## AP

## AP' Cohort Data Report

GRADUATING CLASS OF 2018


## About the Data

This report represents only U.S. public school students because no central source of enrollment and demographic data for nonpublic schools is available for all states. References to the total number of high school graduates represent projections supplied in Knocking at the College Door (Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 2016).

This report looks at students' entire experience with AP -including all exams taken by members of the class of 2018 throughout their time in high school-rather than reporting exam results from one particular school year.

## Contents

Our Shared Commitment to Student Achievement .....  2
Building on Success: New Resources and Supports for 2019-20. ..... 5
2019-20 Changes Present New Opportunities ..... 6
Classroom Resources Provide Focused Practice and Feedback ..... 6
Streamlined Exam Administration Processes Save Time and Effort. ..... 7
Positive Change Across School Communities ..... 8
Teachers ..... 8
Students ..... 9
School and District Leaders ..... 9
AP Coordinators ..... 9
Setting the Stage for Change: 2018 Pilot Results ..... 10
National Highlights for the Class of 2018 ..... 11
The Best Single Measure of Success ..... 12
National AP Participation and Performance ..... 13
Figure 1: Class of 2018 Participation and Performance ..... 14
Figure 2A: Participation and Performance Trend, Percentage Change Over Time ..... 16
Figure 2B: Participation and Performance Trend ..... 17
Figure 3: Score Distributions by State ..... 18
Ensuring Access and Opportunity for All Students ..... 19
Figure 4: Demographic Distribution ..... 20
Focus on Low-Income Students ..... 21
States That Provided Funding for 2018 Low-Income AP Exams ..... 21
Funding Assistance Is Critical for Low-Income AP Students ..... 22
Figure 5: Participation and Performance of Low-Income Students ..... 24
Appendix ..... 26

# Our Shared Commitment to Student Achievement 

We're proud to be part of the collaborative community of Advanced Placement ${ }^{\circ}$ (AP) educators, administrators, and policymakers who work together to offer high school students the opportunity to develop college-level knowledge and skills.

AP teachers: Help develop the standards for the AP courses and AP Exams, teach the courses, and help score the exams.

College faculty: Help develop the standards for the AP courses and AP Exams, help score the exams, and review AP teachers' course syllabi through the AP Course Audit.

Schools: Recruit, train, and support AP teachers, provide resources to AP classrooms, and commit to supporting students with the tools they need to succeed.

Districts: Ensure district policies support college-level opportunities, and provide teachers with professional development.

States: Ensure that state policies support schools, teachers, and students; grant graduation credit for AP courses; and affirm that teachers receive all required training.

The Advanced Placement Program is founded on the beliefs that motivated high school students should be able to expand their studies to the height of their abilities, and that achievement exams can be used to allow them to enter college with advanced standing. Since 1956, AP has offered colleges and universities the most valid and reliable way to assess college-level learning by high school students-setting the standard for more than 60 years.

Today, colleges and universities continue to turn to AP to help them identify and reward students who have succeeded in mastering challenging college-level content and skills.

AP classes are in more high schools than ever before. In 2007-08, 17,032 schools participated in AP, whereas, today, 22,612 schools from across the country and around the world offer AP to their students.


More public high school students are sending more colleges and universities their AP scores than ever before. A total of 792,817 graduates in the class of 2018 sent $3,143,317$ scores to 3,878 colleges and universities-that's an increase of 236,964 students and $1,365,183$ scores since the class of 2008.


# Building on Success: New Resources and Supports for 2019-20 

In 2019-20, schools will have new opportunities to enhance the AP learning experience and streamline the exam administration. We will be introducing changes to the way we help teachers strengthen instructional delivery, expand students' opportunities to practice what they've learned, and make the exam process more efficient.


The changes coming to AP in 2019 fall into two areas of focus-Enhanced Instructional Resources and Streamlined Exam Administration Processes.

New ways to support instruction throughout the school year will foster deeper student engagement. Streamlined ordering, registration, and exam day processes will save time for everyone involved in exam administration.

The new instructional resources, developed by teachers for teachers, will enable educators to:

- Focus and deepen instruction and scaffold skill development
- Pinpoint students' strengths and weaknesses on AP content and skills
- Customize practice questions to fit students' needs
- Track student progress throughout the year
- Get actionable data on students' exam results

New supports that decrease time and resources spent on exam administration will:

- Simplify registration and access to new resources
- Support deeper engagement and focus in AP courses and reflect best practice policies already in place at more than half of AP schools
- Greatly reduce time spent bubbling in information before the exam
- Save coordinators time and effort in the exam ordering process
- Eliminate unnecessary paperwork


## 2019-20 Changes Present New Opportunities

The new system of AP resources available in the 2019-20 school year includes new instructional resources and streamlined exam administration processes that enable schools to support student success throughout the year. Here's a summary of the improvements coming your way:

