A program’s application is the blueprint for program implementation. The program’s blueprint shall provide the plans and processes for operation, including delivery, curriculum, assessments, field experiences, data collection and continuous improvement. The purpose of this document is to provide technical assistance to postsecondary institutions and private providers in the development of an educator preparation institute (EPI) program application. The components of the program application will address each of the initial approval standards and its corresponding indicators and criteria in detail. In addition, throughout this document, a deeper explanation of each standard’s criterion is provided in “blue” to assist in developing the program application.

Brief descriptions of the four program approval standards are as follows:

- **Standard 1** concentrates on the quality of selection for teacher candidates admitted to the program.
- **Standard 2** addresses the processes for ensuring program candidates and completers are prepared to instruct prekindergarten through grade 12 students to meet high standards for academic achievement.
- **Standard 3** covers how the program will ensure high-quality field & clinical experiences will be provided for each program candidate.
- **Standard 4** focuses on program effectiveness and how data will be used to make program improvements.

To assist with **supporting evidence**, the Florida Department of Education provides the following:

(1) Data via the electronic Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (eIPEP) portal:

- Employment/Retention Data
- Student Performance/Value-added Model (VAM) Data
  - Reading/ELA Grades 4-10
  - Mathematics Grades 4-8 and Algebra (Grade 9 only)
- **Annual Program Performance Report** (APPR)
  - Placement rate of program completers
  - Rate of retention for employed program completers
  - Student performance on statewide assessments: in-field program completers’ impact on p-12 student performance
  - Student performance by subgroups (White, African American, Hispanic, Asian, Native American, students qualifying for free/reduced lunch, students with disabilities and English language learners)
  - Teacher evaluation results on program completers
Critical teacher shortage area (increased production) (Bonus)

(2) Reporting Tool:

- **Results Analyzer** – Tool provided to state-approved educator preparation programs with the following features:
  - Create relevant and timely reports on candidate and institution Florida Teacher Certification Examination (FTCE) results
  - Customize data queries to align with institution goals and areas of interest
  - Aggregate performance data across testing program years
  - Export data to Microsoft® Excel or other report software and print graphics
  - Analyze candidate and institution data for numerous variables (including self-reported data such as gender and ethnicity)

(3) Interactive Reporting Websites:

a. [EdStats: Interactive School and District Grades Reports](#) – This resource page assists institutions and school districts to obtain information on school grades and student demographics. The purpose of this site is to connect people with reports and statistical information about PK-12, college, career and adult education and employment outcomes for Florida students, staff and schools. Information is provided in a variety of formats including graphs, tables, maps and custom reports.

b. [EduData](#) – This education information portal provides information on school grades, gender, ethnicity and other demographic information by school. This website provides access to information on Florida Report Cards, advanced reports and data files in a highly visual format to facilitate consumption and use of this data.
A program application shall contain the following:

**Standard 1. Quality of Selection**
The program admits high-quality teacher candidates who meet state-mandated admission requirements and show potential for the teaching profession.

**Indicator 1.1 Admission Requirements:** The program will admit candidates that have met the state-mandated requirements outlined in s. 1004.85(3)(b), Florida Statutes, prior to admission, ensuring candidates have obtained a statement of status of eligibility that indicates his or her eligibility for the certification subject area.

1.1.1: The program describes its admission requirements including the requirements outlined in section 1004.85(3)(b), F.S.

The institution/private provider shall identify the admission requirements candidates must meet prior to being accepted into the program. The program must include the requirements outlined in section 1004.85, but should also include any additional requirements imposed by the institution/provider if applicable. The admission requirements specified in section 1004.85 require a candidate to obtain a statement of status of eligibility (SOE) indicating the candidate is eligible for the certification subject listed on the SOE.

**Indicator 1.2 Data Collection and Reporting:** The program will annually collect, monitor and report data on candidates who were admitted, enrolled and completed the program.

1.2.1: The program describes its plan for annually collecting, monitoring and reporting data on: admitted candidates, enrolled candidates and candidate completers.

The institution/private provider shall describe the annual process for collecting, monitoring and reporting data on candidates (1) admitted into the program; (2) enrolled in the program; and (3) completed the program. A detailed description of the process shall include which office at the institution/private provider will collect and report this data to the applicable data source (e.g., Board of Governors, Division of Florida Colleges, etc.) and how these data are monitored annually. (Private institutions and providers report their candidate and completer data through the electronic Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (eIPEP) platform.)

