# Farmworker Career Development Program

Monitoring Policies, Procedures, and Protocols



#### 2018-2019

Florida Department of Education
Division of Career and Adult Education

# **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTIO	DN	3
SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 6	General Provisions Implementation Fundamentals Risk Assessment Monitoring Strategies and Processes Resolution Activities Enforcement and Evaluation	.5 7 8 11
FCDP ON-SITE	E PROGRAM & FISCAL CHECKLIST	.14
PARTICIPANT	PROGRAM AND SERVICES FILE	24
FCDP WORKS	SHEET A	26
FCDP WORKS	HEET B	27
FCDP WORKS	SHEET C	30
FISCAL – ALL	OWABLE COSTS OVERVIEW CHECKLIST	32
FISCAL – ALL	OWABLE COSTS DETAIL QUESTIONS	33

### Florida Department of Education Division of Career and Adult Education Farmworker Career Development Program

# Monitoring Policies, Procedures and Protocols

#### INTRODUCTION

FCDP providers will be monitored on an annual basis per US Department of Labor guidance. The Florida Department of Education, Division of Career and Adult Education (Division), Quality Assurance and Compliance Section, in concert with the Farmworker Career Development Program (FCDP) Section, has developed Monitoring Policies, Procedures, and Protocols to implement this requirement. Programs and services will be monitored to support the operation of the United States Department of Labor (USDOL), Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Title I, Section 167 grant, Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers. The primary purpose of this system is to ensure that the FCDP's goals and standards are met.

#### **SECTION 1 – GENERAL PROVISIONS**

#### **PURPOSE OF MONITORING**

The purpose of monitoring is to identify the specific areas in which a provider is in compliance or non-compliance with Federal law and regulations, state statutes and rules, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars, and/or conditions of the grant(s). The timely identification of non-compliance provides a framework to make changes that are expected to result in programs becoming more efficient and effective. In addition, a comprehensive and multi-dimensional Quality Assurance System is a foundation for continuous improvement of services and systems both internally and externally. The Department's commitment to excellence supports accountability, collaboration, targeted technical assistance, continuous improvement, and positive systemic change. Monitoring protocols will address performance and compliance.

#### A WAY OF WORK

The Florida Department of Education (FLDOE), Division of Career and Adult Education, in carrying out its roles of leadership, resource allocation, technical assistance, monitoring, and evaluation is required to oversee the performance and regulatory compliance of recipients of Federal and state funding.

The Quality Assurance and Compliance section is responsible for the design, development, and evaluation of a comprehensive system of quality assurance including monitoring. The Farmworker Career Development Program Section in Tampa will implement the monitoring system as it relates to Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker subgrantees. The role of the Monitoring System is to assure financial accountability, program performance and quality, and regulatory compliance. As stewards of Federal and state funds, and in accordance with USDOL guidance, it is incumbent upon the Division to perform on-site regulatory compliance monitoring of all direct service providers using WIOA Title I, Section 167, grant funds on a regular basis.

The monitoring component of the system is risk-based and performance targeted. Risk Assessment is a process used to evaluate variables associated with the grants, especially performance, and assign ratings to these variables which will be used to compile an overall assessment of the provider's level of risk to the Florida Department of Education and the Division. A Risk Assessment Matrix may be completed for each provider prior to the completion of the federal funding award and used to adjust funding amounts or utilize methods of payment which will minimize the overall fiscal risk to the Department. But, in all cases, the Risk Assessment Matrix will be used to target review areas for the on-site monitoring team.

#### **AUTHORITY**

The authorizing legislation for federal support of Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers is found under Title I, Section 167, of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act: <a href="http://www.doleta.gov/wioa/">http://www.doleta.gov/wioa/</a>. The Florida Department of Education receives Federal funding from USDOL's Employment Training Administration (ETA) for the Farmworker Career Development Program. FLDOE awards sub-grants to eligible providers to administer local programs. FLDOE must monitor providers to ensure compliance with Federal requirements, including Florida's approved Program Plan for Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs as specified in WIOA, Section 167.

Federal regulations that apply to the programs funded under WIOA, Section 167, until superseded, include:

- a. 20 CFR part 669;
- b. The general administrative requirements found at 20 CFR, part 667, including the regulations concerning Complaints, Investigations and Hearings found at subpart E through subpart H, which cover programs under WIA section 167;
- c. The regulations codifying the common rules implementing Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars, which generally apply to Federal programs carried out by State and local governments and nonprofit organizations at 29 CFR parts 95, 96, 97, and 99, as applicable.

Final Guidance has been issued that, upon implementation, will supersede requirements from OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, A-110, and A-122 (which have been placed in 2 C.F.R. Parts 220, 225, 215, and 230); Circulars A-89, A-102, and A-133; and the guidance in Circular A-50 on Single Audit Act follow-up. See <u>final guidance</u> and <u>OMB Policy Statements</u> for more information on Uniform Grant Guidance (UGG).

UGG Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards § 200.100 Purpose, denotes uniform nature of standards and requirements for all Federal grants and cooperative agreements irrespective of entity type; removes explicit reference to Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs), hospitals, and other non-profit organizations.

§ 200.101 Applicability, Adds explicit reference to Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of (ISDEAA) as amended, 25USC 450-458 ddd-2, for tribal entities.

Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) Part 76 State Administered Programs. EDGAR 34 CFR part 76.1 "Programs to part 76 applies to each State-administered program of the Department. Pursuant to EDGAR 34 CFR 76.770, "A State shall have procedures to ensure compliance. Each State shall have procedures for reviewing and approving applications for sub grants and amendments to those applications, for providing technical assistance, for evaluating projects, and for performing other administrative responsibilities the State has determined are necessary to ensure compliance with applicable statutes and regulations."

UGG § 200.51 Grant Agreement – Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments § 200.51 establishes uniform administrative rules for federal grants and cooperative agreements and sub awards to State, local and Indian tribal agreements.

UGG § 200.328 "Monitoring and reporting program performance, (a) Monitoring by providers. Providers are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of grant and sub grant supported activities. Providers must monitor grant and sub grant supported activities to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and that performance goals are being achieved. Provider monitoring must cover such program, function or activity."

§215.86, Florida Statutes (F.S.), "Management System and Controls — Each state agency and the judicial branch as defined in §216.011, F.S., shall establish and maintain management systems and controls that promote and encourage compliance; economic, efficient, and effective operations; reliability of records and reports; and safeguarding of assets. Accounting systems and procedures shall be designed to fulfill the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles."

§1008.32, F.S., address the responsibility of the State Board of Education for oversight and enforcement relative to compliance. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [PL. 88-352]; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

as amended [20 U.S.C. 1681-1683 and 1685-1686]; Section 504 of the Rehabilitative Act of 1973, as amended [29 U.S.C. 794]; Section 1000.05, Florida Statutes: "The Florida Educational Equity Act"; Section 760.10, Florida Statutes: "Unlawful Employment Practices" Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and the Age Discrimination Act of 1972.

The Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) of 2008 prohibits discrimination on the basis of genetic information. Civil right compliance obligations are monitored by the following:

Secondary and technical education centers operated by public school districts. The Office of Equal Educational Opportunity (OEEO); contact phone number: 850-245-9556. Postsecondary public education institutions: Equity and Civil Rights Compliance, Division of Florida Colleges; contact phone number: 850-245-9468. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact the OEEO at 850-245-9556, or the OECC at 850-245-9468.

The Project Application and Amendment Procedures for Federal and State Programs (Green Book at <a href="http://www.fldoe.org/grants/greenbook/">http://www.fldoe.org/grants/greenbook/</a>).

Financial and Program Cost Accounting and Reporting for Florida Schools (Red Book at <a href="http://www.fldoe.org/finance/fl-edu-finance-program-fefp/">http://www.fldoe.org/finance/fl-edu-finance-program-fefp/</a>).

Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirement for Federal Awards (2CFR Part 200 at <a href="http://www.ecfr.gov.cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr200\_main\_02.tpludit-requirements-for-federal-awards">http://www.ecfr.gov.cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr200\_main\_02.tpludit-requirements-for-federal-awards</a>).

UGG Part 200, § 200.328 and § 200.331 Monitoring and reporting program performance. The non-Federal entity is responsible for oversight of the operations of Federal award supported activities. The non-Federal entity must monitor its activities under Federal awards to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and performance expectations are being achieved. Monitoring also must cover each program function or activity.

#### STRATEGIC IMPERATIVE

The FDOE operates within the following guiding principles: a coordinated K-20 seamless system, student-centered, access, equity, academic excellence, and flexibility. Strategic focus areas within Florida's Next Generation PreK-20 Education Strategic Plan have also been developed to assist the Department in long range planning efforts. The Division of Career and Adult Education specifically addresses the following strategic focus areas:

- 1. Expand opportunities for postsecondary degrees and certificates.
- 2. Improve College and Career Readiness.

#### **OPERATIONAL STANDARDS - ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance requires that the FDOE, Division of Career and Adult Education, monitor the activities of sub-grantees or providers, to ensure the performance goals are achieved and Federal funds are expended for authorized purposes. Monitoring will support compliance with Federal laws and regulations, state statutes and rules, and the provisions of an approved grant award.

Designated staff is expected to coordinate and complete compliance monitoring in accordance with the system requirements. Staff is required to render impartial and unbiased judgments in the review of provider compliance with the terms and conditions specified in the approved grant award, as well as, applicable state and Federal laws.

Staff is expected to demonstrate, model, and reinforce the values of integrity, accountability, quality, urgency, responsiveness, personal responsibility, courtesy, collaboration, and innovation. Staff will demonstrate these values at all times in their interactions with co-workers, supervisors, providers and other stakeholders; in their personal contributions for work assignments and projects; and when representing the Division and the Florida Department of Education.

#### **SECTION 2 - IMPLEMENTATION FUNDAMENTALS**

The purpose of this section is to identify essential fundamentals of the implementation of the Quality Assurance System and specific components of monitoring activity for the Farmworker Career Development Program.

#### **GENERAL PROCEDURES**

Each section of this manual has been developed to provide consistency and order to the application of the Monitoring activities as they are developed. For each component, certain elements may be present.

Regulatory Authority: Protocols will be substantiated with the regulatory citation as appropriate.

<u>Protocols</u>: In order to substantiate compliance vs. non-compliance, protocols are developed to ensure consistent application of regulatory requirements. For example, the use of interview protocols, record review checklists or observation guidelines will support clear expectations and findings of fact. Forms and checklists are developed to ensure efficient monitoring processes.

<u>Consistent Use of Terms</u>: The sub-grantee or vendor will be referred to as the <u>provider or agency</u> in the contents of the monitoring papers. Other terms will be defined in applicable laws and regulations; excerpts are included in this document.

#### STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The Division of Career and Adult Education is committed to the inclusion of stakeholder involvement in the Quality Assurance System. Participation of school districts, community colleges, state colleges and universities, community/faith-based organizations, students and families and division staff ensures a dynamic and transparent system. As the Quality Assurance System is developed and implemented, it will evolve based upon the input of stakeholders, in addition to implementation activities. The Advisory Council acts in an advisory capacity to ensure stakeholder participation in the quality assurance process; there is no approval authority. Final authority for decision-making lies with the division.

<u>Quality Assurance Advisory Council</u>: The Advisory Council may convene, in person or by phone, to review and give feedback regarding the Quality Assurance processes and tools. This workgroup may also assist in the review of data and trends to ensure meaningful development and revision of the system to identify the need for statewide systemic technical assistance activities, and assist in the evaluation of the system.

<u>Focus Groups</u>: Representatives associated with the grant awards as a provider, student or family member, may be solicited from across the state to participate in periodic focus groups during the year. The focus groups may give input regarding a single issue/topic. Groups may be contacted for phone or video conferences, individual calls, emails, or written surveys. Focus groups may also be organized to meet during onsite visits.

<u>Peer Monitors</u>: Peer monitors can be an invaluable asset to the quality assurance process, especially monitoring. Persons from the field are nominated to participate in the monitoring process and bring a wealth of training and expertise to their assignment. Peer monitors may be used across disciplines and can be a key tool in times of shrinking resources. Qualified persons from local providers, FDOE, and/or outside agencies may be recruited to participate in the monitoring processes with the FDOE monitoring team. Use of peer monitors will increase monitoring resources, provide training on the monitoring process, and support an effective and efficient system. The division may implement a peer monitoring system.

#### PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

In order to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of the components of the Monitoring System, it is appropriate to provide training to internal and external customers.

Training will be provided to internal FCDP State Office staff by the Division's Compliance Office and be consistent with the Division's monitoring and compliance protocols. Providers will receive training via conference calls

regarding the monitoring activities and procedures specific to the visit, as well as, during presentations at the program's annual conferences.

In addition, Bi-monthly webinars are scheduled for all grantees in order to provide program updates, review compliance issues, and performance status.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

The level of compliance and performance of services delivered by each provider requires continuous monitoring. Data are a key accountability tool used to measure past and present performance. The review of data is an integral part of the activities which will support the monitoring strategy for service providers. Following are some of the data sources that may be used to assess provider's performance:

- Grant Application including Assurances
- Project Disbursement Report (DOE 599)
- Project Amendment Request(s)
- Auditor General Audit Reports
- Community-Based Organizations' (CBO) Audit Reports
- Florida Education Training and Placement Information Program (FETPIP)
- CBO data system
- Employ Florida including Performance Reports

#### **SECTION 3 – RISK ASSESSMENT**

Risk Assessment is a process used to evaluate variables associated with the grants which assigns a rating for the level of risk to the Florida Department of Education and the Division of Career and Adult Education. A Risk Matrix, identifying certain operational risk factors, is completed for each provider. Various sources of data are used throughout the implementation of the monitoring system. The results of the Risk Assessment process and consideration of resources at risk are used to determine appropriate monitoring strategies to be implemented. Agencies may be required to complete a self-assessment and/or be subject to a desk review at any time.

#### **Potential Risk Factors**

The risk assessment is based on an evaluation of certain risk factors related to the grants. The identification of risk factors takes into account the accessibility, availability, and relevance of the required data. The following are examples of risk factors that may be used:

- 1. Volume of Federal funds
  - Greater funding may entail greater risk.
  - The allocation of 1 million dollars carries significantly more risk than one thousand dollars.
- 2. History of audit findings
  - The number of findings from three prior audits; negative findings indicate increased risk, repeated or uncorrected findings even greater risk.
- 3. Budget Management
  - Repeated incidences of reported expenditures beyond approved budget line items, errors in the submission of budget amendments, or an excessive number of budget amendments may indicate a higher fiscal risk.
- 4. Organizational Changes

- A change in director during recent two years may affect coordination and implementation of the grant.
- A seasoned director presents less risk than one who is new to the responsibilities of the position.

#### 5. Performance

An agency that is not meeting the planned performance goals may have additional issues that need
to be addressed.

#### 6. Data Accuracy

- Data Validation accuracy accuracy of specific data elements in participant master records as compared to same data elements for that participant in the Employ Florida.
- Employ Florida accuracy accuracy of data entered into database per master file layout edit requirements for specific data elements in each record.

The final risk assessment factors will be determined prior to the initiation of onsite monitoring for the program year.

#### Risk Matrix

The risk assessment tool, the Risk Matrix, uses predetermined risk factors (as discussed above) to rank the risk of FCDP grantees and identify targeted monitoring areas.

- specific risk factors are identified on the Risk Matrix;
- a scale of specific criteria is established;
- a value is assigned for each of the criteria;
- the value is multiplied by the risk factor weight;
- results in a total number of points for the specific risk factor; and
- the points for each risk factor are totaled for a level of risk score for the agency.

The higher score indicates a greater level of risk. The FCDP state office will review specific risk factors, criteria scale, values, and risk factor weights annually and make appropriate changes as needed.

#### SECTION 4 – MONITORING STRATEGIES AND PROCESSES

#### **STRATEGIES**

The review of the Risk Assessment process will be ongoing. But, the use of the Risk Assessment process does not limit the Division's ability to monitor any agency, grant award, or other contracts at any time. The Division may apply any specific monitoring strategy to any Federal- or state-funded provider at any time. There may be circumstances which may warrant additional onsite visits or other strategies, regardless of a provider's risk matrix score. Unannounced visits may occur if determined appropriate by the Chancellor of the Division.

A robust monitoring system includes various monitoring strategies. The following activities may be used as monitoring strategies with a provider at any time during the monitoring process. The intensity, frequency, and purpose of use may vary according to the monitoring strategy required by the agency. Various monitoring strategies may be utilized to ensure a comprehensive Monitoring System. The Division is not limited to apply a specific strategy to any provider at any time. Strategies include:

<u>Phone calls and E-mail</u> - Communication occurs with an agency to engage in monitoring activities, including targeted technical assistance or as a periodic reporting mechanism, through one or more phone calls, including conference calls or Email.

<u>Video Conference</u> - Various technology may be used to conduct a video conference to complete monitoring activities including, but not limited to pre- and post-visit communication with the agency, interviews, targeted technical assistance, and follow-up activities.

<u>Self-Assessment</u> - An agency completes a full or partial Self-Assessment according to a timeline determined by the Program's Director to identify areas of greatest need/non-compliance; provide the results to the Division; and, if necessary, develop a corrective action/system improvement plan to ensure full compliance.

<u>Records Review</u> - Specific records and documentation are identified and requested to be submitted for a compliance review onsite or offsite in a desk review. Selected records may include, but are not limited to, invoices, purchase orders, travel documents, equipment lists, personnel records, student records and data, and existing policies and procedures.

<u>Technical Assistance</u> - Division staff or other designated parties provide a set of services that will assist providers with program and fiscal accountability, program quality and management, policies and procedures, or operations.

<u>Corrective Action Plans (CAPs)/Action Plans/Program Improvement Plans</u> - Activities/strategies are developed by the provider or the Division to achieve systems improvement or compliance.

<u>On-site Visit</u> - Monitoring activities are conducted onsite that may include the following: records review, observations, interviews, or other activities to perform a comprehensive review of compliance and program performance.

<u>Verification</u> - Activities used to insure the accuracy and consistency of the agency's performance, documentation, policies and procedures or data. Verification activities may take place onsite or offsite.

<u>Referral for Fiscal or Data Review</u> - A selected agency with non-compliance item(s) related to fiscal matters may be referred to the Department of Education Grants Management or Comptroller's Office for further review or action. Data issues may be referred for a data quality review within the FLDOE.

<u>Desk Review</u> - An agency's programs and services are monitored by various strategies including, but not limited to: a review of the agency's grant implementation and supporting documentation, requested records, and phone interviews.

<u>Close-out Reports</u> - A Close-out Report is required for all providers with grant awards that are no longer in place for any reason including termination, non-renewal, or selection. The report has specific requirements which must be completed at the direction of the Director. The US DOL has specific guidelines for close-outs of grants.

#### **MONITORING PROCESSES**

#### **Major Activities**

The following activities may be included as part of the monitoring process:

- Communication and Notification
- Interviews
- Observations
- · Records reviews
- Data verification
- Desk-top reviews
- Self-Assessment
- Participant case studies
- Surveys
- Reporting
- Targeted technical assistance
- Corrective Action/Improvement Plans/Action Plans
- Verification and Closure
- Retention Follow-up

#### COMMUNICATION

In order to ensure consistent communication between the FCDP Monitoring Team and the individual provider, guidelines for the communication process are established. By designating specific coordinating personnel for each party and by setting timelines, each party will be informed of the expectations for completing the specific tasks required to implement the monitoring processes effectively. Requests for information from the provider should be responded to within 10 business days. The initial notification letter and final report will be addressed to the Provider's Agency Head. All remaining written communications will be directed to the Provider's designated contact person(s). Although reference is made to communication regarding onsite visits, similar activities may be incorporated into the offsite monitoring strategies. Any exceptions or issues that arise from a monitoring strategy should be addressed with the FCDP Director (Director). The Division's Director of Quality Assurance and Compliance may be consulted at any time.

<u>Notification</u> - Providers may be notified of a pending monitoring activity by a phone call from the Director within a reasonable time of a scheduled activity. This call will be followed by written notification to the Agency Head. Additional phone calls will be held to coordinate the activity and ensure that the provider is informed of the monitoring components. Electronic mail is considered written notification when used.

<u>Designation of Provider Contact</u> - The provider is requested to designate a person to act as the primary contact for all monitoring functions.

<u>Designation of FCDP Monitoring Contact</u> - The Director is the team leader for all monitoring functions, including onsite visits. A co-leader is designated to coordinate the processes specific to a provider or group of providers. The co-leader reports to the Director.

Monitoring Team - The Monitoring Team is the designated person(s) to complete the monitoring processes.

<u>Length of Monitoring Activity</u> - The length of the visit may be determined by several factors including the size of the grant to be monitored, number of participants, the location(s) of the program(s), the complexity of the systems or documentation, as well as available resources.

Communication Prior to Onsite Monitoring - Prior to a monitoring activity the designated site team leader will conduct a phone interview with the provider-designated contact person. The team leader will identify persons involved with the activity with the provider. The provider will have the opportunity to clarify any issues concerning the data used as a basis for determining the site selection. Finally, the agency will be asked to provide any other information regarding its programs, procedures, or geographic area that may influence activities during monitoring process. Additional documents may need to be forwarded to the State Program Office prior to the activity. Training will be provided to targeted providers regarding the monitoring policies, procedures, and protocols.