## Classroom Resources Provide Focused Practice and Feedback

| AP Question Bank | An online library of 15,000 real AP Exam questions <br> that teachers can use to boost student practice and <br> create in-class activities and homework assignments. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Personal Progress <br> Checks | Formative AP questions that provide students with <br> actionable feedback on the areas where they need <br> to focus. |
| Performance <br> Dashboard | Interactive reports that highlight student progress <br> and provide detailed results by question for every <br> student, class, and school. |
| Unit Guides | Planning guides that outline content and skills for <br> commonly taught units and help teachers map out <br> their teaching strategy from day one to exam day. |
| Transparency | New resources and consistent exam formats will help <br> teachers anticipate what their students will see on <br> the exam, and score reports will give teachers better <br> visibility into how their students are doing. |
| and Stability |  |

## Streamlined Exam Administration Processes Save Time and Effort

| Digital Activation | At the start of the school year, AP teachers and <br> students will be able to sign into the AP classroom <br> support system and-with just a few clicks—unlock <br> new tools and resources. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fall Registration | Fall exam registration supports deeper student <br> engagement in their AP courses and reflects a best <br> practice already in place at more than half of AP <br> schools. At these schools, a student is both more <br> likely to take the exam and more likely to earn a score <br> of 3 or higher. |
| Student Registration | Schools will receive a set of personalized AP ID <br> registration labels for each student that will eliminate <br> pre-exam bubbling sessions. |
| Labels | The AP Registration and Ordering System will speed <br> up the exam ordering process by creating online <br> student rosters that coordinators will review, adjust, <br> and submit as exam orders. |
| Streamlined Exam | The AP Registration and Ordering System includes <br> Ordering tools that AP coordinators can use to manage <br> exam room and proctor assignments. |
| Exam Day | Improvements |

## Positive Change Across School Communities

The changes coming in the 2019-20 school year will benefit everyone who participates in the AP program: teachers, students, AP coordinators, and school administrators.

## Teachers

Teachers will have access to an online question bank, unit guides, personal progress checks, a performance dashboard, and more, enabling them to:

- Track student progress throughout the year to determine areas where more focus is needed
- Give students additional opportunities to practice using real AP Exam questions
- Customize practice questions based on student needs for in-class assignments or homework
- Pinpoint students' strengths and weaknesses on AP content and skills to improve performance
- Focus and deepen instruction and scaffold skill instruction

> "The question bank ... helped me create excellent assessments that were like the real thing, but focused on what we were studying at the time."


## Students

Students will benefit from classroom support and process improvements, including gaining the ability to:

- Commit to AP Exams earlier in the school year, which means they'll more likely be invested in the course, put in more effort, and perform better on the exams
- Receive meaningful feedback from teachers that focuses on strengths and weaknesses
- View their results to create more focused practice plans
- Spend less time bubbling-in personal information on exam day, resulting in significant time savings


## School and District Leaders

Leaders will have more opportunities to build a stronger culture of commitment to AP and:

- Develop teacher talent with new AP teacher resources
- Gain new insight into what's happening across AP courses, by school
- Create efficiencies with new simplified AP Exam ordering and administration


## AP Coordinators

New, more efficient processes mean AP coordinators can save significant time and will be able to:

- Order exams earlier
- Simplify the exam ordering process with AP-created student rosters
- Save time on exam day with personalized AP ID student registration labels

For additional information, see collegeboard.org/ap2019.

## Setting the Stage for Change: 2018 Pilot Results

More than half of all schools offering AP require students to make exam-taking decisions in the fall. When students commit early to taking an AP Exam, they do better. They're more invested in the course and more likely to finish with college credit.

Last year we piloted fall exam registration at schools serving 40,000 AP students. When we compared these schools to other AP schools in the same states, here's what we found:

- More students sat for AP Exams and more students earned scores of 3 or higher.
- AP Exam participation increased by $15 \%$ in pilot schools, compared to $1 \%$ in other schools.
- Pilot schools maintained historical 7\% growth in exam scores of 3 or higher, while other schools saw this growth decline to $5 \%$.
- Students who have traditionally underperformed their peers saw impressive gains.
- Low-income students' scores of 3 or higher increased by $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$.
- Underrepresented minority students' scores of 3 or higher increased by 12\%.
- Female students' STEM exam scores of 3 or higher increased by 14\%.


## National Highlights for the Class of 2018

- $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$ million students in the class of 2018 took more than 4.22 million AP Exams in public high schools nationwide.
- 38.9\% of the class of 2018 took at least one AP Exam during high school, and $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 \%}$ of the graduating class scored a 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam.
- Over the past 10 years, the percentage of all U.S. public high school graduates scoring a 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam has risen by 8.2 percentage points.
- Some traditionally underrepresented students-including black/African American and American Indian/Alaska Native students-continue to need increased access and support to succeed in AP.
- In the class of 2018, AP Exam fee reductions were used by 30.8\% of total AP Exam takers and $25.9 \%$ of AP Exam takers scoring a 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam.


## The Best Single Measure of Success

This report offers a measure of participation and performance that shows success on the AP Exam within an overall context of equity and access.

The measure, shown in Figure 1, represents the percentage of students in the nation and in states who had at least one AP experience resulting in an AP Exam score of 3 or higher. Schools receive similar information in their score reports so they can compare their success in expanding preparation for and access to high-quality AP courses to what is happening in their state and in the nation.


This percentage shows the proportion of the overall population-not just the AP classroom-that demonstrated college-level mastery of an AP experience sometime in high school, thereby giving educators and policymakers a gauge of the extent to which their overall population is succeeding in advanced academics in high school.