Guiding questions for collecting, monitoring and reporting data:
- When it will occur?
- Who will be doing it?
- How often and by what process/method, etc.?
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| Indicator 1.3 Ombudsman: The program has a certification ombudsman to facilitate the process and procedures required for graduates to obtain educator professional or temporary certification pursuant to s. 1012.56, F.S. |

1.3.1: The program provides the following contact information for the certification ombudsman: name, title, telephone number and email address.

The program shall provide contact information for the certification ombudsman who will be responsible for handling the procedures required for program completers to obtain educator certification as specified in section 1012.56, F.S. The contact information must include the name, title, telephone number and email address of the institution/private provider’s ombudsman.

| Indicator 1.4 Educational Plan: The program will develop an educational plan as outlined in s. 1004.85(3)(a)2., F.S., for each candidate to meet all requirements for a Florida Professional Educator’s Certificate in the subject area(s) in which the candidate has a statement of status of eligibility. |

1.4.1: The program must describe how the candidate’s educational plan will be developed to ensure each candidate is able to meet certification requirements and demonstrate his or her ability to teach the subject area shown on the statement of status of eligibility that indicates eligibility for the certification subject area.

The program shall provide a description of how it will ensure each candidate in its EPI program will be able to satisfy the certification requirements needed for the professional teaching certificate. In the description of the plan, the program application must address: (1) the certification testing requirements outlined in the SOE; (2) the coursework the candidate will complete to prepare him or her to teach the subject area shown on the SOE; (3) the field experiences the candidate will complete to demonstrate his or her ability to teach the subject area shown on the SOE; and (4) how the educational plan will ensure the candidate will make a positive impact on student learning growth in a p-12 setting.

| Standard 2. Quality of Content Knowledge and Teaching Methods |
| The program ensures that candidates and completers are prepared to instruct prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students to meet high standards for academic achievement. |

| Indicator 2.1 Uniform Core Curricula: The program will instruct and assess each candidate’s mastery of the Uniform Core Curricula (UCC) in the candidate’s certification subject area(s) during coursework and field experience(s). |
2.1.1: The program shall indicate how it will instruct and assess each candidate’s progress and mastery of the Uniform Core Curricula (UCC) in coursework and field experiences, as defined in Rule 6A-5.066(1)(ii) to include:

- Candidate instruction and assessment in Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) across content areas;
- Use of state-adopted content standards (Florida Standards) prescribed in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C. to guide curricula and instruction;
- Scientifically-researched and evidence-based reading instruction (*satisfied by Reading matrix for Competencies 1 and 2)*;
- Content literacy and mathematical practices;
- Strategies appropriate for instruction of English language learners prescribed in Rule 6A-4.0244, F.A.C.;
- Strategies appropriate for instruction of students with disabilities;
- Strategies to differentiate instruction based on student needs; and
- Use of character-based classroom management.

The program will create appropriate curriculum maps and matrices to identify how the program will instruct and assess candidates on the UCC. Sample curriculum maps and matrices for the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs), the Reading Endorsement Competencies (REC), the English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Competencies and the other UCC areas (e.g., Florida Standards, content literacy and mathematical practices, etc.) are available on the Resources website. Additional information concerning the Florida Standards; and content literacy and mathematical practices can be found at http://www.cpalms.org/Public/search/Standard. Each program is responsible for ensuring that their candidates are prepared to understand and teach the Florida Standards for the subject(s) and grade levels in which the candidate is being prepared to teach.

* It is important to note that any program type seeking to prepare a candidate in the areas of prekindergarten-primary (age 3-grade 3), elementary (K-6) and exceptional student education (K-12) certification programs shall be prepared in reading endorsement competencies one (1) through four (4).

**Indicator 2.2 Passing Results on FTCE:** The program will ensure that each candidate is prepared to pass each portion of the Florida Teacher Certification Examination (FTCE) prior to program completion.

2.2.1: The program describes how it will ensure that each candidate is prepared to pass each portion of the Florida Teacher Certification Examination (FTCE) prior to program completion, including the General Knowledge examination, the appropriate Subject Area examination and the Professional Education examination.
The program shall describe how it is preparing candidates so they have a secure knowledge of the content that will be covered in all of the certification exams required for a Florida professional educator’s certificate. Strongly consider the following Guiding Questions: What specifically is the program doing to ensure its candidates will be successful in passing the FTCEs on the first attempt? How is the program specifically preparing candidates in coursework/modules to pass each subtest of each FTCE?