<u>Entrance Conference</u> - The Monitoring Team conducts an entrance conference with the provider's official representative(s). The agency may provide an overview of its programs, services and systems which operate with the grant funds. The monitoring team describes the activities that will take place. The team leader may request those records covering the monitoring period up to and including the current records and documentation. Individual and/or group interviews, when used, may be set up in advance; however, during the course of the visit any agency personnel may be requested to participate in an interview. The provider is expected to make every effort to ensure that persons to be interviewed are available. The entrance conference provides an opportunity for both parties to review the schedule and work out any logistics that may contribute to an efficient and effective visit.

<u>Daily Debriefing</u> - The Monitoring Team leader may provide a debriefing to the provider's designated representative at the end of each day of monitoring. The team leader will discuss any issues or concerns found during the monitoring activities and address any provider concerns. This debriefing also enables the provider to locate any additional documentation that may be necessary to substantiate compliance.

<u>Exit Conference</u> - Upon the conclusion of the monitoring activity an exit conference is held. In attendance are member(s) of the Monitoring Team and the provider's designated representative. The provider may invite other persons as appropriate. The purpose of the meeting is to exchange information about the provider's strengths and concerns and to report on the general results of the monitoring visit. The exit conference allows the persons

present an opportunity to review and discuss any issues addressed during the course of the monitoring activity. Conference calls may be used to facilitate an exit conference. Requests for additional time to submit documentation following the exit conference must be approved by the Director.

<u>Follow-up Activities</u> - The Monitoring Staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Resolution Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. Onsite visits or further requests for documentation may be implemented to insure full compliance. Monthly documentation of follow-up activities is required of the team's co-leader and will be reviewed periodically by the Director.

#### **SECTION 5 - RESOLUTION ACTIVITIES**

<u>Purpose</u>: Resolution activities identify those specific steps/strategies to be taken by the provider that will address and resolve non-compliance, systemic issues, concerns, and/or the lack of achievement with performance indicators.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN**

Once a monitoring strategy is conducted, items of non-compliance are identified. In order to ensure the correction of those items, a Corrective Action Plan is developed. The Corrective Action Plan must identify the finding and the specific activities the provider will implement to ensure corrective actions have been completed to achieve full compliance. Dates of completion are expected. All strategies are expected to be completed within 30 days of the date of the final report. Extensions must be approved by the Director. The Monitoring staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. All Corrective Action Plans must be approved by the Director. Failure to develop or implement approved resolution plans may be addressed through additional monitoring activities and/or enforcement activities.

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Within the results of the monitoring activity, concerns may be noted. Concerns focus on areas that may need to be addressed to increase quality and minimize the potential for future findings. Such concerns are listed in the Action Plan; providers are required to address the concerns noted. All strategies are expected to be completed within 30 days of the date of the final report. Extensions must be approved by the Director. The Monitoring staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. All Action Plans must be approved by the Director. Failure to develop or implement approved resolution plans may be addressed through additional monitoring activities and/or enforcement activities.

#### SYSTEM/PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN

When a provider is unable to meet the projected level of performance on specific measures, a Program Improvement Plan may be required. The purpose of the plan is to target specific performance measures for improvement. Monitoring staff may work in concert with other Division staff to ensure consistency with the requirements, review, approval and follow-up of Program Improvement Plans.

#### Components of Plans

One form is used for all plans. The following components shall be included in each plan:

- A statement of the finding/data/concern
- Strategies Action(s) taken by the provider to address the finding/data/concern and ensure full resolution
- Person(s) responsible for implementation of the strategies
- · Projected date of completion, if warranted
- · Technical assistance needed or provided

#### TARGETED TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

<u>Non-Compliance</u> - As areas of non-compliance are identified locally or across the state, targeted technical assistance may be provided to support full compliance and systemic change for program improvement.

<u>Areas of Identified Need</u> - Targeted technical assistance addresses specific areas of identified need for an individual provider, a group of providers, or statewide, based on the frequency of the identified need. This need may be identified through federal or state reviews and/or audits that demonstrate repeated issues of non-compliance thus, the need for systemic change. For example, targeted technical assistance may be provided statewide as a result of a monitoring finding to ensure that the resolution is consistently and adequately interpreted and addressed. Targeted technical assistance may be provided by the Monitoring Team, other Division or FLDOE staff, or through other sources outside the department.

<u>Use of Technology</u> - A myriad of delivery modalities are appropriate, including, but not limited to: telephone calls, e-mails, conference calls, Power Point presentations, and technical assistance papers.

#### **SECTION 6 – ENFORCEMENT AND EVALUATION**

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of Enforcement is to ensure the implementation of the elements associated with the Monitoring System for the Division of Career and Adult Education. Enforcement activities are in place to ensure that grants and contracts are implemented in a timely and ethical manner, in full compliance with regulatory requirements, to support the purpose and goals of the grant.

#### **ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

- <u>Communication with Agency Heads and/or Governing Boards</u>: Communication with governing Boards may be required to focus on the need for immediate and systemic change to continue eligibility for grant.
- Regular Monitoring/Reporting: For a specific period of time, the provider's activities will be monitored on a regular basis; provider may be required to submit regular and frequent reports.
- <u>Grant Conditions</u>: Restriction(s) placed on a specific grant as a result of monitoring activities; conditions may include such actions as directed activities, structured spending, and increased reporting.
- <u>Funding Strategies</u>: Actions taken in regard to the selected provider's funding; may include a range of interventions from directed funding, change in method of reimbursement or, to delay or withhold funds.
- State Plans: The State Plans may address additional enforcement activities.
- <u>General Assurances, Terms, and Conditions for Participation in Federal and State Programs</u>: This document that must be signed by all agencies and organizations that receive Federal or state funds may address enforcement activities.

#### **INVESTIGATIONS**

In response to expressed concerns or complaints, investigations may be conducted in regard to grant(s) administration or implementation by providers. Investigations take place at the Division level unless approved otherwise. Such activities will be completed in concert with, and reported to, other Department offices as appropriate.

#### **CLOSE-OUTS**

Providers will be required to submit final reports upon the conclusion or termination of a grant. The Close-out Review Process may address performance and financial reports, inventory and disposition of equipment, record retention and/or additional elements requested by the FDOE or the Department of Labor.

#### **EVALUATION SYSTEM**

The purpose of an Evaluation System is to review the components and implementation of the Monitoring System,

including monitoring activities.

To support continuous improvement, the Monitoring Team will review any input that is given by stakeholders and providers monitored to make adjustments or changes to the system. As strategies and protocols are used, the team may identify changes that will improve the system. The system will be evaluated and revised as needed on an annual basis.

As the Monitoring System is expanded over time, the processes and procedures used internally to administer grants and programs will be evaluated. Various tools may be used including such evaluation tools accessible through federal agencies.

# Florida Farmworker Career Development Program On-Site Program and Fiscal Monitoring Checklist

# PY 2018-2019

OPEN	I ITEMS
Open f	ollow-up items reviewed from:
	<ul> <li>a. Pre-visit meetings/Desktop Reviews/Monthly Balance Performance</li> <li>b. Prior Monitoring Findings, Concerns, Observations, or Recommendations</li> <li>c. Data Validation process</li> <li>d. Communication related to issues/concerns</li> <li>e. Update Participant in Employ Florida</li> </ul>
STRA	TEGIC PLANNING
1.	<ul> <li>a. Site works with local Workforce Board.</li> <li>b. Site functions as partner with business and industry economic development agencies and education and training providers.</li> <li>c. Site works with state office to meet USDOL Performance Goals.</li> <li>d. Communication related to issues/concerns.</li> </ul>
SERV	ICE DESIGN
2.	<ul> <li>Resources available to grantee; services grantee can offer customers in a user-friendly manner.</li> <li>Appropriate basic skills and career information assessments are administered during the intake process and used to build Individual Employment Plans (IEPs).</li> <li>Support services are provided (either directly or through referral) to all participants in need of these services.</li> <li>Participants are being placed within an education or training program based on stated IEP educational goals.</li> <li>Measurable goals have been established for all active participants and monitored for accomplishment to include employment referral and placement in accordance with stated IEP employment goal.</li> <li>Professional development opportunities in the areas of program requirements, technology use, time and project management, customer service, and workforce system design are provided to all staff.</li> </ul>
3.	Interaction and coordination with job seeker services exists a. Grantee determines value and effectiveness of its services to business and industry b. Results and areas of growth are indicated.
4.	Satisfaction Surveys are conducted for program exiters and reported to state office.  a. Number of participants exit b. Number of Surveys
5.	Effective MOUs are in place with:  a. Workforce Board b. Educational and training providers

	c. Employers d. Support Service Agencies
	e. Others as needed (explain)
ΔΡΜΙ	NISTRATIVE CONTROLS
ADIVII	MISTRATIVE CONTROLS
6.	The organization maintains policies and procedures for core management functions and program operations.
7.	<ul> <li>The provider monitors its programs and services, particularly as related to participant retention, performance attainment, and meeting participants' needs;</li> <li>There is appropriate follow-up for any areas of concerns/findings noted.</li> </ul>
8.	<ul> <li>Record retention policies that meet the requirements of USDOL performance measures applicable are in place and followed;</li> <li>90-Day Retention follow-up</li> </ul>
9.	The organization maintains participants' records for 5 years according with state regulations.
10.	Staff meetings are held and minutes available.
CIVIL	RIGHTS
11.	Policies and procedures developed in accordance with applicable laws and regulations are in place that demonstrate the grantee's commitment to the principles of the laws and regulations.
12.	Notices (in languages appropriate to the populations served) are visibly posted to inform staff, project participants, and service providers of the discrimination complaint process, Equal Opportunity (EEO), and Section 504 policies.
	Review of EEO/Non-Discrimination Policy
13.	a. Posted broadly b. Contained in media (brochures, enrollment forms etc.) c. Contains all required elements
14.	Review of complaints are filed by participant.
15.	The grantee location and facility, or part of the facility, is physically accessible to and usable by disabled individuals.
ADVIS	SORY COUNCIL
16.	Review of function and viability/by laws and purpose indicate that the council strengthens employment opportunities for FCDP eligible clients served.

