Farmworker Career Development Program

Monitoring Policies, Procedures, and Protocols



2017-2018 Florida Department of Education Division of Career and Adult Education

Table of Contents

| INTRODUCTIO | N | 3 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| SECTION 1 SECTION 2 SECTION 3 SECTION 4 SECTION 5 SECTION 6 | General Provisions | 5 7 3 11 |
| FCDP ON-SITE | E PROGRAM & FISCAL CHECKLIST | 14 |
| PARTICIPANT | PROGRAM AND SERVICES FILE | 24 |
| FCDP WORKS | НЕЕТ А | 26 |
| FCDP WORKS | НЕЕТ В | 27 |
| FCDP WORKS | НЕЕТ С | 30 |
| FISCAL – ALL | OWABLE COSTS OVERVIEW CHECKLIST | 32 |
| FISCAL - ALL | OWABLE COSTS DETAIL QUESTIONS | 33 |

Florida Department of Education Division of Career and Adult Education Farmworker Career Development Program

Monitoring Policies, Procedures and Protocols

INTRODUCTION

FCDP providers will be monitored on an annual basis per US Department of Labor guidance. The Florida Department of Education, Division of Career and Adult Education (Division), Quality Assurance and Compliance Section, in concert with the Farmworker Career Development Program (FCDP) Section, has developed Monitoring Policies, Procedures, and Protocols to implement this requirement. Programs and services will be monitored to support the operation of the United States Department of Labor (USDOL), Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Title I, Section 167 grant, Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers. The primary purpose of this system is to ensure that the FCDP's goals and standards are met.

SECTION 1 – GENERAL PROVISIONS

PURPOSE OF MONITORING

The purpose of monitoring is to identify the specific areas in which a provider is in compliance or non-compliance with Federal law and regulations, state statutes and rules, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars, and/or conditions of the grant(s). The timely identification of non-compliance provides a framework to make changes that are expected to result in programs becoming more efficient and effective. In addition, a comprehensive and multi-dimensional Quality Assurance System is a foundation for continuous improvement of services and systems both internally and externally. The Department's commitment to excellence supports accountability, collaboration, targeted technical assistance, continuous improvement, and positive systemic change. Monitoring protocols will address performance and compliance.

A WAY OF WORK

The Florida Department of Education (FLDOE), Division of Career and Adult Education, in carrying out its roles of leadership, resource allocation, technical assistance, monitoring, and evaluation is required to oversee the performance and regulatory compliance of recipients of Federal and state funding.

The Quality Assurance and Compliance section is responsible for the design, development, and evaluation of a comprehensive system of quality assurance including monitoring. The Farmworker Career Development Program Section in Tampa will implement the monitoring system as it relates to Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker subgrantees. The role of the Monitoring System is to assure financial accountability, program performance and quality, and regulatory compliance. As stewards of Federal and state funds, and in accordance with USDOL guidance, it is incumbent upon the Division to perform on-site regulatory compliance monitoring of all direct service providers using WIOA Title I, Section 167, grant funds on a regular basis.

The monitoring component of the system is risk-based and performance targeted. Risk Assessment is a process used to evaluate variables associated with the grants, especially performance, and assign ratings to these variables which will be used to compile an overall assessment of the provider's level of risk to the Florida Department of Education and the Division. A Risk Assessment Matrix may be completed for each provider prior to the completion of the federal funding award and used to adjust funding amounts or utilize methods of payment which will minimize the overall fiscal risk to the Department. But, in all cases, the Risk Assessment Matrix will be used to target review areas for the on-site monitoring team.

AUTHORITY

The authorizing legislation for federal support of Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers is found under Title I, Section 167, of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act: <u>http://www.doleta.gov/wioa/</u>. The Florida Department of

Education receives Federal funding from USDOL's Employment Training Administration (ETA) for the Farmworker Career Development Program. FLDOE awards sub-grants to eligible providers to administer local programs. FLDOE must monitor providers to ensure compliance with Federal requirements, including Florida's approved Program Plan for Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs as specified in WIOA, Section 167.

Federal regulations that apply to the programs funded under WIOA, Section 167, until superseded, include:

- a. 20 CFR part 669;
- The general administrative requirements found at 20 CFR, part 667, including the regulations concerning Complaints, Investigations and Hearings found at subpart E through subpart H, which cover programs under WIA section 167;
- c. The regulations codifying the common rules implementing Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars, which generally apply to Federal programs carried out by State and local governments and nonprofit organizations at 29 CFR parts 95, 96, 97, and 99, as applicable.

Final Guidance has been issued that, upon implementation, will supersede requirements from OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, A-110, and A-122 (which have been placed in 2 C.F.R. Parts 220, 225, 215, and 230); Circulars A-89, A-102, and A-133; and the guidance in Circular A-50 on Single Audit Act follow-up. See <u>final guidance</u> and <u>OMB</u> <u>Policy Statements</u> for more information on Uniform Grant Guidance (UGG).

UGG Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards § 200.100 Purpose, denotes uniform nature of standards and requirements for all Federal grants and cooperative agreements irrespective of entity type; removes explicit reference to Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs), hospitals, and other non-profit organizations.

§ 200.101 Applicability, Adds explicit reference to Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of (ISDEAA) as amended, 25USC 450-458 ddd-2, for tribal entities.

Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) Part 76 State Administered Programs. EDGAR 34 CFR part 76.1 "Programs to part 76 applies to each State-administered program of the Department. Pursuant to EDGAR 34 CFR 76.770, "A State shall have procedures to ensure compliance. Each State shall have procedures for reviewing and approving applications for sub grants and amendments to those applications, for providing technical assistance, for evaluating projects, and for performing other administrative responsibilities the State has determined are necessary to ensure compliance with applicable statutes and regulations."

UGG § 200.51 Grant Agreement – Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments § 200.51 establishes uniform administrative rules for federal grants and cooperative agreements and sub awards to State, local and Indian tribal agreements.

UGG § 200.328 "Monitoring and reporting program performance, (a) Monitoring by providers. Providers are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of grant and sub grant supported activities. Providers must monitor grant and sub grant supported activities to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and that performance goals are being achieved. Provider monitoring must cover such program, function or activity."

§215.86, Florida Statutes (F.S.), "Management System and Controls — Each state agency and the judicial branch as defined in §216.011, F.S., shall establish and maintain management systems and controls that promote and encourage compliance; economic, efficient, and effective operations; reliability of records and reports; and safeguarding of assets. Accounting systems and procedures shall be designed to fulfill the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles."

§1008.32, F.S., address the responsibility of the State Board of Education for oversight and enforcement relative to compliance. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [PL. 88-352]; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 as amended [20 U.S.C. 1681-1683 and 1685-1686]; Section 504 of the Rehabilitative Act of 1973, as amended [29 U.S.C. 794]; Section 1000.05, Florida Statutes: "The Florida Educational Equity Act"; Section 760.10, Florida Statutes: "Unlawful Employment Practices" Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and the Age Discrimination Act of 1972.

The Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) of 2008 prohibits discrimination on the basis of genetic information. Civil right compliance obligations are monitored by the following:

Secondary and technical education centers operated by public school districts. The Office of Equal Educational Opportunity (OEEO); contact phone number: 850-245-9556. Postsecondary public education institutions: Equity and Civil Rights Compliance, Division of Florida Colleges; contact phone number: 850-245-9468. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact the OEEO at 850-245-9556, or the OECC at 850-245-9468.

The Project Application and Amendment Procedures for Federal and State Programs (Green Book at http://www.fldoe.org/grants/greenbook/).

Financial and Program Cost Accounting and Reporting for Florida Schools (Red Book at <u>http://www.fldoe.org/finance/fl-edu-finance-program-fefp/)</u>.

Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirement for Federal Awards (2CFR Part 200 at <u>http://www.ecfr.gov.cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr200 main 02.tpludit-requirements-for-federal-awards</u>).

UGG Part 200, § 200.328 and § 200.331 Monitoring and reporting program performance. The non-Federal entity is responsible for oversight of the operations of Federal award supported activities. The non-Federal entity must monitor its activities under Federal awards to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and performance expectations are being achieved. Monitoring also must cover each program function or activity.

STRATEGIC IMPERATIVE

The FDOE operates within the following guiding principles: a coordinated K-20 seamless system, studentcentered, access, equity, academic excellence, and flexibility. Strategic focus areas within Florida's Next Generation PreK-20 Education Strategic Plan have also been developed to assist the Department in long range planning efforts. The Division of Career and Adult Education specifically addresses the following strategic focus areas:

- 1. Expand opportunities for postsecondary degrees and certificates.
- 2. Improve College and Career Readiness.