2.2.2: The program provides a curriculum map, showing how the coursework/training is aligned to the Competencies and Skills Required for Teacher Certification in Florida prescribed in Rule 6A-4.0021, F.A.C., for the Professional Education examination.

The program shall provide a curriculum map identifying what course(s) address and assess each skill within each competency for the Professional Education examination for only Competencies 7 & 8 since these competencies are not addressed in the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs). A sample curriculum map template is available on the Resources website.

Note: After completing the curriculum map, review how well your curriculum covers the knowledge and skills assessed on the examination to determine if any changes in the curriculum may be needed to better prepare candidates for testing. Ensure that the most current edition of the Competencies and Skills Required for Teacher Certification in Florida is being used when developing your curriculum map for your program application. This information is available on the Postsecondary Assessment’s website (http://www.fldoe.org/accountability/assessments/postsecondary-assessment/ftce/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 3. Quality of Clinical Placement, Feedback and Candidate Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The program ensures high-quality field and clinical experiences, including feedback and support for each program candidate, and provides candidates with opportunities to demonstrate the ability to positively impact student learning growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 3.1 Field Experience Supervisor and Instructor Qualifications:** The program will ensure and monitor the qualifications of postsecondary faculty or private provider staff who instruct or supervise field experiences in which a candidate demonstrates his or her impact on p-12 student learning growth, meet the requirements outlined in s. 1004.85(6), F.S.

3.1.1: The program describes its process for selecting the postsecondary faculty or private provider staff who meet the qualifications outlined in s. 1004.85(6), F.S.

The program shall identify the selection requirements the postsecondary faculty or private provider staff must meet prior to supervising candidates during field experiences. The program must include the requirements outlined in section 1004.85(6), F.S., but should also
include any additional criteria imposed by the institution/private provider if applicable.

The qualifications for postsecondary program faculty are as follows:

1. Specialized training in clinical supervision;
2. At least 3 years of successful, relevant prekindergarten through grade 12 teaching, student services, or school administration experience; and
3. Annual demonstration of experience in a relevant prekindergarten through grade 12 school setting as defined by State Board of Education Rule.

Per State Board Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C., annual demonstration of experience in a relevant prekindergarten through Grade 12 (P-12) school setting means P-12 school-based experiences occurring yearly that are related to and in a subject matter and grade level setting that are covered by the certification necessary for the field experience course(s) or internships that the program faculty is assigned to teach or supervise. Examples include, but are not limited to, co-teaching with a P-12 educator or providing P-12 instruction directly to P-12 student.

3.1.2: The program describes its process for monitoring the qualifications of postsecondary faculty or private provider staff who instruct or supervise field experiences in which a student candidate demonstrates his or her impact on p-12 student learning growth, as outlined in s. 1004.85(6), F.S.

The program shall provide a descriptive narrative explaining how it will monitor the qualifications of postsecondary faculty or private provider staff to ensure that the state-mandated requirements outlined in s. 1004.85(6), F.S., have been met for those who instruct or supervise the field experience courses or internships. For example, how will the qualifications for the postsecondary faculty/private provider staff be documented, including annual demonstration of relevant experience? How often will it be monitored and by whom?

**Indicator 3.2 Candidate Final Summative Evaluation:** The program will use a state-approved performance evaluation that is aligned with the FEAPs and is utilized by the partnering school district for the final summative evaluation of each program candidate’s demonstration of required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors in p-12 public classroom settings. The final summative evaluation includes an explicit focus on: student engagement in learning and participation in the lesson; impact of candidate instruction on learning during the observed lesson; specific, research-based classroom management strategies; use of formative assessment to inform instruction; differentiated instruction for English Language Learners, Students with Disabilities, and gifted needs; academic feedback and questioning; and candidate content knowledge.

**3.2.1:** The program shall ensure (by including a statement) that it uses its partnering school district(s)’ state-approved instructional...
personnel evaluation system as part of the final summative evaluation of each program candidate during the culminating field experiences. The program shall also provide either a web link or a copy of the partnering district(s)’ state-approved instructional personnel evaluation system that is being utilized.