17.	Meetings are held at least three times a program year.		
18.	Review of meeting minutes indicates that meetings are held in accordance with state guidelines for advisory council meeting protocols.		
19.	Members are involved in the planning and evaluation of the project.		
20.	Members include representatives of business and industry, workforce boards, and other pertinent entities. Representatives of CareerSource  The board consists of a minimum of 5 members with each member representing a separate agency, group, or partnership from the following list:  Chamber of Commerce/Small Business Development Center Community Action Agency Local Social Service Agency Faith Based Organization Top Employers/ Local Employer Regional Workforce Board Past Successful Participant Parent Groups Legal or Financial Representative (Local) Politicians or Elected Official Local Ad-hoc Educators Local Secondary/Postsecondary Administrators Educational Institutions Career and Technical Education Institutions Preparatory Institutions Local Apprenticeship Programs Title I Program Media		
INIER	RVIEWS, OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO PARTICIPANT RECORDS		
21.	Participant interviews reflect mission and vision of FCDP program are being met.		
22.	Participants Record – Validation Monitoring Checklists In Place a. FCDP Worksheet Ab. FCDP Worksheet Bc. FCDP Worksheet Cd. Work Related Activitiese. Exit Related Activitiesf. FCDP State-wide Emergency Assistance (Annual Goal)		
23.	Case Note Format (Memo No. 13-04)  Master record of contacts, decisions and participants' progress is kept according to the following format:		

	a. Intake Comments b. Monitoring Comments c. Placement Comments			
	d. Exit Comments e. Retention Comments f. Miscellaneous			
24.	Case Manager interviews reflect an in-depth understanding of MSFW eligibility criteria, IEP development best practices, and retention follow-up protocols.			
25.	On-the-Job-Training a. The grantee keeps application information in file or/in Employ Florida b. OJT training agrees with participant's IEP c. Evaluation form is completed in file and / or Employ Florida			
26.	Work experience  a. Activity documented in Employ Florida b. Agreement in file / Copy sent to State office c. Job Description and Training Plan agrees with IEP's goals d. Site Evaluation in file / Employ Florida e. Time Sheets in file / Employ Florida			
27.	90-Day FCDP Retention Review Cycle  a. The grantee is reporting participant retention follow- up information at the appropriate times per the 90-Day Review Cycle schedule.  b. The grantee is reviewing the Job Placement dates for accuracy and consistency.			
28.	Strategies are being implemented to recruit and screen participants who meet the target group criteria identified in the grant.			
29.	The grantee is serving the eligible/target population identified in the grant as indicated by a review of:  a. Intake forms including application, b. Assessment records TABE / CASAS test (pre and posttest) c. IEP d. Work History e. Case Notes			
30.	The grantee is providing the full range of services stipulated in the grant agreement. (see RFA/RFP)			
31.	A review of participant files and Individual Employment Plans (IEPs) demonstrates that participants are receiving appropriate and effective services. (Memo No.13-03)  a. Employment -The grantee develops <i>Individual Employment Plan (IEP)</i> that identifies and meets the specific employment needs of each individual participant. (see EFM)  b. Education - Participants are receiving <i>training</i> as needed and progressing toward achieving their goals (insure that training is not a stand-alone activity, i.e. training needs are part of a plan to reach the IEP employment goal. (see EFM)			

	c. Support services are being provided as needed to overcome client barriers to participation and completion of the individual plan.			
32.	The grantee maintains a 'Participant On-Track' metric, i.e., a data collection system to identify and document the percentage of active participants who are actually meeting all IEP benchmarks by the time indicated in the IEP.			
HIGH-G	SROWTH JOBS			
33.	The grantee provides information on high-growth occupations and regional economic trends to job seekers and employers. Training and Employment Guidance Letter WIOA (TEGL) 26-16			
34.	Training activities are concentrated in those occupational areas having high-demand jobs in high-growth sectors. (TEGL 35-14 Change 1)			
35.	The grantee provides effective job search and job placement services to place participants in high-growth occupational areas either on-site or through local partnerships.			
36.	The grantee coordinates its service delivery with other workforce agencies  a. Customers have access to the full array of workforce partner services.  b. Customers are referred to CareerSource to collaborate on training services.  c. Employer services are integrated to minimize duplicative employer contact and maximize access to employment information.			
PERFO	RMANCE			
37.	<ul> <li>The grantee reports the Monthly Balance Performance complete and in the time frame.</li> <li>The grantee performs according to the Balance Performance Goal by the Program Year in the following areas:</li> <li>a. Total of New Participants</li> <li>b. Entered Employment</li> <li>c. Completed Training Service</li> </ul>			
38.	Participants exit with placements of at least \$10.00 per hour full time.			
39.	WIOA Primary Performance Indicators: a.			

40.	Total of participants exit Participants exit with no Placements Retention Follow-up Update		
41.	Existence of Performance Improvement Plans to resolve any needed improvements in meeting performance goals.		
42.	Performance information, presented in a user-friendly format, is provided to oversight boards other relevant stakeholders, and to the general public to guide decision-making.		
PERSO	DNNEL		
43.	Personnel policies and record are in place and in force  a. Position descriptions are consistent with requirements to meet program goals b. Provider's hiring, promotion, termination practices are sound c. Staff resumes match PD's d. Staffing breakout forms on file with grant application are current and accurate.		
44.	Time and Effort Certification / Personnel Activity Reports (PARs)  a. Reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee b. Account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated c. Are prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods d. Are signed by the employee e. Are kept on file f. The organization has written policies and procedures for distributing program costs staff time, and general and administrative costs among programs		
45.	Personnel certification requirements are met as follows:  a. Level 2 Security Background Screening b. Eligibility Certification (3 years) c. Tier I, Employ Florida (Confidentiality Form for Tier 1) d. SUNTAX (Annual Training) e. Case Management (if appropriate) f. TABE testing g Kuder Assessment		
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM: Statute Authority - EDGAR, Section 80.20(a)(1)-(6). UGG – Post Federal Award Requirements			
46.	Off-site review of financial records  a. Budget Narrative (in grant application – RFP/RFA) b. Monthly reporting (599s)- timely, current, and accurate c. Addendums applicable to financial resources d. Grantee adheres to Florida Department of Education (FLDOE)/state office's policies regarding program and budget amendments.		

	On-site review of financial records			
47.	<ul> <li>a. Monthly reports—(599s) Documentation of Expenditures are accurate.</li> <li>b. Supporting documents indicate all line items' charges and cost categories are accurate (according to the baseline budget and chart of accounts/Red Book).</li> <li>c. The organization's accounting system is free of ambiguity.</li> </ul>			
	Financial Reporting			
48.	<ul> <li>a. Fiscal policies and procedures are in place, which include standard accounting practices, budgeting, and documenting/reporting procedures.</li> <li>b. Grantee monitoring of the grant's expenditures are within State and Federal statutes.</li> <li>c. A process is in place where program and fiscal managers coordinate their activities so information can be shared.</li> </ul>			
	Accounting Records			
49.	<ul> <li>a. A process is used to maintain detailed accounting records.</li> <li>b. A process is in place to link accounting, procurement, and inventory management systems (to minimize problems).</li> </ul>			
	Internal Control			
50.	<ul> <li>a. Controls are in place to protect technology and equipment acquired with Federal funds.</li> <li>b. Grantee assures property is used solely for authorized purposes.</li> </ul>			
	Budget Control			
51.	<ul> <li>g. A process is in place for reconciling (for consistency) budget amounts with actual expenditures where payments are based on expenditures and performance.</li> <li>h. The working budget was planned to reflect changes that occur throughout the fiscal year, which limits revisions to uncontrollable circumstances.</li> </ul>			
	Allowable Cost (see Allowable Cost Checklist)			
52.	<ul> <li>a. Staff positions are in place for approving grant expenditures (purchases).</li> <li>b. A process is in place to ensure expenditures are "reasonable, allowable, and allocable."</li> </ul>			
FO	Source Documentation			
53.	A process is in place for documenting various accounting transactions (payroll, purchases, etc.).			
	Grant Spending			
54.	<ul> <li>a. Grant spending occurs at a rate consistent with the amounts budgeted through the current quarter.</li> <li>b. Budget code integrity is maintained throughout the program year, i.e., expended funds do not exceed approved funds for the obligated budget object code.</li> </ul>			

55.	Meeting Forecasted Goals  The grantee meets service level goals proposed in the grant agreement (stated goals in RFP).		
56.	Plans to Utilize Remaining Funds  The grantee meets all service level goals and will fully utilize all grant funds by the end of the grant period.		
57.	Travel Expenditures for Staff  a. Travel costs are paid in accordance with provider's and FDOE policy b. Authorized by supervisor (signed) c. Supported by receipts d. Out-of-state travel costs are authorized by the Farmworker Career Development (FCDP) State Director (form is on file).		
	JREMENT (purchasing and contracting): ory Authority-EDGAR Section 80.36(b)-(j)		
58.	Procurement Procedures  a. Procurement procedures for purchasing equipment using grant funds are in place b. Guidelines are in place describing how purchase requests are processed c. Procurement procedures for contracting goods or services using grant funds are in place d. The contract manager is known.		
59.	Deliverables  a. Internal controls are in place to guarantee contracts contain clear deliverables b. A measure is used to determine when deliverables are completed c. A system is in place to ensure contractors submit hard copies of invoices according to the schedule outlined in the contract or when deliverables are completed.		
60.	Code of Standards of Conduct  a. A process is in place to ensure staff members are aware of the information contained in the code of standards of conduct and abide by it.  b. Keep records of previous code of ethics violations and how they were handled.  c. Procedures are in place to assure persons procuring services do not have a conflict of interest in selecting, awarding, or administering the contract.		
61.	Purchasing  a. Procedures for practicing economical purchasing are in place b. An agreement is in place that explains how purchasing potential is maximized c. Procedures are in place, which describes the purchasing process for items less than \$1000. d. Procedures are in place, which describes the purchasing process for items more than		

	\$5,000.
	Third-Party Contracts
	<ul> <li>a. An updated contract, which comply with Florida Statues, Sections 215.422, 216.347, 216.3475, 287.058, and 287.133; Rule 60A-1.017, Florida Administrative Code is on file and easily assessable—containing the following:</li> <li>Scope of work</li> <li>Performance standards</li> </ul>
	Remedies for non-performance
62.	b. The organization has a system in place to assure sub-recipient audits are conducted
<u> </u>	and resolved. c. Measures are used to ensure contracts are not made with entities that have been
	suspended or debarred from participating in contracts supported with Federal funds.
	e. Invoices support the payment requests.
	f. Procedures are in place for handling disputes with contractors (notify FLDOE and due process hearing).
	g. Travel guidelines for contractors are consistent with state travel requirements.
FISCAL	EQUIDMENT.
	_ – EQUIPMENT: Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)
	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)
Statue	
	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment
Statue	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures
Statue	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment
Statue 63.	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.  Equipment Purchase Approval
Statue	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.
Statue 63.	Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d)  Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.  Equipment Purchase Approval  The grantee has sought and received approval prior to purchasing equipment and has written evidence of prior approval received for items of equipment it has purchased with grant funds.
Statue 63.	Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.  Equipment Purchase Approval  The grantee has sought and received approval prior to purchasing equipment and has written evidence of prior approval received for items of equipment it has purchased with grant funds.  Projected Equipment Purchases Form and purchasing documents are on file.  Equipment Use  a. The grantee uses equipment purchased with grant funds according to the scope of
Statue 63.	Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.  Equipment Purchase Approval  The grantee has sought and received approval prior to purchasing equipment and has written evidence of prior approval received for items of equipment it has purchased with grant funds.  Projected Equipment Purchases Form and purchasing documents are on file.  Equipment Use
63. 64.	Equipment Purchase Procedures  The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds.  Equipment Purchase Approval  The grantee has sought and received approval prior to purchasing equipment and has written evidence of prior approval received for items of equipment it has purchased with grant funds.  Projected Equipment Purchases Form and purchasing documents are on file.  Equipment Use  a. The grantee uses equipment purchased with grant funds according to the scope of work.