OPERATIONAL STANDARDS - ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance requires that the FDOE, Division of Career and Adult Education, monitor the activities of sub-grantees or providers, to ensure the performance goals are achieved and Federal funds are expended for authorized purposes. Monitoring will support compliance with Federal laws and regulations, state statutes and rules, and the provisions of an approved grant award.

Designated staff is expected to coordinate and complete compliance monitoring in accordance with the system requirements. Staff is required to render impartial and unbiased judgments in the review of provider compliance with the terms and conditions specified in the approved grant award, as well as, applicable state and Federal laws.

Staff is expected to demonstrate, model, and reinforce the values of integrity, accountability, quality, urgency, responsiveness, personal responsibility, courtesy, collaboration, and innovation. Staff will demonstrate these values at all times in their interactions with co-workers, supervisors, providers and other stakeholders; in their personal contributions for work assignments and projects; and when representing the Division and the Florida Department of Education.

SECTION 2 - IMPLEMENTATION FUNDAMENTALS

The purpose of this section is to identify essential fundamentals of the implementation of the Quality Assurance System and specific components of monitoring activity for the Farmworker Career Development Program.

GENERAL PROCEDURES

Each section of this manual has been developed to provide consistency and order to the application of the Monitoring activities as they are developed. For each component, certain elements may be present.

Regulatory Authority: Protocols will be substantiated with the regulatory citation as appropriate.

<u>Protocols</u>: In order to substantiate compliance vs. non-compliance, protocols are developed to ensure consistent application of regulatory requirements. For example, the use of interview protocols, record review checklists or observation guidelines will support clear expectations and findings of fact. Forms and checklists are developed to ensure efficient monitoring processes.

<u>Consistent Use of Terms</u>: The sub-grantee or vendor will be referred to as the <u>provider or agency</u> in the contents of the monitoring papers. Other terms will be defined in applicable laws and regulations; excerpts are included in this document.

STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The Division of Career and Adult Education is committed to the inclusion of stakeholder involvement in the Quality Assurance System. Participation of school districts, community colleges, state colleges and universities, community/faith-based organizations, students and families and division staff ensures a dynamic and transparent system. As the Quality Assurance System is developed and implemented, it will evolve based upon the input of stakeholders, in addition to implementation activities. The Advisory Council acts in an advisory capacity to ensure stakeholder participation in the quality assurance process; there is no approval authority. Final authority for decision-making lies with the division.

<u>Quality Assurance Advisory Council</u>: The Advisory Council may convene, in person or by phone, to review and give feedback regarding the Quality Assurance processes and tools. This workgroup may also assist in the review of data and trends to ensure meaningful development and revision of the system to identify the need for statewide systemic technical assistance activities, and assist in the evaluation of the system.

<u>Focus Groups</u>: Representatives associated with the grant awards as a provider, student or family member, may be solicited from across the state to participate in periodic focus groups during the year. The focus groups may give input regarding a single issue/topic. Groups may be contacted for phone or video conferences, individual calls, emails, or written surveys. Focus groups may also be organized to meet during onsite visits.

<u>Peer Monitors</u>: Peer monitors can be an invaluable asset to the quality assurance process, especially monitoring. Persons from the field are nominated to participate in the monitoring process and bring a wealth of training and expertise to their assignment. Peer monitors may be used across disciplines and can be a key tool in times of shrinking resources. Qualified persons from local providers, FDOE, and/or outside agencies may be recruited to participate in the monitoring processes with the FDOE monitoring team. Use of peer monitors will increase monitoring resources, provide training on the monitoring process, and support an effective and efficient system. The division may implement a peer monitoring system.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

In order to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of the components of the Monitoring System, it is appropriate to provide training to internal and external customers.

Training will be provided to internal FCDP State Office staff by the Division's Compliance Office and be consistent with the Division's monitoring and compliance protocols. Providers will receive training via conference calls regarding the monitoring activities and procedures specific to the visit, as well as, during presentations at the program's annual conferences.

In addition, Bi-monthly webinars are scheduled for all grantees in order to provide program updates, review compliance issues, and performance status.

DATA REVIEW

The level of compliance and performance of services delivered by each provider requires continuous monitoring. Data are a key accountability tool used to measure past and present performance. The review of data is an integral part of the activities which will support the monitoring strategy for service providers. Following are some of the data sources that may be used to assess provider's performance:

- Grant Application including Assurances
- Project Disbursement Report (DOE 599)
- Project Amendment Request(s)
- Auditor General Audit Reports
- Community-Based Organizations' (CBO) Audit Reports
- Florida Education Training and Placement Information Program (FETPIP)
- CBO data system
- Employ Florida including Performance Reports

SECTION 3 – RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Assessment is a process used to evaluate variables associated with the grants which assigns a rating for the level of risk to the Florida Department of Education and the Division of Career and Adult Education. A Risk Matrix, identifying certain operational risk factors, is completed for each provider. Various sources of data are used throughout the implementation of the monitoring system. The results of the Risk Assessment process and consideration of resources at risk are used to determine appropriate monitoring strategies to be implemented. Agencies may be required to complete a self-assessment and/or be subject to a desk review at any time.

Potential Risk Factors

The risk assessment is based on an evaluation of certain risk factors related to the grants. The identification of risk factors takes into account the accessibility, availability, and relevance of the required data. The following are examples of risk factors that may be used:

- 1. Volume of Federal funds
 - Greater funding may entail greater risk.
 - The allocation of 1 million dollars carries significantly more risk than one thousand dollars.
- 2. History of audit findings
 - The number of findings from three prior audits; negative findings indicate increased risk, repeated or uncorrected findings even greater risk.
- 3. Budget Management
 - Repeated incidences of reported expenditures beyond approved budget line items, errors in the submission of budget amendments, or an excessive number of budget amendments may indicate a higher fiscal risk.
- 4. Organizational Changes
 - A change in director during recent two years may affect coordination and implementation of the grant.
 - A seasoned director presents less risk than one who is new to the responsibilities of the position.

5. Performance

• An agency that is not meeting the planned performance goals may have additional issues that need to be addressed.

6. Data Accuracy

- Data Validation accuracy accuracy of specific data elements in participant master records as compared to same data elements for that participant in the Employ Florida.
- Employ Florida accuracy accuracy of data entered into database per master file layout edit requirements for specific data elements in each record.

The final risk assessment factors will be determined prior to the initiation of onsite monitoring for the program year.

Risk Matrix

The risk assessment tool, the Risk Matrix, uses predetermined risk factors (as discussed above) to rank the risk of FCDP grantees and identify targeted monitoring areas.

- specific risk factors are identified on the Risk Matrix;
- a scale of specific criteria is established;
- a value is assigned for each of the criteria;
- the value is multiplied by the risk factor weight;
- results in a total number of points for the specific risk factor; and
- the points for each risk factor are totaled for a level of risk score for the agency.

The higher score indicates a greater level of risk. The FCDP state office will review specific risk factors, criteria scale, values, and risk factor weights annually and make appropriate changes as needed.

SECTION 4 – MONITORING STRATEGIES AND PROCESSES

STRATEGIES

The review of the Risk Assessment process will be ongoing. But, the use of the Risk Assessment process does not limit the Division's ability to monitor any agency, grant award, or other contracts at any time. The Division may apply any specific monitoring strategy to any Federal- or state-funded provider at any time. There may be circumstances which may warrant additional onsite visits or other strategies, regardless of a provider's risk matrix score. Unannounced visits may occur if determined appropriate by the Chancellor of the Division.

A robust monitoring system includes various monitoring strategies. The following activities may be used as monitoring strategies with a provider at any time during the monitoring process. The intensity, frequency, and purpose of use may vary according to the monitoring strategy required by the agency. Various monitoring strategies may be utilized to ensure a comprehensive Monitoring System. The Division is not limited to apply a specific strategy to any provider at any time. Strategies include:

<u>Phone calls and E-mail</u> - Communication occurs with an agency to engage in monitoring activities, including targeted technical assistance or as a periodic reporting mechanism, through one or more phone calls, including conference calls or Email.

<u>Video Conference</u> - Various technology may be used to conduct a video conference to complete monitoring activities including, but not limited to pre- and post-visit communication with the agency, interviews, targeted technical assistance, and follow-up activities.

<u>Self-Assessment</u> - An agency completes a full or partial Self-Assessment according to a timeline determined by the Program's Director to identify areas of greatest need/non-compliance; provide the results to the Division; and, if necessary, develop a corrective action/system improvement plan to ensure full compliance.