The program shall identify its partnering school district(s) and indicate in the program narrative that the school district’s state-approved instructional personnel evaluation system will be utilized for the summative evaluation of its candidates during their culminating field experiences. The institution/private provider shall also provide either a web link or a copy of the partnering school district’s state-approved instructional personnel evaluation system. It is imperative that the institution/private provider establish a partnership with the school district(s) and become proficient in using its instructional personnel evaluation system. The intent for this requirement is to ensure that teacher candidates are familiar with your partnering district’s evaluation system and are able to meet the expectations of the school district in which they are/will be employed.

**Indicator 3.3 Impact on Student Learning Growth:** The program will ensure that each candidate positively impacts p-12 student learning growth prior to program completion.

3.3.1: The program describes the content-specific formative and summative evaluation methods that will be utilized by a program candidate to demonstrate positive impact on p-12 student learning growth.

The program shall describe how program candidates will determine they have demonstrated positive impact on p-12 student learning growth over a significant period of time using multiple evaluation methods. For example, what formative and summative assessments (e.g., questioning strategies, student white boards, digital response clickers, exit tickets, pre-assessments and post-assessments) will candidates use to assess their impact on student learning during instruction in the p-12 classroom? Consider how candidates can determine p-12 student learning is occurring on a daily basis as well as after a unit lesson has been taught. The types of formative and summative assessments used by candidates during their field experiences should be included in the narrative.

**Indicator 3.4 Feedback:** The program will provide specific and actionable feedback to program candidates on their performance in field experiences that includes measurable evidence of student learning, strategically builds on prior feedback and identifies key action steps for improvement.

3.4.1: The program describes how candidates receive feedback on their progress through field experiences. Feedback shall include, at a minimum:
The program shall provide a detailed description of how program candidates will receive feedback on their performance in field experiences that address measurable evidence of student learning during each observed lesson, strategically builds on previous feedback and identifies key action steps for improvement. What is the formal process the program has to ensure that this type of feedback occurs on a consistent basis? It is important that the program’s observation and feedback practices consistently provide accurate feedback and support so that all candidates will become effective teachers so that learning can occur for every student.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions:
- Is training on actionable feedback provided by the program to the institution/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers?
- How consistent is the feedback provided to the candidates by the institution/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers?
- Is the feedback (both written and oral) provided to the candidates accurate, constructive, actionable, and likely to lead to improvements in teaching and learning practices?
- Is evidence of student learning taking place during each observed lesson in the classroom?

**Indicator 3.5 Field Experience Settings:** The program will, based upon data, select and monitor settings for teacher candidates to gain practical experience for developing effective teaching skills in schools that are high performing and/or improving with a diverse population of prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students. The settings must be in a variety of challenging environments to include, but not limited to high-poverty schools, urban schools and rural schools.

3.5.1: The program describes the process or plan for how settings are selected, based upon data, for each candidate’s field clinical experiences relevant to program objectives for the development and practice of candidate skills that represent high performing and/or improving schools, in a variety of challenging settings, with a diverse population of p-12 students.

The program shall provide a descriptive narrative explaining how high performing and/or improving p-12 school settings, including challenging environments, are selected relative to the population represented in the partnering school districts. Programs must ensure that the selected settings for field experiences represent a diverse population of p-12 students and should identify what data are used.
to define “challenging environments” within their demographic area. Examples of diverse populations shall include schools that serve or include populations such as urban, rural, low socio-economic status, English language learners and students with disabilities. Programs should conduct a demographic self-study to address field experience requirements. A demographic self-study could include an analysis of:

- Economic diversity in the provider’s partner districts (including high-poverty populations)
- Racial and ethnic populations in the provider’s partner districts (diverse populations)
- Diversity of school performance in the provider’s partner districts (including high and low achieving schools and students; and/or high performing and improving schools)
- Regional/geographic diversity in the provider’s partner districts (rural versus urban settings)
- Subgroup performance based on the Subgroup Federal Index and as reported within the Department’s EduData portal by school (challenging environments)

The self-study would provide direction and information to faculty placing candidates in field experiences to further assist in ensuring that a variety of educational settings and context are provided within the training received by candidates to better prepare them for the realities of the various educational environments they may encounter. Institutions/private providers should provide a narrative outlining who will be responsible for utilizing the information from the self-study and how they will use the results to inform placement decisions.

