Relative Performance (Z-Scores) of Students on 2009 FCAT Reading 2010 FCAT Reading, by Free/Reduced Lunch Characteristics

On Free/Reduced Lunch Both Years				
	Mean Z-Score			
Grade Level in 2009			Number of	
to Grade Level in			Students in	
2010	2009	2010	Group	
Grade 3 to Grade 4	-0.30	-0.29	96,924	
Grade 4 to Grade 5	-0.30	-0.30	95,494	
Grade 5 to Grade 6	-0.31	-0.32	90,364	
Grade 6 to Grade 7	-0.32	-0.31	88,803	
Grade 7 to Grade 8	-0.32	-0.31	82,594	
Grade 8 to Grade 9	-0.34	-0.33	75,604	
Grade 9 to Grade 10	-0.35	-0.37	61,185	

Not on Free/Reduced Lunch Both Years					
	Mean Z-Score				
Grade Level in 2009			Number of		
to Grade Level in			Students in		
2010	2009	2010	Group		
Grade 3 to Grade 4	0.44	0.42	67,324		
Grade 4 to Grade 5	0.43	0.42	69,080		
Grade 5 to Grade 6	0.42	0.43	68,294		
Grade 6 to Grade 7	0.41	0.40	72,416		
Grade 7 to Grade 8	0.38	0.37	74,522		
Grade 8 to Grade 9	0.38	0.37	76,252		
Grade 9 to Grade 10	0.31	0.32	83,068		

Not on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in 2009; On					
Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in 2010					
	Mean Z-Score				
Grade Level in 2009			Number of		
to Grade Level in			Students in		
2010	2009	2010	Group		
Grade 3 to Grade 4	-0.03	0.00	12,384		
Grade 4 to Grade 5	-0.05	-0.01	11,977		
Grade 5 to Grade 6	-0.04	-0.03	12,442		
Grade 6 to Grade 7	-0.09	-0.06	12,408		
Grade 7 to Grade 8	-0.12	-0.11	12,724		
Grade 8 to Grade 9	-0.10	-0.10	12,484		
Grade 9 to Grade 10	-0.21	-0.21	13,301		

Students who were on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in both years performed similarly in both 2009 and 2010 on FCAT Reading, relative to the distribution of scores, in each grade level.

Students who were not on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in both years performed similarly in both 2009 and 2010 on FCAT Reading, relative to the distribution of scores, in each grade level.

Students who were not on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in 2009, but on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in 2010 performed similarly in both 2009 and 2010 on FCAT Reading, relative to the distribution of scores, in most grade levels The exceptions are:

When the students were on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch in 2010, they actually performed better (relative to the distribution of scores) in Grades 4, 5, and 7, then they did in 2009 when they were not on Free/Reduced Priced Lunch and in Grades 3, 4, and 6, respectively.