<u>Records Review</u> - Specific records and documentation are identified and requested to be submitted for a compliance review onsite or offsite in a desk review. Selected records may include, but are not limited to, invoices, purchase orders, travel documents, equipment lists, personnel records, student records and data, and existing policies and procedures.

<u>Technical Assistance</u> - Division staff or other designated parties provide a set of services that will assist providers with program and fiscal accountability, program quality and management, policies and procedures, or operations.

<u>Corrective Action Plans (CAPs)/Action Plans/Program Improvement Plans</u> - Activities/strategies are developed by the provider or the Division to achieve systems improvement or compliance.

<u>On-site Visit</u> - Monitoring activities are conducted onsite that may include the following: records review, observations, interviews, or other activities to perform a comprehensive review of compliance and program performance.

<u>Verification</u> - Activities used to insure the accuracy and consistency of the agency's performance, documentation, policies and procedures or data. Verification activities may take place onsite or offsite.

<u>Referral for Fiscal or Data Review</u> - A selected agency with non-compliance item(s) related to fiscal matters may be referred to the Department of Education Grants Management or Comptroller's Office for further review or action. Data issues may be referred for a data quality review within the FLDOE.

<u>Desk Review</u> - An agency's programs and services are monitored by various strategies including, but not limited to: a review of the agency's grant implementation and supporting documentation, requested records, and phone interviews.

<u>Close-out Reports</u> - A Close-out Report is required for all providers with grant awards that are no longer in place for any reason including termination, non-renewal, or selection. The report has specific requirements which must be completed at the direction of the Director. The US DOL has specific guidelines for close-outs of grants.

MONITORING PROCESSES

Major Activities

The following activities may be included as part of the monitoring process:

- Communication and Notification
- Interviews
- Observations
- Records reviews
- Data verification
- Desk-top reviews
- Self-Assessment
- Participant case studies
- Surveys
- Reporting
- Targeted technical assistance
- Corrective Action/Improvement Plans/Action Plans
- Verification and Closure
- Retention Follow-up

COMMUNICATION

In order to ensure consistent communication between the FCDP Monitoring Team and the individual provider,

guidelines for the communication process are established. By designating specific coordinating personnel for each party and by setting timelines, each party will be informed of the expectations for completing the specific tasks required to implement the monitoring processes effectively. Requests for information from the provider should be responded to within 10 business days. The initial notification letter and final report will be addressed to the Provider's Agency Head. All remaining written communications will be directed to the Provider's designated contact person(s). Although reference is made to communication regarding onsite visits, similar activities may be incorporated into the offsite monitoring strategies. Any exceptions or issues that arise from a monitoring strategy should be addressed with the FCDP Director (Director). The Division's Director of Quality Assurance and Compliance may be consulted at any time.

<u>Notification</u> - Providers may be notified of a pending monitoring activity by a phone call from the Director within a reasonable time of a scheduled activity. This call will be followed by written notification to the Agency Head. Additional phone calls will be held to coordinate the activity and ensure that the provider is informed of the monitoring components. Electronic mail is considered written notification when used.

<u>Designation of Provider Contact</u> - The provider is requested to designate a person to act as the primary contact for all monitoring functions.

<u>Designation of FCDP Monitoring Contact</u> - The Director is the team leader for all monitoring functions, including onsite visits. A co-leader is designated to coordinate the processes specific to a provider or group of providers. The co-leader reports to the Director.

Monitoring Team - The Monitoring Team is the designated person(s) to complete the monitoring processes.

<u>Length of Monitoring Activity</u> - The length of the visit may be determined by several factors including the size of the grant to be monitored, number of participants, the location(s) of the program(s), the complexity of the systems or documentation, as well as available resources.

<u>Communication Prior to Onsite Monitoring</u> - Prior to a monitoring activity the designated site team leader will conduct a phone interview with the provider-designated contact person. The team leader will identify persons involved with the activity with the provider. The provider will have the opportunity to clarify any issues concerning the data used as a basis for determining the site selection. Finally, the agency will be asked to provide any other information regarding its programs, procedures, or geographic area that may influence activities during monitoring process. Additional documents may need to be forwarded to the State Program Office prior to the activity. Training will be provided to targeted providers regarding the monitoring policies, procedures, and protocols.

<u>Entrance Conference</u> - The Monitoring Team conducts an entrance conference with the provider's official representative(s). The agency may provide an overview of its programs, services and systems which operate with the grant funds. The monitoring team describes the activities that will take place. The team leader may request those records covering the monitoring period up to and including the current records and documentation. Individual and/or group interviews, when used, may be set up in advance; however, during the course of the visit any agency personnel may be requested to participate in an interview. The provider is expected to make every effort to ensure that persons to be interviewed are available. The entrance conference provides an opportunity for both parties to review the schedule and work out any logistics that may contribute to an efficient and effective visit.

<u>Daily Debriefing</u> - The Monitoring Team leader may provide a debriefing to the provider's designated representative at the end of each day of monitoring. The team leader will discuss any issues or concerns found during the monitoring activities and address any provider concerns. This debriefing also enables the provider to locate any additional documentation that may be necessary to substantiate compliance.

<u>Exit Conference</u> - Upon the conclusion of the monitoring activity an exit conference is held. In attendance are member(s) of the Monitoring Team and the provider's designated representative. The provider may invite other persons as appropriate. The purpose of the meeting is to exchange information about the provider's strengths and concerns and to report on the general results of the monitoring visit. The exit conference allows the persons present an opportunity to review and discuss any issues addressed during the course of the monitoring activity. Conference calls may be used to facilitate an exit conference. Requests for additional time to submit documentation following the exit conference must be approved by the Director.

<u>Follow-up Activities</u> - The Monitoring Staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Resolution Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. Onsite visits or further requests for documentation may be implemented to insure full compliance. Monthly documentation of follow-up activities is required of the team's co-leader and will be reviewed periodically by the Director.

SECTION 5 - RESOLUTION ACTIVITIES

<u>Purpose</u>: Resolution activities identify those specific steps/strategies to be taken by the provider that will address and resolve non-compliance, systemic issues, concerns, and/or the lack of achievement with performance indicators.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Once a monitoring strategy is conducted, items of non-compliance are identified. In order to ensure the correction of those items, a Corrective Action Plan is developed. The Corrective Action Plan must identify the finding and the specific activities the provider will implement to ensure corrective actions have been completed to achieve full compliance. Dates of completion are expected. All strategies are expected to be completed within 30 days of the date of the final report. Extensions must be approved by the Director. The Monitoring staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. All Corrective Action Plans must be approved by the Director. Failure to develop or implement approved resolution plans may be addressed through additional monitoring activities and/or enforcement activities.

ACTION PLAN

Within the results of the monitoring activity, concerns may be noted. Concerns focus on areas that may need to be addressed to increase quality and minimize the potential for future findings. Such concerns are listed in the Action Plan; providers are required to address the concerns noted. All strategies are expected to be completed within 30 days of the date of the final report. Extensions must be approved by the Director. The Monitoring staff is required to work with the provider to ensure that the Plan is comprehensive, timely, and completed. All Action Plans must be approved by the Director. Failure to develop or implement approved resolution plans may be addressed through additional monitoring activities and/or enforcement activities.

SYSTEM/PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN

When a provider is unable to meet the projected level of performance on specific measures, a Program Improvement Plan may be required. The purpose of the plan is to target specific performance measures for improvement. Monitoring staff may work in concert with other Division staff to ensure consistency with the requirements, review, approval and follow-up of Program Improvement Plans.

Components of Plans

One form is used for all plans. The following components shall be included in each plan:

- A statement of the finding/data/concern
- Strategies Action(s) taken by the provider to address the finding/data/concern and ensure full resolution
- Person(s) responsible for implementation of the strategies
- Projected date of completion, if warranted
- Technical assistance needed or provided

TARGETED TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

<u>Non-Compliance</u> - As areas of non-compliance are identified locally or across the state, targeted technical assistance may be provided to support full compliance and systemic change for program improvement.

<u>Areas of Identified Need</u> - Targeted technical assistance addresses specific areas of identified need for an individual provider, a group of providers, or statewide, based on the frequency of the identified need. This need may be identified through federal or state reviews and/or audits that demonstrate repeated issues of non-

compliance thus, the need for systemic change. For example, targeted technical assistance may be provided statewide as a result of a monitoring finding to ensure that the resolution is consistently and adequately interpreted and addressed. Targeted technical assistance may be provided by the Monitoring Team, other Division or FLDOE staff, or through other sources outside the department.

<u>Use of Technology</u> - A myriad of delivery modalities are appropriate, including, but not limited to: telephone calls, e-mails, conference calls, Power Point presentations, and technical assistance papers.