Note: “High-performing” schools are those with a school grade of A or B. “Improving” schools are those that have improved a letter grade from the prior year.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions:

- How is the program ensuring the candidates are placed in field experience settings that represent a variety of diverse populations?
- How is the program partnering with school districts to ensure candidates are being placed in field experience settings that represent high-performing and/or improving schools in a variety of challenging environments?
- When in the school year are candidates placed in field experiences? Ideally, candidates should experience the beginning and the end of the school year. Even if the final field experience does not occur until the spring, the candidates could have field experiences that occur during the first two weeks of the school term to experience “beginning of year” procedures.
3.5.2: The program describes the process or plan for how settings are monitored, based upon data, for each candidate’s field clinical experiences and internships relevant to program objectives for the development and practice of candidate skills that represent high performing and/or improving schools, in a variety of challenging environments, with a diverse population of p-12 students.

The program shall provide a descriptive narrative on its monitoring process, including the usage of the results of the demographic self-study, to ensure candidates are placed in appropriate field experience settings. This information should include who is responsible for monitoring, what specific data elements are tracked (school grades for HP/I; diversity: urban schools, rural schools, economic statuses, students w/ disabilities, ELLs, low achieving students, etc.), data sources (https://edstats.fldoe.org/, https://edudata.fldoe.org/, demographic self-study, etc.), how often this monitoring occurs & where it is recorded. The program may wish to consider using a table to document this information.

3.5.3: The program identifies the length of time program candidates will participate in field experiences.

The program shall identify the number of field experience hours candidates will complete during the program.

3.5.4: The program identifies the length of time candidates will be teaching in a p-12 classroom.

Of the field experience hours identified in Criterion 3.5.3, identify the number of field experience hours in which the candidates will be teaching in a p-12 classroom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 4. Quality of Program Performance Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The program supports continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based and that evaluates the effectiveness of its candidates and completers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Indicator 4.1 Program Progress and Performance Monitoring: | The program will collect and use multiple sources of data to monitor program progress and performance that includes regular and data-based self-assessment. |

4.1.1: The program describes the annual process for how it will collect aggregated data on program candidates and program completers to use for monitoring purposes on each of the following:
- Impact of p-12 student learning for all employed program completers (identify the specific types of p-12 student learning...
data you will collect); 
- Program completers’ performance as evidenced by the Annual Program Performance Report (APPR); 
- Impact of p-12 student learning for all program candidates during field experiences (identify the specific types of p-12 student learning data you will collect); 
- Program candidates’ culminating field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of mastery of the UCC; 
- Employer and candidate satisfaction surveys; 
- Program candidates’ FTCE subtest results at the competency level; and 
- Other data results under consideration by the program (if applicable).

Describe each of the following:

Impact of p-12 student learning for program completers: 
The program shall identify quantitative p-12 student learning aggregated data and the collection process that will be used to monitor program completers’ impact on student learning within the first year of teaching after program completion. For program completers employed in Florida public schools who teach reading/language arts in grades 4 through 10 or teach mathematics in grades 4 through 8 (and Algebra I in grade 9), the institution shall utilize completers’ Value-Added Model (VAM) data to evaluate and analyze program completers’ impact on p-12 student learning (available within the eIPEP platform) in addition to other student learning data. For completers who teach in subject areas and grade levels not assessed by statewide assessments, the institution shall collaborate with their partner school districts to gather p-12 student learning data. For completers who are employed in private or out-of-state schools, institutions are strongly encouraged to reach out to the principals at the schools and program completers to obtain p-12 student learning data. Other methods of assessing student performance might include national assessments results, student learning goals established by the school and/or district, and district-wide assessments. Please note that surveys from employers and program completers may be used in addition to the data cited above, but cannot be the sole source of p-12 student learning data.

Program completers’ performance as evidenced by the APPR: 
The program shall describe its process for how it will collect the data from the APPR (e.g., placement rates, retention rates, teacher evaluation results, etc.) that is provided by the Florida Department of Education to monitor the progress of its program completers.

Impact of p-12 student learning for all program candidates during field experiences: 
The program shall identify the p-12 student learning aggregated data it will collect on the formative and summative assessments used by the program candidates (refer to Criterion 3.3.1) to measure program candidates’ impact on p-12 student learning growth. How will
the program collect this data from the program candidates for monitoring purposes?