	Management Requirements			
66.	<ul> <li>a. The staff position responsible for equipment is listed.</li> <li>b. A reasonable system is in place to track the purchase, use, and disposal of equipment.</li> <li>c. A process is in place to inventory equipment.</li> <li>d. Are all equipment purchased with grant funds listed on the grantee's equipment checklist?</li> <li>e. How often is grant-purchased equipment physically inspected?</li> <li>f. Grantee reconciles discrepancies between current and previous inventory at least biannually.</li> <li>g. When was reconciliation last performed?</li> <li>h. Guidelines are in place, which describe equipment storage and security framework.</li> <li>i. How is damage or theft handled? Were there any within the past 12 months?</li> <li>j. Who is responsible for maintaining the equipment? What are the current maintenance procedures?</li> </ul>			
67.	Disposition – Federal Equipment – Right to Transfer Title  Procedures are in place for the disposition of equipment (acquired under Federal grant) that is no longer needed for the original project or program.			

# PARTICIPANT PROGRAM AND SERVICES FILE MONITORING CHECKLIST/DATA VALIDATION

Participant:	App. ID No.:		
Project: Choose an item.	NFJP Adult □	NFJP Youth □	
Intensive / Training $\square$ Related Assistance Services Only $\square$			
Date of Review: Click here to enter a date.	Reviewer: Choose	an item. Initials:	

	DOCUMENTS	FILE/ EF	SIGNED/VERIFIED	ERRORS	INITIALS
	DOCUMENTS	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N	
				ı	
FCDP	WORKSHEET A & B				
I.	ELIGIBILITY / DATA VALIDATION				
1.	Application Enrollment (documentation)				
2.	Work History Form				
	a. NAICs code for qualifying farm work				
	b. Employment Verification (labor force status)				
	c. Sun Tax Report				
	d. Six Month Pre-program Earnings				
3.	Family Size Log / Dependents				
4.	Release of Information Form				
5.	Birth Date / Age (documentation)				
6.	Selective Service Information (if applicable)				
7.	Public Assistance Verification				
8.	Long-term agricultural employment (Documented)				
9.	Participant Complaint Procedures				
	(includes non-discrimination policy)				
II.	FCDP PARTICIPANT IEP SERVICES / DATA VALIDATION				
1.	Pre-program Assessment Record (TABE or CASAS)				
2.	Individual Employment Plan (IEP) Goals/Objective/Services				
	a. Training agree with IEP Employment				
	b. Case Notes agree with IEP				
	(Training / Support Services / Employment)				
3.	Case Notes in Employ Florida				
	(must be monthly and dated)				
4.	Occupational Training Agreement				
5.	Post-program Assessment Records (TABE or CASAS)				
6.	Customer Satisfaction Surveys				
FCDP	WORKSHEET C				
l.	EXIT MANAGEMENT AND DATA VALIDATION				
	a. Occupational Skills Certificate / Credential / License Occupational				
	License Occupational				

	DOCUMENTS	FILE/ EF Y or N	SIGNED/VERIFIED Y or N	ERRORS Y or N	INITIALS
	b. Entered Employment				
	c. Post-Secondary Credential (Youth)				
	d. Case Closured				
	e. Created Outcome (exit without employment)				
1.	Customer Follow-up Employment Information (if applicable)				
	a. Employment Rate-2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter after exit				
	b. Employment Rate- 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter after exit				
2	c. Median Earning - 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter after exit				
2.	d. Credential Attainment				
	e. Measurable Skill Gains				
	f. Retention Follow-up 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> , and 4 <sup>th</sup>				
WORK	-RELATED ACTIVITIES (if applicable)				
1.	Work Experience Agreement				
	a. Work Site Evaluation				
	<ul> <li>b. Work Experience Job Description &amp; Training Plan agree with IEP</li> </ul>				
2.	On the Job (OJT) Agreement				
	a. OJT Monitoring Report				
	b. OJT Training Invoice				
3.	Payroll Compliance Review / Support Services / Related Assistance				
	a. Weekly Attendance Sheet (OJT / WEX)				
	b. Weekly Attendance Support Services				
	c. Support Services Category (Voucher (s) )				
	d. Training Support Services Cost (Policy No. 15-01)				
FCDP S	Statewide Emergency Assistance (EA-Only)			ı	
	a. Case Notes				
	<b>b.</b> Activity Code (Employ Florida)				
СОММ	IENTS:				

Farmworker Career Development Program

# Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet A

Eligibility Review and Data Validation Worksheet

**Instructions:** Coordinator (authorized reviewer) completes no later than 30 calendar days after enrollment/date of participation. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida and/or the participant's record.* 

Participant Name:	App. ID No:					
Enrollment/date of participation	Project:					
Part A Section I:  1. Is applicant a:	Review of Application/Enrollment Form					
a. Citizen of the U	Inited States	Yes	No			
	mitted to accept permanent employment in the United States by and Naturalization Service:  Exp. Date:	Yes	No			
Immigration an dealing with De	mitted to accept employment in the United States by the d Naturalization Services in accordance with TEGL 02-14 ferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) participants:  Exp. Date:	Yes	No			
the applicant re	is eligible for registration under the Selective Service Act, is gistered?  mber:	Yes	No			
Part A Section II:	Review of the Family Income and Work History Record					
Certification Period: Applicant Six Month Pr	From Toe-Program Earnings					
<ol> <li>Applicant is a farm</li> </ol>	worker Yes No Dependent of a farmw	orker	Yes No			
a. Gained at lea	fication period, must have: st 50% of total earned income from farmwork or employed in least 50% of work time. TEGL No.18-16 (Work History must be a second to the control of the control	oe	Yes No			
	Low-Income individual as defined in WIOA Section 3(36)(A) 16 – Section 6. Definitions E(i., ii., iii., iv., v., vi.)		Yes No			
Record and the Fa	tems of the Application/Enrollment Form, the Work History amily Income Record been completed?		Yes No			
4. Have the forms be	een signed by:	Yes	No.	٦		
<ul><li>a. Applicant?</li><li>b. Interviewer?</li></ul>		Yes	No No	-		
<ul><li>b. Interviewer?</li><li>c. Authorized re</li></ul>	eviewer?	Yes	No	-		
If all answers are YES, th signatures are missing, co	e information on the application is internally consistent and reas rective action must be taken immediately. If review reveals in return to interviewer for correction/verification.					
Signature of	Coordinator / Authorized Reviewer		Date			

# Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet B

Eligibility Review and Data Validation Worksheet

**Instructions:** Authorized reviewer completes no later than 30 calendar days after enrollment/date of participation. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida and/or in the participant's record.* 

Participant Name:	App. ID No:
Enrollment/ date of participation:	Project:
Part B Section I	
Application/Enrollment, Work History and Family complete?	y Income Record Yes [ ] No [ ]
The above forms are reasonable and internally con-	nsistent? Yes [ ] No [ ]
Part B Section II	
Eligibility Item	Circle Verification Sources Used
Citizen;	<ul> <li>Passport</li> <li>Birth certificate</li> <li>Voter registration card</li> <li>Naturalization Certificate</li> </ul>
Non-citizen;	<ul> <li>Employment Authorization Card</li> <li>Alien registration documents</li> <li>Employment Authorization Card (DACA)</li> </ul>
Family Size / Dependents;	<ul> <li>Birth certificates</li> <li>Family Bible</li> <li>IRS 1040 form</li> <li>Marriage certificates</li> </ul>
Birth Date; Age;  6 month pre-program earnings;	<ul> <li>Federal, State, Local ID</li> <li>Birth certificate / Hospital record of birth</li> <li>Baptismal record</li> <li>Driver's license</li> <li>Passport</li> <li>Public assistance / Social service records</li> <li>School records/ID</li> <li>Tribal records</li> <li>Crossmatch w/Dept. of Vital Statistics</li> <li>Alien registration documents</li> <li>Voter's registration card</li> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>W-2 forms</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>W-2 forms</li> <li>IRS 1040 form</li> <li>Employer payroll records/Income Verification Letter</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage printout)</li> </ul>
Farm work Income during 12 month eligibility determination period; Family income; Seasonal criteria; Migrant / seasonal status;	<ul> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>W-2 forms</li> <li>IRS 1040 form</li> <li>Employer payroll records/Income Verification Letter</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage printout)</li> </ul>

Eligibility Item	Circle Verification Sources Used	
Registered for selective service; (if applicable)  Low-Income individual as defined in WIOA Section 3(36) (A) see TEGL No.18-16 - Section 6.  Definitions E (i., ii., iii., iv., v., vi.)  TANF/SNAP Food Stamp Supplemental Nutrition Assistance program, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), General Assistance(GA), Refugee Cash Assistance(RCA), Federal School Lunch, Social Security Disability Insurance(SSDI):SSDI, Individual w/Disability, Homeless	<ul> <li>Registration card</li> <li>Screen shot from <a href="http://ww4.sss.gov/regver/verification1.asp">http://ww4.sss.gov/regver/verification1.asp</a></li> <li>Selective service application</li> <li>Public assistance records/printout</li> <li>Social service agency verification</li> <li>One Stop verification</li> <li>Refugee assistance records</li> <li>Current or 6 months authorization food stamps</li> <li>Federal School Lunch verification (see NFJP Frequently Asked Questions)</li> <li>Verification cash assistance letter</li> <li>School IEP (for Individual w/ Disability (see TEGL No.18-1 - Section 6)</li> <li><a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr_doc.cfm?docn=8849">https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr_doc.cfm?docn=8849</a></li> </ul>	
Labor force status;	<ul> <li>Employer letter</li> <li>One Stop verification</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage printout)</li> <li>Pay Stubs</li> <li>Layoff notice</li> <li>*Case notes, initialed and dated</li> </ul>	
Extension of certification period: Disabled; Incarcerated; Hospitalized; Military service;	<ul> <li>Vocational rehab agency letter</li> <li>Social service agency letter</li> <li>Veteran's administration letter</li> <li>Hospital / physician records</li> <li>Prison record</li> <li>Court documents</li> <li>DD 214</li> <li>Discharge papers</li> </ul>	

Part	Part B Section III			
a.	Participant eligible:	Yes [ ]	No [ ]	
b.	Above data verified:	Yes [ ]	No [ ]	
c.	Additional support documents required:	Yes [ ]	No [ ]	

Part B Section IV			
Review Item Circle Verification Sources Used			
Release information	FCDP release information form (those who you look income in SUNTAX)		
Date of participation;	<ul> <li>Application form with signature</li> <li>Enrollment application with signature</li> <li>IEP signed, dated</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> </ul>		

Long-term agricultural employment (4 years documented);	<ul> <li>IRS 1040 Form</li> <li>Application/Enrollment form with signature</li> <li>Pay stubs (4 years)</li> <li>SUNTAX/Wage printout (4years)</li> <li>Employer letter</li> <li>Work History Form</li> <li>*Case notes initialed, dated</li> </ul>
Review Item	Circle Verification Sources Used
Date of first intensive service; Pre-test;	<ul> <li>Testing records</li> <li>IEP signed, dated</li> <li>Work Experience</li> <li>Remedial reading</li> <li>Short-term Prevocational Services</li> <li>*Case notes initialed, dated</li> </ul>
Date of first training service;	IEP signed, dated     Classroom attendance record     Entrepreneurial training/enrollment record     Registered Apprenticeship     Enrollment record     Signed OJT agreement     Work Experience     Occupational Skills Training     *Case notes initialed, dated
Enrolled in a program or activity leading to an educational or occupational credential or license;	<ul> <li>IEP signed, dated</li> <li>Attendance records from institution or instructor signed, dated</li> <li>Registration/educational or occupational credential / or license</li> <li>*Case notes initialed, dated</li> </ul>

Date

\*Note: All case notes must be in Employ Florida on monthly basis.