SECTION 6 – ENFORCEMENT AND EVALUATION

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of Enforcement is to ensure the implementation of the elements associated with the Monitoring System for the Division of Career and Adult Education. Enforcement activities are in place to ensure that grants and contracts are implemented in a timely and ethical manner, in full compliance with regulatory requirements, to support the purpose and goals of the grant.

ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

- <u>Communication with Agency Heads and/or Governing Boards</u>: Communication with governing Boards may be required to focus on the need for immediate and systemic change to continue eligibility for grant.
- <u>Regular Monitoring/Reporting</u>: For a specific period of time, the provider's activities will be monitored on a regular basis; provider may be required to submit regular and frequent reports.
- <u>Grant Conditions</u>: Restriction(s) placed on a specific grant as a result of monitoring activities; conditions may include such actions as directed activities, structured spending, and increased reporting.
- <u>Funding Strategies</u>: Actions taken in regard to the selected provider's funding; may include a range of interventions from directed funding, change in method of reimbursement or, to delay or withhold funds.
- <u>State Plans</u>: The State Plans may address additional enforcement activities.
- <u>General Assurances, Terms, and Conditions for Participation in Federal and State Programs</u>: This document that must be signed by all agencies and organizations that receive Federal or state funds may address enforcement activities.

INVESTIGATIONS

In response to expressed concerns or complaints, investigations may be conducted in regard to grant(s) administration or implementation by providers. Investigations take place at the Division level unless approved otherwise. Such activities will be completed in concert with, and reported to, other Department offices as appropriate.

CLOSE-OUTS

Providers will be required to submit final reports upon the conclusion or termination of a grant. The Close-out Review Process may address performance and financial reports, inventory and disposition of equipment, record retention and/or additional elements requested by the FDOE or the Department of Labor.

EVALUATION SYSTEM

The purpose of an Evaluation System is to review the components and implementation of the Monitoring System, including monitoring activities.

To support continuous improvement, the Monitoring Team will review any input that is given by stakeholders and providers monitored to make adjustments or changes to the system. As strategies and protocols are used, the

team may identify changes that will improve the system. The system will be evaluated and revised as needed on an annual basis.

As the Monitoring System is expanded over time, the processes and procedures used internally to administer grants and programs will be evaluated. Various tools may be used including such evaluation tools accessible through federal agencies.

Florida Farmworker Career Development Program On-Site Program and Fiscal Monitoring Checklist

| OPEN ITEMS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Open follow-up items reviewed from: | | | | |
| | a. Pre-visit meetings/Desktop Reviews b. Prior Monitoring Findings, Concerns, Observations, or Recommendations c. Data Validation process d. Communication related to issues/concerns e. Update Participant files in EFM system | | | |
| STRA | TEGIC PLANNING | | | |
| 1. | a. Site works with local Workforce Board. b. Site functions as partner with business and industry economic development agencies and education and training providers. c. Site works with state office to meet USDOL Performance Goals. d. Communication related to issues/concerns. | | | |
| SERV | | | | |
| 2. | Resources available to grantee; services grantee can offer customers in a user-friendly manner. Appropriate basic skills and career information assessments are administered during the intake process and used to build Individual Employment Plans (IEPs). Support services are provided (either directly or through referral) to all participants in need of these services. Participants are being placed within an education or training program based on stated IEP educational goals. Measurable goals have been established for all active participants and monitored for accomplishment to include employment referral and placement in accordance with stated IEP employment goal. Professional development opportunities in the areas of program requirements, technology use, time and project management, customer service, and workforce system design are provided to all staff. | | | |
| 3. | Interaction and coordination with job seeker services exists. a. Grantee determines value and effectiveness of its services to business and industry. b. Results and areas of growth are indicated. | | | |
| 4. | Satisfaction Surveys are conducted for program exiters and reported to state office. a. Number of participants exit b. Number of Surveys | | | |
| 5. | Effective MOUs are in place with: a. Workforce Board b. Educational and training providers c. Employers d. Support Service Agencies | | | |

| | e. Others as needed (explain) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS | | | | | | | |
| 6. | The organization maintains policies and procedures for core management functions and program operations. | | | | | | |
| 7. | The provider monitors its programs and services, particularly as related to participant retention, performance attainment, and meeting participants' needs; There is appropriate follow-up for any areas of concerns/findings noted. | | | | | | |
| 8. | Record retention policies that meet the requirements of USDOL performance measures applicable are in place and followed; 90-Day Retention follow-up | | | | | | |
| 9. | The organization maintains participants' records for 5 years according with state regulations. | | | | | | |
| 10. | Staff meetings are held and minutes available. | | | | | | |
| CIVIL | RIGHTS | | | | | | |
| 11. | Policies and procedures developed in accordance with applicable laws and regulations are in place that demonstrate the grantee's commitment to the principles of the laws and regulations. | | | | | | |
| 12. | Notices (in languages appropriate to the populations served) are visibly posted to inform staff, project participants, and service providers of the discrimination complaint process, Equal Opportunity (EEO), and Section 504 policies. | | | | | | |
| 13. | Review of EEO/Non-Discrimination Policy a. Posted broadly b. Contained in media (brochures, enrollment forms etc.) c. Contains all required elements | | | | | | |
| 14. | Review of complaints are filed by participant. | | | | | | |
| 15. | The grantee location and facility, or part of the facility, is physically accessible to and usable by disabled individuals. | | | | | | |
| ADVISORY COUNCIL | | | | | | | |
| 16. | — Review of function and viability/by laws and purpose indicate that the council strengthens employment opportunities for FCDP eligible clients served. | | | | | | |

| 17. | Meetings are held at least three times a program year. | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 18. | Review of meeting minutes indicates that meetings are held in accordance with state guidelines for advisory council meeting protocols. | | | | | |
| 19. | Members are involved in the planning and evaluation of the project. | | | | | |
| 20. | Members include representatives of business and industry, workforce boards, and other pertiner entities. Representatives of CareerSource The board consists of a minimum of 5 members with each member representing a separate agency, group, or partnership from the following list: Chamber of Commerce/Small Business Development Center Community Action Agency Local Social Service Agency Faith Based Organization Top Employers/ Local Employer Regional Workforce Board Past Successful Participant Parent Groups Local Ad-hoc Educators Local Secondary/Postsecondary Administrators Educational Institutions Career and Technical Education Institutions Preparatory Institutions Local Apprenticeship Programs Title I Program Media | | | | | |
| INTEF | RVIEWS, OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO PARTICIPANT RECORDS | | | | | |
| 21. | Participant interviews reflect mission and vision of FCDP program are being met. | | | | | |
| 22. | Participants Record – Validation Monitoring Checklists In Place a. FCDP Worksheet A b. FCDP Worksheet B c. FCDP Worksheet C d. Work Related Activities e. Exit Related Activities f. FCDP State-wide Emergency Assistance (Annual Goal) | | | | | |
| 23. | Case Note Format (Memo No. 13-04) Master record of contacts, decisions and participants' progress is kept according to the following format: | | | | | |

| | a. Intake Comments | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | b. Monitoring Comments c. Placement Comments | | | | | |
| | d. Exit Comments | | | | | |
| | e. Retention Comments | | | | | |
| | f. Miscellaneous | | | | | |
| 24. | Case Manager interviews reflect an in-depth understanding of MSFW eligibility criteria, IEP development best practices, and retention follow-up protocols. | | | | | |
| 25. | On-the-Job-Training a. The grantee keeps application information in file or/in Employ Florida b. OJT training agrees with participant's IEP c. Evaluation form is completed in file and / or Employ Florida | | | | | |
| 26. | Work experience a. Activity documented in Employ Florida b. Agreement in file / Copy sent to State office c. Job Description and Training Plan agrees with IEP's goals d. Site Evaluation in file / Employ Florida e. Time Sheets in file / Employ Florida | | | | | |
| | 90-Day FCDP Retention Review Cycle | | | | | |
| 27. | a. The grantee is reporting participant retention follow- up information at the appropriate times per the 90-Day Review Cycle schedule. b. The grantee is reviewing the Job Placement dates for accuracy and consistency. | | | | | |
| 28. | Strategies are being implemented to recruit and screen participants who meet the target group criteria identified in the grant. | | | | | |
| | The grantee is serving the eligible/target population identified in the grant as indicated by a review of: | | | | | |
| 29. | a. Intake forms including application, b. Assessment records TABE / CASAS test (pre and posttest) c. IEP d. Work History e. Case Notes | | | | | |
| 30. | The grantee is providing the full range of services stipulated in the grant agreement. (see RFA/RFP) | | | | | |
| | A review of participant files and Individual Employment Plans (IEPs) demonstrates that participants are receiving appropriate and effective services. (Memo No.13-03) | | | | | |
| 31. | a. Employment -The grantee develops <i>Individual Employment Plan (IEP)</i> that identifies and meets the specific employment needs of each individual participant. (see EFM) b. Education - Participants are receiving <i>training</i> as needed and progressing toward achieving their goals (insure that training is not a stand-alone activity, i.e. training needs are part of a plan to reach the IEP employment goal. (see EFM) | | | | | |
| | needs are part of a plan to reach the IEP employment goal. (see EFM) | | | | | |