Each student who scores a 3 or higher only "counts" once toward the overall percentage, regardless of how many AP Exams they take; accordingly, the percentage fosters inclusivity and measures the extent to which a greater proportion of the population is receiving preparation for, and access to, an AP experience.

## National AP Participation and Performance

Every year, more students are participating and succeeding in AP. For more than 10 years, the percentage of all U.S. public high school graduates who took an AP Exam during high school has increased, as has the percentage of all U.S. public high school graduates who scored a 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam.

- 1,242,990 (38.9\%) of U.S. public high school graduates in the class of 2018 took at least one AP Exam, up from 752,255 (25.1\%) in the class of 2008.
" 749,938 (23.5\%) of those graduates scored a 3 or higher on an AP Exam, up from 459,492 (15.3\%) in the class of 2008.

These increases reflect the hard work of teachers and students, as well as a commitment from states and districts, to provide students with greater access to academic opportunities.
" Figure 1 shows the percentage of all U.S. public high school students in the class of 2018 who scored a 3 or higher on an AP Exam during high school, by state. These data show the degree to which students are participating in AP Exams and are achieving success.

- Figures $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ reveal the progress states have made over 1,3,5, and 10 years toward ensuring that their students have the opportunity and preparation to succeed in AP.

Figure 3 shows the score distributions, by state, for AP Exams taken by public high school students in the class of 2018 throughout their time in high school.

## FIGURE 1

Percentage of the Class of 2018 Scoring a 3 or Higher on an AP Exam During High School
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FIGURE 2A
1-Year, 3-Year, 5-Year, and 10-Year Change in the Percentage of Graduates Scoring a 3 or Higher on an AP Exam During High School, by State, Ranked by the 10-Year Percentage-Point Change

| Massachusetts | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-year | 3-year | 5-year | 10-year |
|  | 0.9 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 12.8 |
| Rhode Island | 1.3 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 12.6 |
| Florida | 0.9 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 12.4 |
| Connecticut | 1.2 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 12.3 |
| Illinois | 1.0 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 12.3 |
| New Jersey | 1.0 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 11.1 |
| District of Columbia | 2.8 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 10.8 |
| California | 1.1 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 10.4 |
| Nevada | 0.1 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 10.4 |
| Indiana | 1.1 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 10.0 |
| Wisconsin | 0.6 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 9.7 |
| Hawaii | 1.9 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 9.5 |
| Michigan | 0.6 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 8.9 |
| Minnesota | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 8.9 |
| Kentucky | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 8.5 |
| Colorado | 0.7 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 8.4 |
| Maryland | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 8.4 |
| UNITED STATES | 0.7 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 8.2 |
| Alabama | 0.5 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 7.6 |
| Arizona | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 |
| New York | 0.9 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 7.6 |
| Georgia | 0.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| Arkansas | 0.4 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 7.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 0.4 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 7.4 |
| Washington | 0.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 7.2 |
| Ohio | 0.4 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 6.9 |
| Texas | 0.3 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| Oregon | 0.6 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 6.4 |
| Virginia | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 6.3 |
| Delaware | -0.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 6.1 |
| South Carolina | 0.1 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 6.1 |
| Louisiana | 0.6 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| Missouri | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 5.8 |
| New Hampshire | 0.5 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 5.6 |
| Wyoming | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 5.5 |
| Vermont | 0.8 | -0.3 | 1.9 | 5.3 |
| lowa | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| North Dakota | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 5.0 |
| Nebraska | -0.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| Tennessee | 0.7 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
| Maine | -0.4 | -0.6 | 0.5 | 4.5 |
| West Virginia | -0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 4.2 |
| New Mexico | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| North Carolina | 0.5 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| Idaho | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| Utah | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.9 |
| South Dakota | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.8 |
| Mississippi | 0.2 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Alaska | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Montana | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 |
| Oklahoma | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| Kansas | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 |

## WHAT DO THE DATA SHOW? Massachusetts

had a 12.8-point increase over 10 years in the percentage of public high school graduates scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam, the highest in the nation.

## District of Columbia

had the largest one-year, three-year, and five-year increase in the percentage of public high school graduates scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam.

## 8.2-point increase

since 2008 in the percentage of U.S. public high school graduates scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam.

## 0.7 -point increase

since 2017 in the percentage of U.S. public high school graduates scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam.
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## FIGURE 2B