**Program candidates’ culminating field experience performance evaluations in demonstration of mastery of the UCC:**
The program shall describe how it will collect the performance evaluation results of the program candidates during their culminating field experiences to ensure candidates are able to demonstrate mastery of the UCC.

**Employer and candidate satisfaction surveys:**
The program shall describe its process for how it will collect the surveys from employers and program candidates, which measures the sufficient preparation of the candidates for the realities of the classroom as well as the institute’s responsiveness to local school districts. Also, the program shall provide an assurance statement in the narrative that it will report the survey results annually to the department.

**Program candidates’ FTCE subtest results at the competency level:**
The program shall describe how it will collect program candidates’ subtest results on the FTCE at the competency level (including the non-passing information) for monitoring purposes. (Upon state-approval, programs will receive access to the *Results Analyzer* tool, which will support their efforts in gathering and monitoring these data.)

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions:
- When will data be collected?
- Who will be collecting the data?
- How will data be stored (e.g., eIPEP, *TaskStream*, *LiveText*, *Results Analyzer*, etc.)?

**Indicator 4.2 Candidate Performance Monitoring:** The program will monitor candidate performance, including impact on student learning growth and FTCE results, to ensure candidates are meeting program expectations, and implement a remediation process for candidates not meeting program performance expectations.

**4.2.1:** The program describes the process or plan for monitoring:
- Candidate’s performance on the Uniform Core Curricula (UCC) in coursework and field experiences;
- Florida Teacher Certification Examination (FTCE) results to ensure each candidate possesses the competencies and skills relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in his or her certification subject area;
- Candidate’s impact on student learning growth; and
• Other program expectations (if applicable).

The institution/private provider shall describe its process for how it will monitor candidate performance on each of the bulleted items listed above to ensure that candidates are meeting program performance expectations. The narrative shall also include information on the role(s) and responsibilities of key faculty members/committee(s) who will assist or participate in the monitoring of the candidates’ performance and how often the monitoring of the candidates’ information will occur. Consider what types of evidence will be gathered to monitor these areas and how they will be documented. A flowchart or graphic organizer/diagram may also be included in this section to further explain the monitoring process conducted by the program.

In addition, please consider the following guiding questions:
- Are there established internal checkpoints throughout the program to ensure all candidates have met high standards before advancing through the program?
- By what method is your program tracking candidate progression (e.g., Taskstream, LiveText, Chalk & Wire, etc.)?

**4.2.2:** The program describes the process or plan for providing remediation for candidates not meeting program performance expectations.

The institution shall describe the plan for how it will assist candidates in meeting the program performance expectations. The description shall include a step-by-step remediation process to meet the individual needs of candidates.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions:
- How will the program identify struggling candidates?
- How are strategic interventions determined to ensure each candidate’s identified weaknesses are addressed?
- What process will be used to develop an individualized remediation plan?
- Which faculty or other personnel will assist in the remediation process?
- What resources will be needed to support struggling candidates?

**Indicator 4.3 Monitoring Coursework and Field Experiences:** The program will monitor the quality of coursework; connections between program coursework and field experiences; and the observation and feedback system, including clinical education training.
4.3.1: The program describes the process or plan, and the evidence used to monitor the quality of the following:

- Coursework;
- Connections between program coursework and field experiences;
- Observation and feedback provided to the program candidates by the university/college/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers; and
- Training provided to both university/college/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers who supervise program candidates.

The program shall describe its recursive process on how it will monitor the quality of the bulleted items described in Criterion 4.3.1. The narrative shall also include information on the role(s) and responsibilities of key faculty members/committee(s) who will assist or participate in the monitoring process of the program and how often the monitoring will occur. In addition, the program shall describe what types of evidence (e.g., faculty/peer observations, surveys, etc.) will be collected to monitor these areas and how they will be documented. A flowchart or graphic organizer/diagram may also be included in this section to further explain the quality review process conducted by the institution of its program.

Note: This criterion references data collection occurring on an annual basis. Criterion 4.4.1 will reference multi-year aggregate data collection utilizing analysis of data trends for continuous improvement.

**Coursework:**

*The program ensures candidates have a deep understanding of the content learned in coursework.* The application shall detail the process the program will use to monitor the quality of its coursework and its intended outcomes outlined in the syllabi.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding question on coursework:

- Does the program observe courses being taught to make sure they align to the syllabi?
- Are program candidates’ FTCE subtest results used to identify potential courses that need to be revised?