| | c. Support services are being provided as needed to overcome client barriers to participation and completion of the individual plan. | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 32. | The grantee maintains a 'Participant On-Track' metric, i.e., a data collection system to identify and document the percentage of active participants who are actually meeting all IEP benchmarks by the time indicated in the IEP. | | | | | |
| HIGH-0 | GROWTH JOBS | | | | | |
| 33. | The grantee provides information on high-growth occupations and regional economic trends to job seekers and employers. Training and Employment Guidance Letter WIOA (TEGL) 26-16 | | | | | |
| 34. | Training activities are concentrated in those occupational areas having high-demand jobs in high- growth sectors. (TEGL 35-14 Change 1) | | | | | |
| 35. | The grantee provides effective job search and job placement services to place participants in high- growth occupational areas either on-site or through local partnerships. | | | | | |
| 36. | The grantee coordinates its service delivery with other workforce agenciesa. Customers have access to the full array of workforce partner servicesb. Employer services are integrated to minimize duplicative employer contact and maximize access to employment information. | | | | | |
| PERFC | ORMANCE | | | | | |
| 37. | WIOA Primary Performance Indicators: a. Employment Rate – Second Quarter after Exit b. Employment Rate – Fourth Quarter After Exit c. Median Earning – Second Quarter After Exit d. Credential Attainment e. Measurable Skill Gains | | | | | |
| 38. | Participants exit with placements of at least \$10.00 per hour full time. | | | | | |
| 39. | Total of participants exit Participants exit with no Placements Retention Follow-up Update | | | | | |
| 40. | Existence of Performance Improvement Plans to resolve any needed improvements in meeting performance goals. | | | | | |
| 41. | Performance information, presented in a user-friendly format, is provided to oversight boards other relevant stakeholders, and to the general public to guide decision-making. | | | | | |

| PERSONNEL | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 42. | Personnel policies and record are in place and in force a. Position descriptions are consistent with requirements to meet program goals. b. Provider's hiring, promotion, termination practices are sound. c. Staff resumes match PD's d. Staffing breakout forms on file with grant application are current and accurate. | | | | | |
| 43. | Personnel certification requirements are met as follows: a. Level 2 Security Background Screening b. Eligibility Certification c. Tier I, Employ Florida d. SUNTAX e. Case Management (if appropriate) f. TABE testing g Kuder Assessment h. FCDP EFM Confidentiality Form (sent on October 27, 2016) | | | | | |
| | CIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM: • Authority - EDGAR, Section 80.20(a)(1)-(6). UGG – Post Federal Award Requirements | | | | | |
| 44. | Off-site review of financial records a. Budget Narrative (in grant application – RFP/RFA) b. Monthly reporting (599s)- timely, current, and accurate c. Addendums applicable to financial resources d. Grantee adheres to Florida Department of Education (FLDOE)/state office's policies regarding program and budget amendments. | | | | | |
| 45. | On-site review of financial records a. Monthly reports—(599s) Documentation of Expenditures are accurate. b. Supporting documents indicate all line items' charges and cost categories are accurate (according to the baseline budget and chart of accounts/Red Book). c. The organization's accounting system is free of ambiguity. | | | | | |
| 46. | Financial Reporting a. Fiscal policies and procedures are in place, which include standard accounting practices, budgeting, and documenting/reporting procedures. b. Grantee monitoring of the grant's expenditures are within State and Federal statutes. c. A process is in place where program and fiscal managers coordinate their activities so information can be shared. | | | | | |
| 47. | Accounting Records a. A process is used to maintain detailed accounting records. b. A process is in place to link accounting, procurement, and inventory management systems (to minimize problems). | | | | | |

| | Internal Control | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 48. | a. Controls are in place to protect technology and equipment acquired with Federal funds. | | | | | |
| | b. Grantee assures property is used solely for authorized purposes. | | | | | |
| | Budget Control | | | | | |
| 49. | a. A process is in place for reconciling (for consistency) budget amounts with actual expenditures where payments are based on expenditures and performance. b. The working budget was planned to reflect changes that occur throughout the fiscal year, which limits revisions to uncontrollable circumstances. | | | | | |
| | Time and Effort Certification / Personnel Activity Reports (PARs) | | | | | |
| 50. | c. Reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee d. Account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated e. Are prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods f. Are signed by the employee g. Are kept on file h. The organization has written policies and procedures for distributing program costs staff time, and general and administrative costs among programs | | | | | |
| | Allowable Cost (see Allowable Cost Checklist) | | | | | |
| 51. | a. Staff positions are in place for approving grant expenditures (purchases). b. A process is in place to ensure expenditures are "reasonable, allowable, and allocable." | | | | | |
| | Source Documentation | | | | | |
| 52. | A process is in place for documenting various accounting transactions (payroll, purchases, etc.). | | | | | |
| | Grant Spending | | | | | |
| 53. | a. Grant spending occurs at a rate consistent with the amounts budgeted through the current quarter. b. Budget code integrity is maintained throughout the program year, i.e., expended funds do not exceed approved funds for the obligated budget object code. | | | | | |
| | Meeting Forecasted Goals | | | | | |
| 54. | The grantee meets service level goals proposed in the grant agreement (stated goals in RFP). | | | | | |
| | Plans to Utilize Remaining Funds | | | | | |
| 55. | The grantee meets all service level goals and will fully utilize all grant funds by the end of the grant period. | | | | | |

| | Travel Expenditures for Staff | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 56. | a. Travel costs are paid in accordance with provider's and FDOE policy. b. Authorized by supervisor (signed) c. Supported by receipts d. Out-of-state travel costs are authorized by the Farmworker Career Development (FCDP) State Director (form is on file). | | | | | | |
| | UREMENT (purchasing and contracting): pry Authority-EDGAR Section 80.36(b)-(j) | | | | | | |
| | Procurement Procedures | | | | | | |
| 57a. Procurement procedures for purchasing equipment using grant funds are in b. Guidelines are in place describing how purchase requests are processedc. Procurement procedures for contracting goods or services using grant fun placed. The contract manager is known. | | | | | | | |
| | Deliverables | | | | | | |
| 58. | a. Internal controls are in place to guarantee contracts contain clear deliverables. b. A measure is used to determine when deliverables are completed. c. A system is in place to ensure contractors submit hard copies of invoices according to the schedule outlined in the contract or when deliverables are completed. | | | | | | |
| | Code of Standards of Conduct | | | | | | |
| 59. | a. A process is in place to ensure staff members are aware of the information contained in the code of standards of conduct and abide by it. b. Keep records of previous code of ethics violations and how they were handled. c. Procedures are in place to assure persons procuring services do not have a conflict of interest in selecting, awarding, or administering the contract. | | | | | | |
| | Purchasing | | | | | | |
| 60. | a. Procedures for practicing economical purchasing are in place. b. An agreement is in place that explains how purchasing potential is maximized. c. Procedures are in place, which describes the purchasing process for items less than \$1000. d. Procedures are in place, which describes the purchasing process for items more than \$5,000. e. The process for purchasing computers is in place. f. The process for purchasing classroom supplies (such as pencils) is in place. g. Purchase orders contain signature, date, quotes, approval (2 signatures on check). h. Purchases are acquired early enough to benefit the program during the PY. i. Procedures are in place to ensure purchases are not made at the end of a PY. | | | | | | |