Percentage of the Classes of 2008, 2013, 2015, 2017, and 2018 Scoring a 3 or Higher on an AP Exam During High School, by State, Ranked by the 10-Year Percentage-Point Change Appearing in Figure 2A

|  | Percentage of Graduating Class Scoring a 3 or Higher |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Massachusetts | 20.1 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 32.0 | 32.9 |
| Rhode Island | 9.5 | 14.2 | 17.2 | 20.8 | 22.1 |
| Florida | 19.3 | 26.1 | 28.3 | 30.8 | 31.7 |
| Connecticut | 19.9 | 26.9 | 29.8 | 31.0 | 32.2 |
| Illinois | 15.0 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 26.3 | 27.3 |
| New Jersey | 17.9 | 22.8 | 25.3 | 28.0 | 29.0 |
| District of Columbia | 8.8 | 11.2 | 14.0 | 16.8 | 19.6 |
| California | 20.9 | 24.0 | 27.5 | 30.2 | 31.3 |
| Nevada | 14.4 | 16.9 | 19.9 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| Indiana | 10.2 | 15.5 | 17.8 | 19.1 | 20.2 |
| Wisconsin | 16.4 | 21.8 | 24.4 | 25.5 | 26.1 |
| Hawaii | 7.7 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 15.3 | 17.2 |
| Michigan | 12.3 | 17.3 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 21.2 |
| Minnesota | 14.1 | 19.7 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 23.0 |
| Kentucky | 10.0 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 18.5 |
| Colorado | 19.9 | 23.8 | 27.0 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| Maryland | 23.2 | 28.9 | 30.6 | 31.2 | 31.6 |
| UNITED STATES | 15.3 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 23.5 |
| Alabama | 6.5 | 10.8 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 14.1 |
| Arizona | 9.6 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 16.4 | 17.2 |
| New York | 21.1 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 28.7 |
| Georgia | 15.7 | 20.0 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 23.2 |
| Arkansas | 10.7 | 15.2 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 18.1 |
| Pennsylvania | 12.0 | 15.4 | 17.6 | 19.0 | 19.4 |
| Washington | 16.4 | 20.1 | 21.8 | 22.9 | 23.6 |
| Ohio | 10.9 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 17.8 |
| Texas | 15.3 | 17.4 | 19.6 | 21.6 | 21.9 |
| Oregon | 12.1 | 15.5 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 18.5 |
| Virginia | 22.2 | 26.9 | 28.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| Delaware | 13.5 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 19.7 | 19.6 |
| South Carolina | 13.8 | 16.2 | 18.4 | 19.8 | 19.9 |
| Louisiana | 3.2 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| Missouri | 6.4 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.2 |
| New Hampshire | 15.1 | 17.6 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 20.7 |
| Wyoming | 7.4 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 12.9 |
| Vermont | 20.4 | 23.8 | 26.0 | 24.9 | 25.7 |
| Iowa | 8.5 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 13.7 |
| North Dakota | 7.0 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 12.0 |
| Nebraska | 6.7 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 11.6 |
| Tennessee | 8.3 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 13.0 |
| Maine | 15.7 | 19.7 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 20.2 |
| West Virginia | 6.8 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 11.0 |
| New Mexico | 9.5 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 13.6 |
| North Carolina | 17.4 | 18.0 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 21.5 |
| Idaho | 9.5 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 13.5 |
| Utah | 21.6 | 23.8 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 25.5 |
| South Dakota | 9.5 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 13.3 |
| Mississippi | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| Alaska | 13.4 | 13.5 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.9 |
| Montana | 10.5 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 12.8 |
| Oklahoma | 9.8 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 12.1 |
| Kansas | 8.4 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.6 |

WHAT DO THE DATA SHOW?
Massachusetts
had the highest percentage of public high school graduates score a 3 or higher on an AP Exam in 2017 and 2018.

## Maryland

had the highest percentage of public high school graduates score a 3 or higher on an AP Exam in 2008, 2013, and 2015.

Raw numbers for this figure are available in the Appendix. States with a tie in the rankings are listed alphabetically.

FIGURE 3
Score Distributions of AP Exams Taken by the Class of 2018 During High School, by State

*Due to rounding, percentages don't always add up to 100.0.

## Ensuring Access and Opportunity for All Students

Although progress has been made to ensure that all students have access to the AP Program, some groups of students remain underrepresented in AP classrooms and in the overall population of students who earn qualifying scores of 3 or higher on AP Exams. Closing the equity gap in AP participation is critical to providing all students with the opportunity to experience the benefits of challenging coursework.

Many schools and districts have engaged in innovative practices to increase access to AP for these underrepresented students, resulting in significant growth in the number of students participating over the last two decades.

A national overview of progress shows how well states have connected students to AP and eliminated barriers that may restrict access of traditionally underrepresented groups. As part of its Equity and Access Policy, AP strongly encourages schools to ensure that the demographics of the AP classes reflect the demographics of the school. Ideally, the percentage of students scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam should match the proportion of the population for each demographic group within the school.

Figure 4 illustrates how well we are succeeding in meeting this goal at a national level by presenting AP participation and performance data for the class of 2018 by demographic group, compared to the demographics of the class of 2018.

The College Board strongly encourages states and districts to make equitable access a guiding principle for their AP programs and commit to providing all students with the opportunity to experience academically challenging coursework, even before they enroll in AP classes.

## FIGURE 4

Demographics of the Class of 2018 and AP Exam Takers in the Class of 2018

*In 2016, the race/ethnicity question changed to align with the seven categories established by the U.S. Department of Education guidelines. For more information, please visit collegeboard.org/raceethnicity. The Class of 2018 percentages are sourced from Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), which continues to make projections by five major racial/ethnic categories. Therefore, Two or More Races and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander projections are not provided separately but rather dispersed into the five existing racial/ethnic categories. As a result, some caution should be exercised in comparing the percent of the AP Exam taker population and the AP Exam taker population scoring 3 or higher to the Class of 2018 because the race/ethnicity definitions, while very similar, are not precisely the same.