**Connections between program coursework and field experiences:**

*The program ensures that candidates are able to sufficiently and accurately transfer knowledge gained in coursework and demonstrate/apply it in field experiences/internships.* The application shall detail the process it will use to monitor the connections
between program coursework and field experiences. When explaining the process, include details on the opportunities candidates are provided to practice key teaching skills (e.g., assessment, differentiation) in field experiences; and what connections (e.g., scenarios, simulations, modeling strong instructional practices) are made in courses between course knowledge and its application to teaching practices so that candidates learn how to apply their coursework knowledge.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding question on coursework and connections to field experiences:

- Are collaborative opportunities available for course instructors and field experience supervisors to regularly meet to discuss and monitor the connections between coursework and training, and the performance in the field experiences and make adjustments if necessary to the courses?

Observation and feedback provided to the program candidates by the university/college/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers:
The program monitors the quality of the observation and feedback system to ensure this system adequately supports the development of candidates’ performance in p-12 field experiences. The application shall detail the process the program will use and what evidence will be collected to monitor its observation and feedback to ensure that candidates consistently receive high quality written and oral feedback from both the postsecondary faculty/private provider and cooperating teachers that supervise them during field experiences.

In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions on observation and feedback:

- Are the same observation forms used by the field experience supervisors and cooperating teachers?
- Are completed observation forms reviewed by the program for consistency, student learning, actionable feedback for improvements, building on prior feedback, etc.?
- Are surveys (e.g., field experience supervisors, program candidates, etc.) used to identify potential improvements to the observation and feedback process?

Training provided to both university/college/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers who supervise program candidates:
The program monitors the quality of training provided to individuals supervising program candidates’ performance in p-12 field experiences. The application shall detail what types of training will be provided to faculty/private provider supervisors and cooperating teachers; how often training and re-training will be provided and who will provide the training. The program should also indicate how the feedback data collected by the program is used to inform training needs (e.g., add more training, update training, conduct re-training, etc.).
In addition, strongly consider the following guiding questions for training:

- How are field experience supervisors and cooperating teachers trained and supported by the program on observation and feedback so accurate and consistent feedback (inter-rater reliability) is provided to candidates?
- Does the training include methods and practices of conducting high-quality observation and delivering actionable feedback?
- How are field experience supervisors trained on using the partnering school district(s)’ performance evaluation system(s)?

**Indicator 4.4 Continuous Improvement Process:** The program has a formal system for continuous improvement that includes stakeholders (roles and responsibilities) who will be involved in a data based decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning including how their input and employer and candidate satisfaction surveys will be used to support continuous program improvement.

**4.4.1:** The program describes how aggregated data listed in Criterion 4.1.1 will be analyzed to identify areas of needed improvements and drive decisions for programmatic enhancements.

The program shall describe how the aggregated data in Criterion 4.1.1 will be analyzed to determine the program’s effectiveness in preparing pre-service teachers to positively impact p-12 student learning. The application shall identify who will analyze the data and how often the data will be analyzed. In addition, the process for how data results will be used to identify and implement programmatic improvements needs to be described. The program may also wish to include a chart in this section to further explain how the data will be used to make improvements to the program.

**4.4.2:** The program describes how employer and candidate satisfaction surveys will be used for continuous program improvement.

The program shall describe how the results from the employer and candidate satisfaction surveys will be used to determine (1) if the EPI program sufficiently prepared its program candidates for the realities of the classroom, and (2) the institute’s responsiveness to the local school districts. The program should also provide details on how it ensures that the questions, response rate and process surrounding the surveys adequately measure the required elements as outlines in s. 1004.85, F.S., and should specifically identify how the program is improving the data quality of these aggregate results and address areas of continued improvement.
4.4.3: The program describes the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders that will be part of the continuous program improvement process and how their input will be used for enhancing the program.

The program’s continuous improvement process shall include a variety of stakeholders who will assist in the decision-making process. The institution shall identify (1) the stakeholders who are involved in the continuous improvement process; (2) the stakeholders’ specific roles and responsibilities; and (3) the formal process for making programmatic improvements. Keep in mind that stakeholders can be both internal and external groups, e.g., program faculty, program graduates, school district personnel, classroom teachers, principals, community agencies and business representatives. The program may wish to include a chart or diagram to further assist in explaining the continuous improvement process.