| | Third-Party Contracts | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | a. An updated contract, which comply with Florida Statues, Sections 215.422, 216.347, 216.3475, 287.058, and 287.133; Rule 60A-1.017, Florida Administrative Code is on file and easily assessable—containing the following: Scope of work Performance standards Remedies for non-performance | | | | | | |
| 61. | b. The organization has a system in place to assure sub-recipient audits are conducted and resolved. c. Measures are used to ensure contracts are not made with entities that have been suspended or debarred from participating in contracts supported with Federal funds. d. Contract's performance is monitored (how). e. Invoices support the payment requests. f. Procedures are in place for handling disputes with contractors (notify FLDOE and due process hearing). g. Travel guidelines for contractors are consistent with state travel requirements. | | | | | | |
| | L – EQUIPMENT: Authority-EDGAR Section 80.32(c)-(d) | | | | | | |
| | Equipment Purchase Procedures | | | | | | |
| 62. | The grantee has a system for the acquisition, management, and disposition of equipment purchased with grant funds. | | | | | | |
| | Equipment Purchase Approval | | | | | | |
| 63. | The grantee has sought and received approval <i>prior</i> to purchasing equipment and has written evidence of prior approval received for items of equipment it has purchased with grant funds. Projected Equipment Purchases Form and purchasing documents are on file. | | | | | | |
| | Equipment Use | | | | | | |
| 64. | a. The grantee uses equipment purchased with grant funds according to the scope of work. | | | | | | |
| 04. | b. Staff members assigned to equipment purchased with grant funds are listed on the Staffing Breakout Form for the project year that is monitored. | | | | | | |
| | c. If the equipment is used for other projects, how is the process managed? d. What happens to equipment (purchased with grant funds) that is replaced? | | | | | | |

| | Management Requirements | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 65. | a. The staff position responsible for equipment is listed. b. A reasonable system is in place to track the purchase, use, and disposal of equipment. c. A process is in place to inventory equipment. d. Are all equipment purchased with grant funds listed on the grantee's equipment checklist? e. How often is grant-purchased equipment physically inspected? f. Grantee reconciles discrepancies between current and previous inventory at least biannually. g. When was reconciliation last performed? | | | | | | |
| 66. | Disposition – Federal Equipment – Right to Transfer Title Procedures are in place for the disposition of equipment (acquired under Federal grant) that is no longer needed for the original project or program. | | | | | | |

PARTICIPANT PROGRAM AND SERVICES FILE MONITORING CHECKLIST/DATA VALIDATION

SS# last 4 digits: _____

Participant:

NFJP Adult
NFJP Youth

Intensive / Training \Box Related Assistance Services Only \Box

Date of Review: Click here to enter a date. Reviewer: Choose an item. Initials: ______

| DOCUMENTS | | FILE/ EF Y or N | SIGNED/VERIFIED Y or N | ERRORS Y or N | INITIALS |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| FCDP | WORKSHEET A & B | | | | |
| ١. | ELIGIBILITY / DATA VALIDATION | | | | |
| 1. | Application Enrollment (documentation) | | | | |
| 2. | Work History Form | | | | |
| | a. NAICs code for qualifying farm work | | | | |
| | b. Employment Verification (labor force status) | | | | |
| | c. Sun Tax Report | | | | |
| - | d. Six Month Pre-program Earnings | | | | |
| 3. | Family Size Log / Dependents | | | | |
| 4. | Release of Information Form | | | | |
| 5. | Birth Date / Age (documentation) | | | | |
| 6. | Selective Service Information (if applicable) | | | | |
| 7. | Public Assistance Verification | | | | |
| 8. | Long-term agricultural employment (Documented) | | | | |
| 9. | Participant Complaint Procedures | | | | |
| J. | (includes non-discrimination policy) | | | | |
| П. | FCDP PARTICIPANT IEP SERVICES / DATA VALIDATION | | | | |
| 1. | Pre-program Assessment Record (TABE or CASAS) | | | | |
| 2. | Individual Employment Plan (IEP) Goals/Objective/Services | | | | |
| | a. Training agree with IEP Employment | | | | |
| | b. Case Notes agree with IEP | | | | |
| | (Training / Support Services / Employment) | | | | |
| 3. | Case Notes in Employ Florida | | | | |
| | (must be monthly and dated) | | | | |
| 4. | Occupational Training Agreement | | | | |
| 5. | Post-program Assessment Records (TABE or CASAS) | | | | |
| 6. | Customer Satisfaction Surveys | | | | |
| FCDP | WORKSHEET C | | | | |
| ١. | EXIT MANAGEMENT AND DATA VALIDATION | | | | |
| | a. Occupational Skills Certificate / Credential / | | | | |
| | License Occupational | | | | |

| | DOCUMENTS | FILE/ EF Y or N | SIGNED/VERIFIED Y or N | ERRORS Y or N | INITIAL |
|------|--|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|
| | b. Entered Employment | | | | |
| | c. Post-Secondary Credential (Youth) | | | | |
| | d. Case Closured | | | | |
| | e. Created Outcome (exit without employment) | | | | |
| 1. | Customer Follow-up Employment Information (if applicable) | | | | |
| | a. Employment Rate-2 nd quarter after exit | | | | |
| | b. Employment Rate- 4 th quarter after exit | | | | |
| - | C. Median Earning - 2 nd quarter after exit | | | | |
| 2. | d. Credential Attainment | | | | |
| | e. Measurable Skill Gains | | | | |
| | f. Retention Follow-up 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd , and 4 th | | | | |
| WORK | K-RELATED ACTIVITIES (if applicable) | | | 1 | 1 |
| 1. | Work Experience Agreement | | | | |
| | a. Work Site Evaluation | | | | |
| | b. Work Experience Job Description & Training Plan agree with IEP | | | | |
| 2. | On the Job (OJT) Agreement | | | | |
| | a. OJT Monitoring Report | | | | |
| | b. OJT Training Invoice | | | | |
| 3. | Payroll Compliance Review / Support Services / Related Assistance | | | | |
| | a. Weekly Attendance Sheet (OJT / WEX) | | | | |
| | b. Weekly Attendance Support Services | | | | |
| | c. Support Services Category (Voucher (s)) | | | | |
| | d. Training Support Services Cost (Policy No. 15-01) | | | | |
| FCDP | Statewide Emergency Assistance (EA-Only) | | | T | |
| | a. Case Notes | | | | |
| | b. Activity Code (Employ Florida) | | | | |

Farmworker Career Development Program

Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet A

Eligibility Review and Data Validation Worksheet

Instructions: Coordinator (authorized reviewer) completes no later than 30 calendar days after enrollment/date of participation. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida or/and the participant's record*.

| Participant Name: | SS No: | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-----|----|---|--|
| Enrollment/date of participation | Project: | | | | | |
| Part A Section I: 1. Is applicant a: | Review of Application/Enrollment Form | | | | | |
| a. Citizen of the Un | ited States | Yes | | No | | |
| the Immigration a | and Naturalization Service: Exp. Date: | Yes | | No | | |
| Immigration and dealing with Defe | itted to accept employment in the United States by the Naturalization Services in accordance with TEGL 02-14 erred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) participants: Exp. Date: | Yes | | No | | |
| the applicant regi | eligible for registration under the Selective Service Act, is stered? ber: | Yes | | No | | |
| Part A Section II: | Review of the Family Income and Work History Record | | | E | | |
| Certification Period: Applicant Six Month Pre- | From To Program Earnings | | | | | |
| 1. Applicant is a farmy | vorker Yes No Dependent of a farmw | orker | Yes | No | | |
| | cation period, must have: 50% of total earned income from farmwork or employed in ast 50% of work time. TEGL No.18-16 (Work History must b | e | Yes | No | | |
| | w-Income individual as defined in WIOA Section 3(36)(A) 6 – Section 6. Definitions E(i., ii., iii., iv., v., vi.) | | Yes | No | | |
| | ms of the Application/Enrollment Form, the Work History nily Income Record been completed? | | Yes | No | | |
| 4. Have the forms bee | n signed by: | | | | | |
| a. Applicant? | | Yes | No | 0 | 4 | |
| b. Interviewer? | | Yes | No | 0 | _ | |
| c. Authorized rev | iewer? | Yes | No | D | | |

If all answers are YES, the information on the application is internally consistent and reasonable. If forms are incomplete or signatures are missing, corrective action must be taken immediately. If review reveals information that is unreasonable or is internally inconsistent, return to interviewer for correction/verification.

| Signature of Coordinator / Authorized Reviewer | Date |
|---|------|
| Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet B | 5 |
| Eligibility Review and Data Validation Worksheet | |

