	to practice the targeted skill(s) and receive feedback addition to core instruction								
	TIER 2 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 2 Prog	ress Monitoring					
struction and TIER 2 interventions	Suration	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to discontinue Tier 2 intervention	Performance Criteria indicating continuation of Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt addition of Ties 3 interventions				
	Content-area Reading (in addition to ELA Class.)	45 minutes daily		9 <sup>th</sup> >684 on STAR 10 <sup>th</sup> >723	9 <sup>th</sup> 684 to 915 10 <sup>th</sup> 723 to 974	9 <sup>th</sup> < 684 10 <sup>th</sup> <723				
and TIER	Achieve3000 Embedded Assessment	Weekly (2 times)		Student average 70 or higher on assessments	Student remains below grade level in and domain	Student scores 2 grade levels below overall				
	Achieve3000 Teacher led lessons based on standards	3 days	•	Student average 70 or higher on assessments	Student remains below grade level in and domain	ow grade level 2 grade level				
IER 1 in										
F	Number of times a week intervention pro	ovided	3	Number of minut	es per intervention se	ession	30			
	What procedures are in place to identification, including alignment with Administrators conduct targeted walk-to-beficiencies are noted and teachers are a	<i>h core c</i> othroughs	u <b>rricul</b> to eva	<b>lum and instructio</b> luate the delivery of	<i>n?</i> instruction and inter					
	Explain how the use of the programs/mo or promising evidence.  Achieve3000 provides differentiated le		_		_					
	How are Tier 2 interventions modified fo Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized and small group support th	online le	ssons t	to target areas of de	ficiency. Teachers pr	ovide				

IF:	Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year: Student meets the following criteria at beginning of school year:  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score <684  10 <sup>th</sup> – 12 <sup>th</sup> Grade – STAR Scale score <723									
THEN:	TIER 1 instruction, TIER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 intensive interventions									
suc	Immediate, intensive intervention:	uction 504) ing than T	IER 1 instr		ıs					
entic	TIER 3 Programs/Materials/Strategies & Duration			TIER 3 Progress Monitoring						
sive Interve	Duration	Assessment & Frequency		Performance Criteria to remove Tier 3 and continue Tier 2 interventions in addition to Tier 1 instruction	Performance Criteria that would prompt changes to Tier 3 interventions					
IER 2 interventions, and TIER 3 Intensive Interventions	Intensive reading class	5 days per week for 45 minutes		9 <sup>th</sup> 684 to 915 10 <sup>th</sup> 723 to 974	9 <sup>th</sup> < 684 10 <sup>th</sup> < 723					
	Acheve3000 Embedded Assessment	Every	Lesson	Student remains below grade level in and domain	Student scor grade levels overall					
intions, a	Achieve3000 Teacher led lessons	2 days p	oer week	Student remains below grade level in and domain	Student scor grade levels overall					
interve	All Tier 3 Interventions must be provided by a teacher who is certified in reading or has the reading endorsement.									
TIER 2	Number of times a week intervention pr	ovided	3	Number of minutes per inte session	rvention	90				
TIER 1 instruction,	What procedures are in place to identify and solve problems to improve effectiveness of Tier 2 intervention, including alignment with core curriculum and instruction?  Administrators conduct targeted walk-throughs to evaluate the delivery of instruction and interventions. Deficiencies are noted and teachers are assigned coaching plans to remediate the deficiencies.									
TIER 1	Explain how the use of the programs/materials/strategies is supported by strong evidence, moderate evidence, or promising evidence.  Achieve3000 provides differentiated lessons based on student need. Evidence for ESSA rating was Strong. Reading Edge has strong evidence of growth for students in grades 9-12.									
	How are Tier 3 interventions modified for Teachers are able to prescribe specific individualized and small group support the	online les	sons to ta	rget areas of deficiency. Teac	hers provide					