Because some AP Exam takers identified themselves as "Other" or do not provide race/ethnicity, the AP Exam taker population in this figure represents a total of 98.6\% of all AP Exam takers in the class of 2018.

## Focus on Low-Income Students

All students, including low-income students, deserve the opportunity to benefit from the AP experience. Recent efforts by states, districts, and schools have helped create increased access to AP for students from low-income backgrounds.

## States That Provided Funding for 2018

 Low-Income AP Exams

## Funding Assistance Is Critical for Low-Income AP Students

Thanks to the strong commitment from states in 2018, the number of low-income students benefiting from AP increased this year, despite the elimination of dedicated federal funding. A total of 27 states and the District of Columbia recognized the importance of ensuring access for their low-income students and provided financial support.

This state funding plays a critical role in expanding AP opportunities to serve low-income students. Equity gaps continued to narrow in states that contributed to reduce exam fees for low-income students in 2018. By contrast, gaps in AP participation between low-income students and their peers widened in the states that provided no state-level funding for low-income students' exam fees. In states that provided funding, students received on average a $\$ 37$ per-exam state subsidy in 2018. Alongside College Board's $\$ 32$ fee reduction, the resulting fee charged to students was $\$ 16$ per exam.

We commend the states that prioritized funding for their low-income students in 2018 and encourage state and district leaders to recommit this support, as early as possible, for 2019 AP Exams. An early state commitment provides a valuable assurance to students and has been a critical factor in boosting AP participation rates.

Leaders should consider a number of sources to support their AP students:

- State and Local Funds: A number of states cover the costs of their students' AP Exams by using state and local funds.
- Title IV, Part A: States and districts can use federal funds provided under the Title IV, Part A Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants program in the Every Student Succeeds Act to cover part or all of the cost of AP Exams for low-income students. The vast majority (95\%) of this funding will go to districts, but states can use their $5 \%$ of the funds for state-level activities, including supporting AP students.
- Title I: Districts or schools receiving Title I funds may use those funds to cover a portion of AP Exam fees for low-income students. The funds must be used to supplement and not supplant any state or local funding for AP Exams. States may also reserve 3\% of their Title I funds for Direct Student Services, which can include reimbursing AP Exam fees for low-income students.
- A Combination of the Above: Funding sources can be combined in creative ways. For example, a state could partially cover low-income students' exams using state funds and then cover the remaining costs

with their Title IV-A state set-aside funds. Or a state could cover a portion of the cost with state funds and encourage districts to cover remaining costs with their Title IV-A allocation.
Still, the equity gap in AP participation and success for low-income students remains. A look at AP participation and performance data for lowincome students provides a measure of how well states and the nation as a whole are using education resources to promote equity.
- Figure 5 is sorted by the percentage of $\mathrm{K}-12$ public school students in each state who are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. This allows for comparison among states with similar proportions of low-income students. The columns showing the percentage of low-income AP Exam takers and the percentage of successful low-income AP Exam takers provide a picture of how equitably low-income students are represented in AP classrooms within each state.

FIGURE 5
Participation and Performance of Low-Income Students in the Class of 2018