Instructions: Authorized reviewer completes no later than 30 calendar days after enrollment/date of participation. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida or/and in the participant's record.*

| Participant Name: | SS No: | |
|---------------------|----------|--|
| Enrollment/ date of | | |
| participation: | Project: | |
| | | |

| Part B Section I | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Application/Enrollment, Work History and Family | Income Var [] Na [] | | | |
| Record complete? | Yes [] No [] | | | |
| The above forms are reasonable and internally con | sistent? Yes [] No [] | | | |
| Part B Section II | | | | |
| Eligibility Item | Circle Verification Sources Used | | | |
| Citizen; | Passport | | | |
| | Birth certificate | | | |
| | Voter registration card | | | |
| | Naturalization Certificate | | | |
| Non-citizen; | Employment Authorization Card | | | |
| | Alien registration documents | | | |
| | Employment Authorization Card (DACA) | | | |
| Family Size / Dependents; | Birth certificates | | | |
| | Family BibleIRS 1040 form | | | |
| | Marriage certificates | | | |
| Birth Date; | Federal, State, Local ID | | | |
| | Birth certificate / Hospital record of birth | | | |
| Age; | Baptismal record | | | |
| | Driver's license | | | |
| | Passport | | | |
| | Public assistance / Social service records | | | |
| | School records/ID | | | |
| | Tribal records | | | |
| | Crossmatch w/Dept. of Vital Statistics | | | |
| | Alien registration documents | | | |
| | Voter's registration card | | | |
| 6 month pre-program earnings; | Pay stubs | | | |
| | W-2 forms IRS 1040 form | | | |
| | Employer payroll records/Income Verification Letter | | | |
| | UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage | | | |
| | printout) | | | |
| Farm work Income during 12 month eligibility | Pay stubs | | | |
| | • W-2 forms | | | |
| determination period; | • IRS 1040 form | | | |
| Family income; | • Employer payroll records/Income Verification Letter | | | |
| Seasonal criteria; | • UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage | | | |

| Migrant / seasonal status; | printout) |
|---|---|
| Eligibility Item | Circle Verification Sources Used |
| Registered for selective service; (if applicable) Low-Income individual as defined in WIOA Section 3(36) (A) see TEGL No.18-16 - Section 6. Definitions E (i., ii., iii., iv., v., vi.) TANF/SNAP Food Stamp Supplemental Nutrition Assistance program, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), General Assistance(GA), Refugee Cash Assistance(RCA), Federal School Lunch, Social Security Disability | Registration card Screen shot from http://ww4.sss.gov/regver/verification1.asp Selective service application Public assistance records/printout Social service agency verification One Stop verification Refugee assistance records Current or 6 months authorization food stamps Federal School Lunch verification Verification cash assistance letter Other |
| Insurance(SSDI):SSDI, Labor force status; | Employer letter One Stop verification UI (unemployment) documents (SUNTAX / wage printout) Pay Stubs Layoff notice *Case notes, initialed and dated |
| Extension of certification period: Disabled; Incarcerated; Hospitalized; Military service; | Vocational rehab agency letter Social service agency letter Veteran's administration letter Hospital / physician records Prison record Court documents DD 214 Discharge papers |

| Part | B Section III | | | |
|------|--|---------|--------|--|
| a. | Participant eligible: | Yes [] | No [] | |
| b. | Above data verified: | Yes [] | No [] | |
| c. | Additional support documents required: | Yes [] | No [] | |

| Part B Section IV | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Review Item | Circle Verification Sources Used | | | |
| Release information | Program/release information form | | | |
| Date of participation; | Application form with signature Enrollment application with signature IEP signed, dated | | | |

| | Case notes initialed, dated |
|--|---|
| Long-term agricultural employment | • IRS 1040 Form |
| | Application/Enrollment form with signature |
| (4 years documented); | • Pay stubs (4 years) |
| | • SUNTAX/Wage printout (4years) |
| | Employer letter |
| | Work History Form |
| | *Case notes initialed, dated |
| Review Item | Circle Verification Sources Used |
| Date of first intensive service; | Testing records |
| Pre-test: | • IEP signed, dated |
| Pre-lesi, | Work Experience |
| | Remedial reading |
| | Short-term Prevocational Services |
| | *Case notes initialed, dated |
| Date of first training service; | • IEP signed, dated |
| 5 | Classroom attendance record |
| | Entrepreneurial training/enrollment record |
| | Registered Apprenticeship |
| | Enrollment record |
| | Signed OJT agreement |
| | Work Experience |
| | Occupational Skills Training |
| - U. I. | *Case notes initialed, dated |
| Enrolled in a program or activity leading to | • IEP signed, dated |
| an educational or occupational credential or | • Attendance records from institution or instructor signed, dated |
| license: | Registration/educational or occupational credential |
| | / or license |
| | • *Case notes initialed, dated |

Signature of Authorized Reviewer / Title

Date

*Note: All case notes must be in Employ Florida on monthly basis.

Farmworker Career Development Program Worksheet C

Exit Management and Data Validation Worksheet

Instructions: Completed after participant exit by authorized reviewer. All sources used to verify data elements below *should be in Employ Florida or/and in the participant's record.*

| Participant Name: | SS No: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Enrollment /date | | | | | |
| of participation: | Project: | | | | |
| Part C Section I | | | | | |
| Review Item | Circle Verification Sources Used | | | | |
| Post-test; | Testing record | | | | |
| Customer Satisfaction Survey | Survey in file | | | | |
| Date of exit: Category of exit / Other reason for exit | Outcome Case notes initialed, dated Customer Follow up Form Case manager / counselor termination notice Customer Status and Exit Report | | | | |
| | OutcomeCase notes initialed, dated | | | | |
| Entered unsubsidized employment Wage: \$ Hours: | Letter from employer Case notes initialed, dated IEP signed, dated Placement Outcome Customer Follow up | | | | |
| Attainment of state recognized educational or occupational certificate, credential, diploma or degree | Copy of credential attained Instructor certification Other Outcome Case notes initialed, dated | | | | |
| Employed 1 st quarter after exit quarter Yes No | Pay stubs Employer payroll records IRS tax forms UI (unemployment) documents (Tax / wage printout) Case notes initialed, dated Customer Follow up Form | | | | |
| Employed 2 nd after exit quarter Yes No | Pay stubs Employer payroll records IRS tax forms UI (unemployment) documents (Tax / wage printout) Case notes initialed, dated Customer Follow up | | | | |
| Employed 3 rd quarter after exit quarter Yes No | Pay stubs Employer payroll records IRS tax forms UI (unemployment) documents (Tax / wage printout) Case notes initialed, dated Customer Follow up | | | | |

| Employed 4 th quarter after exit quarter | Pay stubs |
|---|---|
| | • Employer payroll records |
| | • IRS tax forms |
| Yes No | • UI (unemployment) documents (Tax / wage printout) |
| | Case notes initialed, dated |
| | Customer Follow up |

| Part C Section II | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| | Above data verified: | Vec [] | | | | |
| a. | Above data vermed. | Yes [] | No [] | | | |
| b. | Additional support documents required: | Yes [] | No [] | | | |
| If yes | If yes, explain: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Signature of Authorized Reviewer / Title

Date

Note: Case notes must be in Employ Florida record on monthly basis.

FISCAL – ALLOWABLE COSTS OVERVIEW CHECKLIST

Agency:_____ Pos

Name of Form Completer:_____

Position of Form Completer: ____

Directions: Did your Agency spend federal grant funds on any of the selected items below? Check **YES**, **NO or N/A**. If the answer is **YES**, to any of the selected items on this overview checklist, answer the related question(s) for the selected item in the Fiscal Allowable Costs Detail section below.

| Selected Item of Cost | YES | NO | N/A | Selected Item of Cost | YES | NO | N/A |
|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------------|-----|----|-----|
| Advertising and public relations | | | | Membership subscriptions | | | |
| costs | | | | and professional activity | | | |
| | | | | costs | | | |
| Advisory councils | | | | Organization costs | | | |
| Alcoholic beverages | | | | Participant support costs | | | |
| Alumni activities | | | | Plant and security costs | | | |
| Audit costs and related services | | | | Pre-award costs | | | |
| Bad debts | | | | Professional service costs | | | |
| Bonding costs | | | | Proposal costs | | | |
| Commencement and convocation | | | | Publication and printing | | | |
| costs | | | | costs | | | |
| Compensation for personal | | | | Rearrangement and | | | |
| services (including but not | | | | conversion costs | | | |
| necessarily limited to wages, | | | | | | | |
| salaries, and fringe benefits) | | | | | | | |
| Contingency provisions | | | | Recruiting costs | | | |
| Deans of faculty and graduate | | | | Relocation costs | | | |
| schools | | | | | | | |
| Defense and prosecution of | | | | Rental costs of real property | | | |
| criminal and civil proceedings | | | | and equipment | | | |
| and claims | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and use | | | | Royalties and other costs for | | | |
| allowances | | | | the use of | | | |
| | | | | patents and/or copyrights | | | |
| Donations and contributions | | | | Scholarships and student | | | |
| | | | | aid costs | | | |
| Employee health and welfare | | | | Selling and marketing costs | | | |
| costs | | | | | | | |
| Entertainment costs | | | | Specialized service facilities | | | |
| Equipment and other capital | | | | Student activity costs | | | |
| expenditures Fines, penalties, damages and | | | | Taxes | | | |
| other settlements | | | | Taxes | | | |
| Fund-raising and investment | | | | Termination costs applicable | | | |
| management costs | | | | to sponsored agreements | | | |
| Gains and losses on disposition of | | | | Training costs | | | |
| depreciable property and other | | | | | | | |
| capital assets and substantial | | | | | | | |
| capital assets and substantial | | 1 | I | | | | L |

| relocation of federal programs | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| General costs of government | Transportation costs | |
| Goods or services for personal use | Travel costs | |
| Housing and personal living expenses | Trustees | |
| Idle facilities and idle capacity (unused capacity of partially used facilities) | | |
| Insurance and indemnification | | |
| Interest | | |
| Labor relations costs | | |
| Lobbying | | |
| Losses on other awards or contracts | | |
| Maintenance and repair costs | | |
| Materials and supplies costs, | | |
| including cost of computing | | |
| devices | | |
| Meetings and | | |
| Conferences | | |