Signature of Authorized Reviewer / Title

# Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet C

Exit Management and Data Validation Worksheet

**Instructions:** Completed after participant exit by authorized reviewer. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida and/or in the participant's record*.

Participant Name:	App. ID No:
Enrollment /date of participation:	Project:
· · · ————————————————————————————————	1 10Ject.
Part C Section I	
Review Item	Circle Verification Sources Used
Post-test;	<ul><li>Testing record</li><li>Case notes initialed, dated</li></ul>
Customer Satisfaction Survey	Survey in file
Date of Closure:	<ul> <li>Closure date</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>Case manager / counselor termination notice</li> </ul>
Exit/Outcome (Other reason for exit) (Global Exclusion)	<ul><li>Exit/Outcome (Employ Florida)</li><li>Case notes initialed, dated</li></ul>
Entered unsubsidized employment Wage: \$ Hours:	<ul> <li>Letter from employer</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>IEP closed, dated</li> <li>Add Employment (Employ Florida)</li> </ul>
Attainment of state recognized educational or occupational certificate, credential, diploma or degree	<ul> <li>Copy of Credentials (Employ Florida)</li> <li>Create Credential (Employ Florida)</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> </ul>
Employed 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter after exit quarter  Yes No	<ul> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>Employer payroll records</li> <li>IRS tax forms</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (Sun Tax / wage printout)</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>Follow up</li> </ul>
Employed 2 <sup>nd</sup> after exit quarter  Yes No	<ul> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>Employer payroll records</li> <li>IRS tax forms</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (Sun Tax / wage printout)</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>Follow up</li> </ul>
Employed 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter after exit quarter  Yes No	<ul> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>Employer payroll records</li> <li>IRS tax forms</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (Sun Tax / wage printout)</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>Follow up</li> </ul>

	oyed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter after exit quarter No	<ul> <li>Pay stubs</li> <li>Employer payroll records</li> <li>IRS tax forms</li> <li>UI (unemployment) documents (Sun Tax / wage printout)</li> <li>Case notes initialed, dated</li> <li>Follow up</li> </ul>		
		10110		
Part (	C Section II			
a.	Above data verified:	Yes [ ]	No [ ]	
b.	Additional support documents required:	Yes [ ]	No [ ]	
If yes,	explain:			
	Signature of Authorized Reviewe	r / Title	Date	

Note: Case notes must be in Employ Florida record on monthly basis.

#### **ALLOWABLE COST PROTOCOLS**

#### FISCAL - ALLOWABLE COSTS OVERVIEW CHECKLIST Name of Form Completer:\_\_\_\_\_ Agency:\_\_\_\_ **Position of Form Completer:** \_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ **Directions:** Did your Agency spend federal grant funds on any of the selected items below? Check YES, NO or N/A. If the answer is YES, to any of the selected items on this overview checklist, answer the related question(s) for the selected item in the Fiscal Allowable Costs Detail section below. **Selected Item of Cost** YES NO N/A **Selected Item of Cost** YES NO N/A Advertising and public relations Membership subscriptions costs and professional activity costs Advisory councils Organization costs Alcoholic beverages Participant support costs Alumni activities Plant and security costs Audit costs and related services Pre-award costs Bad debts Professional service costs Bonding costs Proposal costs Commencement and convocation Publication and printing costs Compensation for personal Rearrangement and services (including but not conversion costs necessarily limited to wages, salaries, and fringe benefits) Contingency provisions Recruiting costs Deans of faculty and graduate Relocation costs schools Defense and prosecution of Rental costs of real property criminal and civil proceedings and equipment and claims Depreciation and use Royalties and other costs for allowances the use of patents and/or copyrights Scholarships and student Donations and contributions aid costs Selling and marketing costs Employee health and welfare costs Entertainment costs Specialized service facilities Equipment and other capital Student activity costs expenditures Fines, penalties, damages and Taxes other settlements

Termination costs applicable

to sponsored agreements

Training costs

Fund-raising and investment

Gains and losses on disposition of

depreciable property and other capital assets and substantial

management costs

relocation of federal programs	
General costs of government	Transportation costs
Goods or services for personal use	Travel costs
Housing and personal living expenses	Trustees
Idle facilities and idle capacity (unused capacity of partially used facilities)	
Insurance and indemnification	
Interest	
Labor relations costs	
Lobbying	
Losses on other awards or contracts	
Maintenance and repair costs	
Materials and supplies costs,	
including cost of computing	
devices	
Meetings and	
Conferences	

	FISCAL – ALLOWABLE COSTS DETAIL QUESTIONS				
Statut	Statutory Authority: <u>UGG Subpart E-Cost Principles</u> , General Provisions §200.400 Policy Guide, Special				
Consi	derations for States, Local Government and Indian Tribes § 200.416, § 20	0.405, Bas	ic Conside	ration	
Alloca	able Cost, Cost Allocation Plans and § 200.56 Indirect Cost Proposals and	Subpart F	<u>Audit</u>		
Requi	rements § 200.500 Purpose. See the specific section of UGG to each item	below:			
	tising and public relations costs § 200.421UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
1.	Did the agency advertise staffing vacancies? In what media? Were feder	al funds us	ed to purc	hase the	
	advertisements?				
2.	What other ads did the agency purchase? In what media?				
3.	Did the agency use federal funds to cover costs of meetings or convention				
	demonstrations, or exhibits? Meeting rooms, hospitality suites, booths o				
4.	Did federal funding provide salaries and wages for employees engaged in	in setting u	p and disp	laying	
	exhibits, making demonstrations, and providing briefings?				
5.	Were federal funds used to cover costs of promotional items and memor	abilia, incl	uding mod	lels,	
	gifts, and souvenirs?				
6.	Were the agency's advertising and public relations costs designed to pro-	mote the a	gency's pr	ograms	
	or the agency itself?			_	
Adviso	ory councils § 200.422 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
1.	Were federal funds used to cover any costs related to advisory councils?				
Alcoho	olic beverages § 200.423 UGG	☐ YES	□NO	N/A	
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs of alcoholic beverages?				
Alumni(ae) activities § 200.424 UGG				N/A	
1. Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for or in support of alumni (ae) activities and similar services?					
Audit	Audit costs and related services § 200.425 UGG				

1.	1. Were federal funds expended for audit costs and related expenses such as audits required by, and					
	performed in accordance with, the Single Audit Act?					
Bad de	ebts § 200.426 UGG	<b>YES</b>	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended to cover bad debts, including losses (whet	her actual c	r estimate	ed)		
	arising from uncollectible accounts and other claims, related collection	costs, and re	elated lega	al costs?		
Bondi	ng costs § 200.427 UGG	<b>YES</b>	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for bonding costs required by the federal	governmen	t as assura	ance		
	against financial loss to itself, including bonds such as bid, performance	e, payment	, advance	payment,		
	infringement and fidelity bond?					
2.	Are bonding costs required in the general conduct of operations of the	<del></del>				
Comm	nencement and convocation costs § 200.429 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for commencement and	convocation	ons?			
	a. Were these funds expended for salaries and fringe benefits of members.					
	whose responsibilities to the institution require administrative work	that benefi	ts comme	ncements		
	and convocations?					
_	ensation for personal services (including but not necessarily limited	☐ YES	□NO	□ N/A		
to wag	es, salaries, and fringe benefits) § 200.430 UGG					
1.	Salaries and wages charged to federal grants are documented as such?					
2.	Is the total compensation reasonable for the services rendered? Does it of			shed		
	policy of the agency consistently applied to both federal and non-federal	l activities?	)			
3.	Payroll is approved by finance official authorized to do so?					
4.	Where employees work solely on a single federal award or cost objective					
	salaries and wages supported by periodic certifications that the employees worked solely on that					
	program for the period covered by the certification?					
	a. Were these certifications prepared at least semi-annually and signed by the employee or supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee?					
5.						
٥.	wages supported by personnel activity reports (PARs)?					
	a. Do these PARs reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee?					
	b. Do they account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated?					
	c. Are they prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more pay periods?					
	d. Are they signed by the employee?					
	e. Do they reflect budget estimates or other distribution percentages determined before the					
	services are performed? If so, they do not qualify as support for cl	harges to fe	deral awa	rds.		
6.	If federal funds have been expended for severance pay:					
	a. Was severance pay required by law, by employee/employer agree	ment or by	establishe	d written		
	policy?		4 4			
	b. Was severance pay associated with normal turnover and allocated	as an mair	ect cost?			
Contin	ngency provisions § 200.433 UGG	YES	NO	N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended as contributions to a contingency reserve	or any simi	lar provisi	on made		
	for events the occurrence of which cannot be foretold with certainty as					
	assurance of their happening? (The term "contingency reserve" exclude					
	pension plan reserves, and post-retirement health and other benefit reserves computed using					
acceptable actuarial cost methods.)						
Deans of faculty and graduate schools § 200.431 UGG						
1.	Were federal funds expended for salaries and expenses of deans of facu	lty and grad	duate scho	ols, or		
	the equivalents, and their staff?					