|  |  | \% of K-12 Students <br> Eligible for Free or <br> Reduced-Price Lunch | \% of AP Exam Takers Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction | \% of AP Exam Takers <br> Scoring 3+ Who <br> Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District of Columbia | 76.4 | 44.5 | 40.0 |  |
|  | Mississippi | 74.9 | 36.3 | 21.0 |  |
| 70\% | New Mexico | 71.7 | 50.9 | 46.2 |  |
|  | Arkansas | 63.6 | 36.6 | 27.5 |  |
|  | Georgia | 62.4 | 31.1 | 23.8 |  |
|  | Oklahoma | 62.2 | 31.3 | 25.3 |  |
|  | Louisiana | 61.3 | 39.4 | 26.9 |  |
| 60\% | South Carolina | 60.0 | 21.3 | 16.8 |  |
| 60\% | Kentucky | 59.4 | 34.1 | 26.7 |  |
|  | California | 58.9 | 45.8 | 42.0 |  |
|  | Texas | 58.9 | 49.3 | 44.4 |  |
|  | Florida | 58.8 | 41.0 | 39.6 | WHAT DO THE DATA |
|  | Nevada | 58.8 | 36.9 | 34.3 | SHOW? |
|  | Tennessee | 58.8 | 23.4 | 18.2 |  |
|  | North Carolina | 57.4 | 13.5 | 10.3 | Texas |
|  | UNITED STATES | 52.1 | 30.8 | 25.9 | is the state closest to |
|  | Oregon | 51.4 | 24.6 | 21.0 | achieving equitable |
|  | Alabama | 51.1 | 23.7 | 15.4 |  |
|  | Arizona | 50.2 | 32.3 | 26.5 |  |
| 50\% | Missouri | 50.1 | 19.6 | 13.3 | erformance for |
| 50\% | Illinois | 49.9 | 31.3 | 24.8 | w-income students. |
|  | Hawaii | 49.6 | 30.2 | 25.5 |  |
|  | New York | 49.5 | 30.7 | 26.0 |  |
|  | West Virginia | 49.4 | 22.0 | 18.9 |  |
|  | Kansas | 49.2 | 20.8 | 13.8 |  |
|  | Indiana | 48.3 | 16.7 | 13.9 |  |
|  | Pennsylvania | 48.2 | 18.4 | 14.0 |  |
|  | Rhode Island | 47.0 | 27.6 | 21.1 |  |
|  | Idaho | 46.9 | 14.9 | 14.0 |  |
|  | Montana | 46.2 | 10.0 | 8.3 |  |
|  | Michigan | 46.1 | 17.4 | 13.0 |  |
|  | Maine | 46.0 | 15.8 | 12.8 |  |
|  | Washington | 45.4 | 22.4 | 17.0 |  |
|  | Maryland | 45.0 | 21.5 | 16.3 |  |
|  | Ohio | 44.9 | 13.7 | 8.6 |  |
|  | Nebraska | 44.2 | 18.8 | 14.5 |  |
|  | Alaska | 42.7 | 14.7 | 11.2 |  |
|  | Colorado | 41.8 | 19.8 | 16.6 |  |
|  | South Dakota | 41.7 | 6.9 | 5.8 | school graduates' low-income status, K-12 |
|  | lowa | 41.4 | 20.6 | 15.8 | estimates from the National Center for |
| 40\% | Virginia | 41.2 | 11.2 | 8.0 | Education Statistics (NCES)-based on free |
| 40\% | Massachusetts | 39.9 | 23.5 | 17.9 | or reduced-price lunch eligibility-have been used. AP fee reductions are based on |
|  | Wisconsin | 39.9 | 13.4 | 10.4 | this eligibility threshold among other criteria. |
|  | Vermont | 38.4 | 10.0 | 8.7 | NCES estimates reflect all $\mathrm{K}-12$ public school |
|  | Connecticut | 37.9 | 16.7 | 11.7 | students from the 2015-16 school year; thus, |
|  | Delaware | 37.7 | 22.2 | 16.5 | a degree of caution is warranted as these data may not accurately reflect the class of |
|  | New Jersey | 37.6 | 16.5 | 12.3 | $2018 .$ |
|  | Wyoming | 37.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 |  |
| 30\% | Utah | 36.4 | 10.1 | 9.4 | States with a tie in the rankings are listed alphabetically. |
| 30\% | North Dakota | 29.9 | 7.0 | 5.9 |  |
|  | New Hampshire | 28.3 | 4.8 | 4.3 | *Unable to estimate the portion of |
|  | Minnesota | 38.1 | * | * | Minnesota's AP population from low-income households. |

Participation and Performance of Low-Income Students in the Class of 2018

Population
52.1\% of U.S. Public School Students (K-12) Are Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Lunch

\% of K-12 Students Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Lunch

Participation
30.8\% of U.S. Public School AP Exam Takers Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction

\% of AP Exam Takers Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction

Performance
25.9\% of U.S. Public School AP Exam Takers Scoring 3+ Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction

\% of AP Exam Takers Scoring 3+ Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction

Here's the math:
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Population } \\ \text { 52.1\% of K-12 Students } \\ \text { Eligible for Free } \\ \text { or Reduced-Price } \\ \text { Lunch = } \\ 25,900,186 \text { K-12 } \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { Students Eligible for Free } \\ \text { or Reduced-Price Lunch }\end{array} \\ \hline 49,753,676 \\ \text { K-12 Students }\end{array}\right]$

## Participation

30.8\% of AP Exam Takers Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction =

## 376,883 AP Exam Takers

 Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction1,222,957
AP Exam Takers

Performance
25.9\% of AP Exam Takers Scoring 3+ Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction =

190,838 AP Exam Takers Scoring 3+ Who Used an AP Exam Fee Reduction

736,643
AP Exam Takers Scoring 3+

## WHAT DO THE DATA SHOW?

## There is room for improvement in increasing access to AP for low-income students.

- Over half (52.1\%) of U.S. public school students are from low-income households, compared to $30.8 \%$ of AP Exam takers in the class of 2018 and $25.9 \%$ of AP Exam takers scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam in the class of 2018.
- Despite the fact that low-income students have constituted an increasing share of both AP Exam takers and AP Exam takers scoring a 3 or higher on an AP Exam, these students remain underrepresented in AP.


## Appendix

|  | Total Number of Graduates |  |  |  | Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Graduates Who Took an AP Exam During High School |  |  |  | Percentage of Graduates Who Took an AP Exam During High School |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 2013 | 2017 | 2018 | 2008 | 2013 | 2017 | 2018 | 2008 | 2013 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Alabama | 41,346 | 44,233 | 44,571 | 45,389 | 5,263 | 11,026 | 14,419 | 15,406 | 12.7 | 24.9 | 32.4 | 33.9 |
| Alaska | 7,855 | 7,860 | 7,593 | 7,607 | 1,601 | 1,641 | 1,929 | 1,935 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 25.4 | 25.4 |
| Arizona | 61,667 | 62,208 | 65,540 | 66,335 | 10,487 | 14,931 | 18,738 | 20,156 | 17.0 | 24.0 | 28.6 | 30.4 |
| Arkansas | 28,725 | 28,928 | 29,434 | 29,853 | 9,614 | 12,653 | 13,851 | 14,420 | 33.5 | 43.7 | 47.1 | 48.3 |
| California | 374,561 | 422,125 | 396,115 | 406,351 | 118,931 | 152,225 | 178,849 | 191,215 | 31.8 | 36.1 | 45.2 | 47.1 |
| Colorado | 46,082 | 50,968 | 52,790 | 54,851 | 14,738 | 19,502 | 22,785 | 24,463 | 32.0 | 38.3 | 43.2 | 44.6 |
| Connecticut | 38,419 | 38,722 | 36,845 | 36,292 | 10,566 | 13,996 | 15,802 | 16,368 | 27.5 | 36.1 | 42.9 | 45.1 |
| Delaware | 7,388 | 8,070 | 8,156 | 8,401 | 1,943 | 2,516 | 2,861 | 2,947 | 26.3 | 31.2 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| District of Columbia | 3,352 | 3,961 | 3,834 | 3,944 | 1,340 | 1,708 | 2,198 | 2,257 | 40.0 | 43.1 | 57.3 | 57.2 |
| Florida | 149,046 | 158,029 | 160,814 | 164,579 | 53,838 | 80,242 | 87,868 | 91,959 | 36.1 | 50.8 | 54.6 | 55.9 |
| Georgia | 83,505 | 92,416 | 96,767 | 99,540 | 24,327 | 34,365 | 40,300 | 41,091 | 29.1 | 37.2 | 41.6 | 41.3 |
| Hawaii | 11,613 | 10,790 | 10,466 | 11,070 | 1,849 | 3,095 | 3,664 | 4,141 | 15.9 | 28.7 | 35.0 | 37.4 |
| Idaho | 16,567 | 17,198 | 19,617 | 19,724 | 2,397 | 3,344 | 4,032 | 4,490 | 14.5 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 22.8 |
| Illinois | 135,143 | 139,228 | 132,767 | 135,357 | 30,393 | 43,643 | 51,653 | 55,228 | 22.5 | 31.3 | 38.9 | 40.8 |
| Indiana | 61,901 | 66,595 | 65,562 | 66,504 | 12,382 | 22,233 | 24,659 | 25,892 | 20.0 | 33.4 | 37.6 | 38.9 |
| lowa | 34,573 | 32,548 | 32,451 | 33,153 | 4,429 | 5,699 | 6,837 | 7,283 | 12.8 | 17.5 | 21.1 | 22.0 |
| Kansas | 30,737 | 31,922 | 31,812 | 33,162 | 4,116 | 5,273 | 5,492 | 5,833 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 17.6 |
| Kentucky | 39,339 | 42,888 | 41,592 | 42,512 | 7,759 | 12,681 | 14,828 | 15,627 | 19.7 | 29.6 | 35.7 | 36.8 |
| Louisiana | 34,401 | 37,508 | 38,180 | 40,235 | 2,497 | 5,482 | 10,007 | 11,476 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 26.2 | 28.5 |
| Maine | 14,350 | 13,170 | 12,321 | 12,230 | 3,735 | 4,048 | 3,989 | 4,037 | 26.0 | 30.7 | 32.4 | 33.0 |
| Maryland | 59,171 | 58,896 | 55,009 | 56,765 | 21,813 | 27,140 | 27,387 | 27,870 | 36.9 | 46.1 | 49.8 | 49.1 |
| Massachusetts | 65,197 | 66,360 | 64,341 | 64,930 | 18,139 | 24,371 | 29,511 | 30,744 | 27.8 | 36.7 | 45.9 | 47.3 |
| Michigan | 115,183 | 104,210 | 97,199 | 99,073 | 21,947 | 27,427 | 31,252 | 32,575 | 19.1 | 26.3 | 32.2 | 32.9 |
| Minnesota | 60,409 | 58,255 | 56,866 | 57,744 | 13,343 | 17,818 | 19,105 | 20,033 | 22.1 | 30.6 | 33.6 | 34.7 |
| Mississippi | 24,795 | 26,502 | 25,315 | 26,492 | 3,137 | 3,301 | 5,246 | 5,907 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 20.7 | 22.3 |
| Missouri | 61,717 | 61,407 | 59,278 | 60,741 | 6,517 | 9,527 | 11,979 | 12,675 | 10.6 | 15.5 | 20.2 | 20.9 |
| Montana | 10,396 | 9,369 | 9,248 | 9,142 | 1,635 | 1,873 | 1,892 | 1,959 | 15.7 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 21.4 |
| Nebraska | 20,035 | 20,442 | 20,209 | 21,250 | 2,233 | 3,269 | 3,803 | 4,120 | 11.1 | 16.0 | 18.8 | 19.4 |
| Nevada | 18,815 | 23,038 | 22,864 | 23,666 | 4,950 | 7,299 | 10,007 | 10,475 | 26.3 | 31.7 | 43.8 | 44.3 |