Defense and prosecution of criminal and civil proceedings and claims § 200.435 UGG		YES	□NO	□ N/A		
1.	1. If federal funds were expended for defense of criminal and civil proceedings and claims, were they					
ъ	for legal expenses required in the administration of federal programs?	□ <b>X</b> /E/C		■ NT/A		
Depre	ciation and use allowances § 200.436 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended as compensation for depreciation and/or u	se allowand	ces for the	use of		
	fixed assets (buildings, capital improvements, and equipment)?					
2.	Was the computation of depreciation or use allowances based on the acc	quisition co	st of the a	ssets		
2	involved?					
3.	Are the charges for use allowances or depreciation supported by adequate					
	physical inventories that are taken at least once every two years to ensur-	e that the a	ssets exist	and are		
D 4	usable, used and needed?	NEC.		NT/A		
Donai	ions and contributions § 200.434 UGG	<b>∐YES</b>	□NO	∐ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for contributions or donations (including of	ash, proper	rty, and se	rvices)		
	made by the agency?					
2.	Was the value of donated or volunteer services (furnished to the agency	by professi	onal and t	echnical		
	personnel, consultants, or other skilled and unskilled labor) reimbursed a	as a direct o	or indirect	cost		
	using Federal funds?					
3.	Was the value of donated services received by the used to meet cost-sha	ring or mat	ching			
	requirements?					
Emplo	oyee health and welfare costs § 200.437 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for the costs of employee information pub	lications, h	ealth or fi	rst-aid		
	clinics and/or infirmaries, recreational activities, employee counseling se	ervices, and	d any othe	r		
	expenses incurred in accordance with the agency's established practice of					
	improvement of working conditions, employer-employee relations, emp	loyee mora	le, and en	nployee		
	performance?	2				
	a. Were such costs equitably apportioned to all activities of the ager					
TF. 4	b. Was income generated from any of these activities offset against			□ NT/A		
Enter	tainment costs § 200.438 UGG	☐ YES		□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs of entertainment, including amuse					
	activities and any costs directly associated with such costs (such as ticke	ts to shows	or sports	events,		
	meals, lodging, rentals, transportation, and gratuities)?					
Equip	ment and other capital expenditures § 200.439 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1	Were federal funds expended for acquisition costs of capital assets (for	general pur	pose equip	oment,		
	buildings and land) or for expenditures to make improvements to capita	l assets tha	t materiall	y		
	increase their value or useful life?					
	a. If capital expenditures were direct charges to the grant, were they approved in advance by FDOE?					
	b. Were equipment and other capital expenditures included in indirect costs?					
	c. When equipment purchased wholly or partly with federal funds	is replaced.	, what is d	one with		
	the replaced equipment? Is it used as a trade-in? Is it sold and th					
cost of the replacement property? Is it surpluses? Is it given to another federal program for						
	use in that program?					
	d. When approved as a direct charge, were these capital expenditure			iod in		
	which the expenditure was incurred, or as otherwise determined appropriate by and					
Finas	negotiated with FDOE?	VEC	NO	NI/A		
rines,	penalties, damages and other settlements § 200.441 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		

1.	1. Were federal funds expended to cover costs resulting from violations of, or failure of the agency to					
	comply with federal, state, and local or foreign laws and regulations?					
Fund-	raising and investment management costs § 200.442 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
	Were federal funds expended to cover costs of organized fund raising?					
2.	Were federal funds expended to cover costs of investment counsel and st	taff and sin	nilar expen	nses		
2	incurred solely to enhance income from investments?		16 :			
3.	Were federal funds expended for costs associated with investments cove or other funds which include federal participation allowed by UGG §200			surance,		
	or other runds which include federal participation allowed by OGG \$200	).405 and §	200.442.			
	and losses on disposition of depreciable property and other capital	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
	and substantial relocation of federal programs § 200.443 UGG	. 11	, .	1 1 1'		
1.	Were gains and losses on the sale, retirement, or other disposition of dep the year in which they occur as credits or charges to the asset cost group:					
	was included?	ilig(s) ili wi	inch the pi	operty		
Genera	al costs of government § 200.444 UGG	YES	NO	N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for any of the following general costs of g					
	a. Salaries and expenses of the Office of the Governor or a state or the			political		
	subdivision or the chief executive of a federally recognized Indian to			_		
	b. Salaries and other expenses of a state legislature, tribal council, or s					
	body, such as a county supervisor, city council, school board, etc.,	whether inc	curred for	purposes		
	of legislation or executive direction?					
	c. Costs of the judiciary branch of a government?					
	d. Costs of prosecutorial activities unless treated as a direct cost to a specific program if authorized					
	by program statute or regulation (however, this does not preclude the allow ability of other legal activities of the attorney general)?					
2.	2. Were federal funds expended for the portion of salaries and expenses directly attributable to					
	managing and operating federal programs by the chief executive of a federally recognized Indian					
	Tribal Government or Councils of Governments (COGs) and his or her s		<u> </u>			
Goods	or services for personal use § 200.445 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs of goods or services for personal	use of the	agency's			
2	ware these costs reported as tayable income to the ampleyees?					
	Were these costs reported as taxable income to the employees?  ng and personal living expenses § 200.445 UGG	YES	□ NO	N/A		
Housh	ig and personal fiving expenses § 200.445 UGG			IN/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for "costs of housing (such as depreciation	maintena	nce utiliti	es		
	furnishings, rent), housing allowances and personal living expenses for t					
	officers?					
2.	Were these costs reported as taxable income to the employee?					
	cilities (completely unused facilities) and idle capacity (unused	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
	capacity of partially used facilities) § 200.446 UGG					

	1. Were federal funds expended for "cost of idle facilities" such as maintenance, repair, housing, rent,				
and other related costs such as insurance, interest, property taxes, and d	epreciation	or use			
allowances?					
a. Were the costs of idle facilities necessary to meet fluctuations in wo					
b. Were facilities idle due to changes in program requirements, efforts		more economical			
operations, reorganization, and termination or due to unforeseen rea		1			
2. Were federal funds expended for maintenance, repair, housing, rent and					
insurance, interest, property taxes and depreciation or use allowances for	r equipmen	t and/or buildings			
with idle capacity?	C	1			
b. Were the costs of idle capacity normal costs of doing business and a	factor in tr	ne normai			
fluctuations of usage from period to period?	□ <b>X</b> /E/C				
Insurance and indemnification § 200.447 UGG	☐ YES				
4 ***		6.1.1.10			
1. Were the costs of insurance required or approved and maintained as required or approved and maintained as required or approved.					
2. Were federal funds expended for costs of other insurance maintained by	the agency	in connection			
with the general conduct of its activities?	11 .				
a. Were the types and extent and cost of coverage in accordance with					
b. Were the costs for insurance or of any contributions to any reserve of	covering the	e risk of loss of or			
damage to federally owned property?		/ <sub>4</sub> 1 1			
3. Were the costs for actual losses which could have been covered by perm	nissidie inst	irance (through			
self-insurance or otherwise)?	<b>l.</b>	4la a a a a 11 a a a			
4. Were these costs for deductibles or minor losses not covered by insuran	ce, such as	the sponage,			
breakage and disappearance of small hand tools?  We the contributions to a reserve for contain self-incurence programs in the contributions to a reserve for contain self-incurence programs.	naludina w				
5. Were the contributions to a reserve for certain self-insurance programs including workers					
compensation, unemployment compensation, and severance pay?  6. Were the costs for actual claims paid to or on behalf of employees or former employees for workers					
compensation, unemployment compensation, severance pay and similar employee benefits?					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
7. Were the costs for indemnification, securing the agency against liabilities to third persons and other losses not compensated by insurance, and expressly provided for in the federal award?					
8. Were the costs for commercial insurance to protect against costs of the contractor for corrections of					
the contractor's own defects in materials or workmanship?					
Interest § 200.449 UGG	YES	NO N/A			
interest § 200.447 CGG					
1. Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for interest on borrowed	d capital or	the use of the			
agency's own funds?					
2. Were federal funds expended for financing costs (including interest) pair	d or incurre	ed which are			
associated with otherwise allowable costs of building acquisition, constr	ruction, or f	Fabrication,			
reconstruction or remodeling completed on or after October 1, 1980?					
3. Were federal funds expended for financing costs (including interest) pair					
September 1, 1995, for land or associated with otherwise allowable cost	s of equipn				
Labor relations costs § 200.430 UGG	☐ YES	$\square$ NO $\square$ N/A			
1 Ways fodowal funds armanded for costs in armand in maintaining satisfact	omy malation	a hatrraan tha			
1. Were federal funds expended for costs incurred in maintaining satisfactors are not and its applications including costs of labor management as maintaining.					
agency and its employees, including costs of labor management commit	nees, empre	byees publications			
and other related activities?	VEC				
Lobbying § 200.450 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO □ N/A			
1. Were federal funds expended for the cost of certain influencing activitie	s associated	l with obtaining			
grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, or loans?					
2. Were federal funds expended for executive lobbying costs?					
Losses on other awards or contracts § 200.451 UGG	☐ YES	NO N/A			

1.	1. Were federal funds expended for any excess of costs over income under any other award or contract of					
	nature? (This includes, but is not limited to, the agency's contributed portion by reason of cost-sharing					
	agreements or any under-recoveries through negotiation or flat amounts					
Main	tenance and repair costs § 200.452 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for necessary maintenance					
	buildings and equipment (including federal property unless otherwise pro					
	to the permanent value of the property nor appreciably prolong its intended		•			
	efficient operating condition? (Costs incurred for improvements which ac					
	the buildings and equipment or appreciably prolong their intended life sh expenditures.)	all be treate	ed as capit	al		
	rials and supplies costs, including cost of computing devices § 53 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
	Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for materials, supplies an	d fabricate	d parts nec	cessary		
	to carry out a federal award?		-	•		
2.	Were purchased materials and supplies charged at their actual prices, net					
	(Withdrawals from general stores or stockrooms should be charged at the					
	recognized method of pricing inventory withdrawals, consistently applied	d. Incoming	g transport	ation		
2	charges are a proper part of materials and supplies costs.)	a1 asses = 1 - 1	ana. 1 1	السما		
3.	Were materials and supplies actually used for the performance of a federa costs?	ai award ch	arged as d	irect		
4.	Was there a charge for federally-donated or furnished materials used in p	erforming t	he federal	award?		
Meeti	ngs and conferences § 200.432 UGG	☐ YES	□NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for the costs of meetings and conferences, t	he primary	purpose o	of which		
	is the dissemination of technical information? (This includes costs of mea	_	rtation, re	ntal of		
	facilities, speakers' fees, and other items incidental to meetings or confere	ences.)				
Meml	perships, subscriptions and professional activity costs § 200.454	YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
UGG						
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs of the agency's:			_		
	a. Membership in business, technical, and professional organizations?					
	b. Subscriptions to business, professional, and technical periodicals; and/or					
	c. Membership(s) in any organization that engages in lobbying activities	s?				
Orgai	nization costs § 200.455 UGG	<b>YES</b>	□ NO	N/A		
1.	Was approval obtained from the awarding agency prior to the expenditure			•		
	the following? (Incorporation fees, brokers' fees, fees to promoters, organ					
	consultants; attorneys, accountants, or investment counselors, whether or			<b>;</b>		
D- 41	organization, in connection with establishment or reorganization of an organization of a property of a pr	T —		NT/A		
	cipant support costs § 200.456 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A		
1.	Was approval obtained from the awarding agency prior to the expenditure					
	or subsistence allowances, travel allowances, and registration fees paid to					
or trainees (not employees), in connection with meeting conferences, symposia or training projects?						
Plant	and security costs § 200.457 UGG	☐ YES	□NO	□ N/A		
1.	Were federal funds expended for any of the following activities?					
	a. Necessary and reasonable expenses incurred for routine security to pr					
	and work products? (expenses, such as: wages, and uniforms of person		d in secur	ity		
	activities, equipment, barriers, contractual security services, consultant					
Pre-a	ward costs § 200.458 UGG	I   YES	l l NO	l I N/A		