| New Hampshire | 14,982 | 14,262 | 12,967 | 12,964 | 3,068 | 3,309 | 3,656 | 3,785 | 20.5 | 23.2 | 28.2 | 29.2 |
| New Jersey | 94,994 | 96,490 | 93,027 | 94,077 | 23,783 | 29,553 | 36,093 | 37,773 | 25.0 | 30.6 | 38.8 | 40.2 |
| New Mexico | 18,264 | 19,232 | 19,441 | 19,546 | 3,769 | 5,090 | 6,067 | 6,321 | 20.6 | 26.5 | 31.2 | 32.3 |
| New York | 176,310 | 180,351 | 172,689 | 178,380 | 55,752 | 66,223 | 73,499 | 79,887 | 31.6 | 36.7 | 42.6 | 44.8 |
| North Carolina | 83,307 | 94,339 | 94,667 | 99,272 | 23,639 | 27,434 | 36,288 | 38,451 | 28.4 | 29.1 | 38.3 | 38.7 |
| North Dakota | 6,999 | 6,900 | 7,141 | 6,996 | 737 | 1,007 | 1,227 | 1,474 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 17.2 | 21.1 |
| Ohio | 120,758 | 122,491 | 112,923 | 114,842 | 21,380 | 26,939 | 31,469 | 33,584 | 17.7 | 22.0 | 27.9 | 29.2 |
| Oklahoma | 37,630 | 37,033 | 39,039 | 39,885 | 7,572 | 8,280 | 9,281 | 9,882 | 20.1 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 24.8 |
| Oregon | 34,949 | 33,899 | 34,297 | 34,448 | 6,829 | 8,362 | 9,885 | 10,483 | 19.5 | 24.7 | 28.8 | 30.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 130,298 | 129,777 | 124,099 | 125,963 | 23,472 | 29,833 | 35,367 | 37,116 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 28.5 | 29.5 |
| Rhode Island | 10,347 | 9,579 | 8,225 | 8,633 | 1,532 | 2,461 | 2,876 | 3,429 | 14.8 | 25.7 | 35.0 | 39.7 |
| South Carolina | 35,303 | 42,246 | 42,525 | 44,159 | 8,180 | 11,330 | 14,339 | 15,175 | 23.2 | 26.8 | 33.7 | 34.4 |
| South Dakota | 8,582 | 8,239 | 7.788 | 8,035 | 1,339 | 1,668 | 1,419 | 1,520 | 15.6 | 20.2 | 18.2 | 18.9 |
| Tennessee | 57,486 | 61,323 | 61,992 | 63,042 | 8,432 | 11,231 | 14,155 | 15,570 | 14.7 | 18.3 | 22.8 | 24.7 |
| Texas | 252,121 | 301,390 | 316,227 | 329,644 | 72,965 | 101,492 | 130,944 | 139,506 | 28.9 | 33.7 | 41.4 | 42.3 |
| Utah | 28,167 | 33,186 | 36,766 | 37,674 | 8,906 | 11,297 | 13,303 | 13,564 | 31.6 | 34.0 | 36.2 | 36.0 |
| Vermont | 7,392 | 6,491 | 6,336 | 6,015 | 2,192 | 2,217 | 2,268 | 2,229 | 29.7 | 34.2 | 35.8 | 37.1 |
| Virginia | 77,369 | 83,279 | 82,360 | 84,962 | 27,410 | 34,859 | 35,010 | 35,834 | 35.4 | 41.9 | 42.5 | 42.2 |
| Washington | 61,625 | 66,066 | 64,873 | 66,606 | 16,316 | 21,666 | 25,093 | 26,249 | 26.5 | 32.8 | 38.7 | 39.4 |
| West Virginia | 17,489 | 17,924 | 16,905 | 17,218 | 2,622 | 3,799 | 4,475 | 4,313 | 15.0 | 21.2 | 26.5 | 25.0 |
| Wisconsin | 65,183 | 61,425 | 60,138 | 61,266 | 15,632 | 19,124 | 21,910 | 22,965 | 24.0 | 31.1 | 36.4 | 37.5 |
| Wyoming | 5,494 | 5,489 | 5,657 | 5,720 | 809 | 884 | 1,191 | 1,298 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 21.1 | 22.7 |
| UNITED STATES | 3,001,337 | 3,169,257 | 3,117,638 | 3,196,239 | 752,255 | 1,000,386 | 1,174,758 | 1,242,990 | 25.1 | 31.6 | 37.7 | 38.9 |



## About the College Board


#### Abstract

The College Board is a mission-driven not-for-profit organization that connects students to college success and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the College Board was created to expand access to higher education. Today, the membership association is made up of over 6,000 of the world's leading educational institutions and is dedicated to promoting excellence and equity in education. Each year, the College Board helps more than seven million students prepare for a successful transition to college through programs and services in college readiness and college success-including the SAT ${ }^{\circ}$ and the Advanced Placement ${ }^{\circ}$ Program. The organization also serves the education community through research and advocacy on behalf of students, educators, and schools.


For further information, visit collegeboard.org.


[^0]:    Raw numbers for this figure are available in the Appendix. States with a tie in the rankings are listed alphabetically.

[^1]:    Raw numbers for this figure are available in the Appendix. States with a tie in the rankings are listed alphabetically.