1.	1. Were federal funds expended for costs incurred prior to the effective date of the award directly				
	pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the award, where such c	osts are ne	ecessary fo	or	
	efficient and timely performance of the scope of work?				
Profe	ssional service costs § 200.459 UGG	☐ YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
1.	Were federal funds expended for the costs of professional and consultant	services re	endered by	persons	
	who are members of a particular profession or possess a special skill and				
	employees of the agency?				
Propo	osal costs § 200.460 UGG	YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
1.	Were federal funds expended on costs of preparing proposals for potentia	ıl Federal A	Awards?		
Publi	cations and printing costs § 200.461 UGG	YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
<ol> <li>2.</li> </ol>	Were federal funds expended for publications costs of printing (including composition, plate-making, press work, binding, and the end products prodistribution, promotion, mailing, general handling and/or page charges for Were costs not identifiable with a particular cost objective allocated as in activities of the agency?	oduced by or profession	such proce	eations?	
<ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li></ul>	activities of the agency?  If federal funds were expended for page charges for professional journal part of research costs, did the research papers report work supported by the Are the charges levied impartially on all research papers published by the	ne federal	governme	nt?	
	federally-sponsored authors?	<b>3</b>		•	
Rear	rangement and reconversion costs § 200.462 UGG	YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for ordinary and normal i	rearrangen	nent and al	teration	
	of facilities?	C			
2.					
3.					
	facilities to approximately the same condition existing immediately prior to the commencement of a				
4	federal award? Were federal funds expended to seven the cost of weer and team on the cost	amary'a faa	11:4:009		
	Were federal funds expended to cover the cost of wear and tear on the ag	ency s rac		■ NT/A	
Kecrı	niting costs § 200.463 UGG	YES	□NO	□ N/A	
<ol> <li>Were federal funds expended for costs incurred pursuant to a well-managed recruitment program to recruit staff in keeping with workload requirements? (These costs include: "help wanted," advertising, operating costs of an employment office necessary to secure and maintain and adequate staff, costs of operating and aptitude and educational testing program, travel costs of employees while engaged in recruiting personnel, travel costs of applicants for interviews for prospective employment and relocation costs incurred incident to recruitment of new employee.)</li> <li>Did the agency use employment agencies that charges standard commercial rates?</li> <li>In publications, did help-wanted advertising include color, material than for recruitment purposes or excessive size?</li> <li>Did recruiting enticements meet the test of reasonableness and conform to the established practices of the agency?</li> <li>Has the agency refunded or credited relocation costs when the costs were incurred incidental to the recruitment of a new employee who reigned for reasons within his control within 12 months of hire?</li> </ol>					
Reloc	ation costs § 200.464 UGG	YES	□NO	□ N/A	
		110			

	Were funds used for relocation costs for permanent change of duty or assignment for an indefinite			
	period or for a stated period not less than 12 months, of an existing empl	loyee or up	pon recruit	tment of
	a new employee?		•	
Rental	costs of real property and equipment § 200.465 UGG		NO	N/A
	r ip ip i p i p i p i p i p i p i p i p	YES		_
1.	Are rental costs reviewed periodically to determine reasonableness? (Ba	sed on rer	ntal costs o	of
	comparable property and/ or equipment, market conditions in the area, a			
	expectancy, condition and value of the property leased.)		,	· <b>/ F</b> · <b>,</b> ·
2.	Are rental costs under "sale and lease back" and "less than arms-length,"	" leases ec	nual to the	amounts
	that would have been allowed had title to the property or equipment bee			
Royalt	ies and other costs for the use of patents § 200.448 UGG		NO	N/A
Koyan	tes and other costs for the use of patents § 200.440 CGG	YES		
1.	Have federal funds been expended on royalties on a patent or copyright		zation of th	ne cost of
1.	acquiring by purchase a copyright, patent, or rights, necessary for the pr			
	award?	oper perio	indice of	tile
2.	Does the federal government have a license or the right to free use of the	e natent or	convright	÷?
3.	Has the patent or copyright been adjudicated to be invalid, or been admit			
٥.	invalid?	inibulati vel	y determin	iled to be
4.	Is the patent or copyright considered to be unenforceable?			
5.	Has the patent or copyright expired?			
6.	Did the agency exercise special care in determining reasonableness whe	re the rova	alties may	have
٠.	been arrived at as the result of a less-than-arm's-length bargaining? (Suc			
	corporations affiliated with the agency or unaffiliated parties under an a		•	
	anticipation that a federal award would be made.)	6		
Schola	arships and student aid costs § 200.466 UGG		NO	N/A
5021010	2011ps und statution and costs of 2001100 C C C	YES		
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs of scholarships, fellowships and	other prog	rams of st	udent aid
	to provide training to selected participants and the charge was approved by the sponsoring agency?			
2.				
	performing necessary work or conducting activities necessary to the sponsored agreement?			
2	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with established institutional policy?			
3.				
3. 4.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis	hed institu	itional pol	
4.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the world	hed institu	itional pol	
4.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis	hed institu	itional pol ed?	icy?
4.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the worls and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG	hed instituk performe  YES	itional poled?	icy?
4. Selling	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the world	hed instituk performe  YES	itional poled?	icy?
4. Selling	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any pro-	hed instituk performe  YES	itional poled?	icy?
4. Selling	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any programming and programming and marketing any programming and marketing and marketing any programming and marketing and marketing any programming and marketing and m	hed instituk performe  YES	ed? NO ervices of t	icy?
4. Selling	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any programming and programming and marketing any programming and marketing and marketing any programming and marketing and marketing any programming and marketing and m	hed instituk performe YES ducts or se	ed? NO ervices of t	icy?  N/A the
4. Selling 1. Specia	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any provagency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG	hed institute k performe YES ducts or se	ed? NO ervices of t	icy?  N/A the
4. Selling  1. Specia  1.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any provagency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly specifications.	hed institute k performe YES ducts or se	ed? NO ervices of t	icy?  N/A the
4. Selling  1. Specia  1.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  Iized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors?	hed institute k performe YES ducts or se	ntional poled?  NO ervices of to the NO facilities of	icy?  N/A the N/A operated
4. Selling 1. Specia 1.	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  Iized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors?	hed instituted performed YES ducts or see YES ducts or see YES ducts or See YES ducts duct	ed? NO ervices of to NO facilities of NO	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any provagency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? It activity costs § 200.469 UGG	hed instituted performed YES ducts or see YES ducts or see YES ducts or See YES ducts duct	ed? NO ervices of to NO facilities of NO	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  Ilized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? In activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities.	hed instituted performed YES ducts or see YES ducts or see YES ducts or See YES ducts duct	ed? NO ervices of to NO facilities of NO	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  Ilized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? In activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities.	hed instituted performed YES ducts or see YES ducts or see YES ducts or See YES ducts duct	ed? NO ervices of to NO facilities of NO	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any programmer agency?  Iized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? At activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities clubs and other student activities?	hed instituted performed YES ducts or see YES ducts or see YES ducts or See YES ducts duct	ntional poled?  NO  Prvices of to the NO  facilities of NO  ublication	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A s, student
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? At activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities, clubs and other student activities?  § 200.470 UGG	Hed instituted performed TYES  ducts or second period of the tent	ntional poled?  NO ervices of to the NO facilities of the NO ublication  NO	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A s, student N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen 1. Taxes	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? At activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities clubs and other student activities?  § 200.470 UGG  Were federal funds expended for taxes which the organization is require	Hed instituted performed YES  ducts or seed yes pecialized yes, student performed yes pecialized	ritional poled?  NO Privices of the NO Provices of	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A s, student N/A
4. Selling 1. Specia 1. Studen 1. Taxes	Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establis Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the work and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any progragency?  lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors? At activity costs § 200.469 UGG  Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities, clubs and other student activities?  § 200.470 UGG	YES cetalized  YES to be a student p  YES to be a student p  YES to d to pay a (GAAP)?	ritional poled?  NO Privices of the NO Privices of	icy?  N/A the N/A operated N/A s, student N/A are paid

3.	Were these payments for taxes from which exemptions are available to the institution directly or					
4	which are available to the institution based on an exemption afforded the federal government?					
4. 5.	Were these payments for special assessments on land which represent capital improvements?  Were refunds of taxes, interest, or penalties, and any payment to the institution of interest thereon,					
3.	attributable to taxes, interest, or penalties which were allowed as spon					
	or paid to the federal government in the manner directed by the federal		_		,	uncu
	of paid to the rederal government in the manner directed by the redera	.1 gove		ш.		
Termi	nation costs applicable to sponsored agreements § 200.471 UGG	<u> </u>	YES	□ NO		N/A
1.	If an award has been terminated, were there certain costs that could no	ot be d	liscont	inued imn	nedi	ately
	after the effective date of termination?					
Traini	ng costs § 200.472 UGG	<u> </u>	YES	□ NO		N/A
1.	Were federal funds expended for the cost of training provided for emp	loyee	devel	opment?		
Transp	portation costs § 200.473 UGG	<u> </u>	YES	□NO		N/A
1.	Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for freight, express, ca	_	_	-	her	
	transportation services relating either to goods purchased, in process,					
2.	Does the agency follow a consistent, equitable procedure where identified				rials	3
	received cannot readily be made, so that inbound transportation cost n	nay be	e charg	ged to the		
	appropriate F&A cost accounts?					
3.	Is outbound freight, if reimbursable under the terms of the sponsored	agreer	nent, t	reated as a	a dir	ect
	cost?					
Travel	costs § 200.474 UGG		YES	□NO	Ш	N/A
1.	Were all travel expenses (paid from these federal funds) incurred for t	he off	icial b	ousiness of	the	
2	institution?	1. 0				
2.	Are all travel charges consistent with the institution's written travel po	-	ucc	8200 4749		
3.	Are all airfare costs coach or equivalent unless they meet the exception					
4.	If institution staff travels by other than commercial carrier, are the travavailable commercial charges?	vei cna	arges (	consistent	With	1
Truste	es § 200.475 UGG	<u> П</u>	YES	NO	П	N/A
1.	Were federal funds expended for travel and subsistence costs of truste					
1.	are subject to restrictions regarding lodging, subsistence and air travel	-				7313
	\$200.475.	COSES	provi	aca in co	O	
	§200.473.					
Financ	e Office Signature Date					