FISCAL – ALLOWABLE COSTS DETAIL QUESTIONS

| Statutory Authority: UGG Subpart E-Cost Principles, General Provisions §200.400 Policy Guide, Special | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|--|--|
| Considerations for States, Local Government and Indian Tribes § 200.416, § 2 | | - | - | | |
| Allocable Cost, Cost Allocation Plans and § 200.56 Indirect Cost Proposals and | d Subpart F | Audit | | | |
| Requirements § 200.500 Purpose. See the specific section of UGG to each iten | n below: | | | | |
| Advertising and public relations costs § 200.421UGG | | | N/A | | |
| 1. Did the agency advertise staffing vacancies? In what media? Were federadvertisements? | eral funds us | ed to purc | hase the | | |
| 2. What other ads did the agency purchase? In what media? | | | | | |
| demonstrations, or exhibits? Meeting rooms, hospitality suites, booths of | 3. Did the agency use federal funds to cover costs of meetings or conventions? Displays, demonstrations, or exhibits? Meeting rooms, hospitality suites, booths or other special facilities? | | | | |
| 4. Did federal funding provide salaries and wages for employees engaged in setting up and displaying exhibits, making demonstrations, and providing briefings? | | | | | |
| gifts, and souvenirs? | 5. Were federal funds used to cover costs of promotional items and memorabilia, including models, gifts, and souvenirs? | | | | |
| 6. Were the agency's advertising and public relations costs designed to pr or the agency itself? | omote the a | gency's pi | ograms | | |
| Advisory councils § 200.422 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1. Were federal funds used to cover any costs related to advisory councils | ? | | | | |
| Alcoholic beverages § 200.423 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for costs of alcoholic beverages? | | | | | |
| Alumni(ae) activities § 200.424 UGG YES NO N/A | | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for or in support of alumni (ae) activities and similar services? | | | | | |
| Audit costs and related services § 200.425 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |

| 1. | 1. Were federal funds expended for audit costs and related expenses such as audits required by, and performed in accordance with, the Single Audit Act? | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| Bad de | ebts § 200.426 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended to cover bad debts, including losses (whe | ther actual of | or estimate | ed) | | |
| | arising from uncollectible accounts and other claims, related collection | costs, and r | elated lega | al costs? | | |
| Bondi | ng costs § 200.427 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for bonding costs required by the federal | governmen | nt as assura | ance | | |
| | against financial loss to itself, including bonds such as bid, performance infringement and fidelity bond? | ce, payment | , advance | payment, | | |
| 2. | Are bonding costs required in the general conduct of operations of the | agency? | | | | |
| | encement and convocation costs § 200.429 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for commencement and | d convocatio | ons? | | | |
| | a. Were these funds expended for salaries and fringe benefits of mem | | | staff | | |
| | whose responsibilities to the institution require administrative worl | k that benefi | ts comme | ncements | | |
| | and convocations? | | | | | |
| - | ensation for personal services (including but not necessarily limited | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| to wag | es, salaries, and fringe benefits) § 200.430 UGG | | | | | |
| 1. | Salaries and wages charged to federal grants are documented as such? | 1 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the total compensation reasonable for the services rendered? Does it | | | ished | | |
| | policy of the agency consistently applied to both federal and non-feder | al activities | ? | | | |
| 3. | Payroll is approved by finance official authorized to do so? | | | | | |
| 4. | Where employees work solely on a single federal award or cost objecti | | | | | |
| | salaries and wages supported by periodic certifications that the employ | ees worked | solely on | that | | |
| | program for the period covered by the certification? | | | | | |
| | a. Were these certifications prepared at least semi-annually and signed supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work perfe | | | | | |
| 5. | Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, was a | | | | | |
| 5. | wages supported by personnel activity reports (PARs)? | aistribution | of their su | 111105 01 | | |
| | a. Do these PARs reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual | activity of e | ach emplo | vee? | | |
| | b. Do they account for the total activity for which each employee is | | | | | |
| | c. Are they prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more | | | | | |
| | d. Are they signed by the employee? | | | | | |
| | e. Do they reflect budget estimates or other distribution percentages | | | | | |
| | services are performed? If so, they do not qualify as support for c | harges to fe | deral awa | rds. | | |
| 6. | If federal funds have been expended for severance pay: | <i>(</i> 1 | | 1 | | |
| | a. Was severance pay required by law, by employee/employer agree | ement or by | establishe | a written | | |
| | policy?b. Was severance pay associated with normal turnover and allocated | t as an indir | ect cost? | | | |
| | b. Was severance pay associated with normal turnover and anocated | i as an mun | | | | |
| Contir | gency provisions § 200.433 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended as contributions to a contingency reserve | or any simi | lar provisi | ion made | | |
| | for events the occurrence of which cannot be foretold with certainty as | | | | | |
| | assurance of their happening? (The term "contingency reserve" exclude | | | | | |
| | pension plan reserves, and post-retirement health and other benefit res | erves compu | uted using | | | |
| | acceptable actuarial cost methods.) | | | | | |
| | of faculty and graduate schools § 200.431 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for salaries and expenses of deans of fact the equivalents, and their staff? | ulty and grad | duate scho | ols, or | | |
| 1 | are equivalente, and men partic | | | | | |

| Defense and prosecution of criminal and civil proceedings and claims § YES NO N/A 200.435 UGG | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. If federal funds were expended for defense of criminal and civil proceedings and claims, were they | | | | | | | |
| for legal expenses required in the administration of federal programs? | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and use allowances § 200.436 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended as compensation for depreciation and/or u | se allowand | ces for the | use of | | | | |
| fixed assets (buildings, capital improvements, and equipment)? | | 6.1 | | | | | |
| 2. Was the computation of depreciation or use allowances based on the acc involved? | juisition co | st of the a | ssets | | | | |
| 3. Are the charges for use allowances or depreciation supported by adequa | te nronertv | records ar | hd | | | | |
| physical inventories that are taken at least once every two years to ensur | | | | | | | |
| usable, used and needed? | • | | | | | | |
| Donations and contributions § 200.434 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for contributions or donations (including of | eash proper | rty and se | rvices) | | | | |
| made by the agency? | asii, proper | ity, and se | 1 (1003) | | | | |
| 2. Was the value of donated or volunteer services (furnished to the agency | by professi | onal and t | echnical | | | | |
| personnel, consultants, or other skilled and unskilled labor) reimbursed | | | | | | | |
| using Federal funds? | | | | | | | |
| 3. Was the value of donated services received by the used to meet cost-sha | ring or mat | ching | | | | | |
| requirements? Employee health and welfare costs § 200.437 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for the costs of employee information pub | | | | | | | |
| clinics and/or infirmaries, recreational activities, employee counseling s expenses incurred in accordance with the agency's established practice improvement of working conditions, employer-employee relations, emp performance? a. Were such costs equitably apportioned to all activities of the agen | or custom f loyee mora ncy? | or the | | | | | |
| b. Was income generated from any of these activities offset against | | | | | | | |
| Entertainment costs § 200.438 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for costs of entertainment, including amus | | | | | | | |
| activities and any costs directly associated with such costs (such as ticke | ts to shows | or sports | events, | | | | |
| meals, lodging, rentals, transportation, and gratuities)? | | | | | | | |
| Equipment and other capital expenditures § 200.439 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | | | |
| 1. Were federal funds expended for acquisition costs of capital assets (for | general pur | pose equi | oment, | | | | |
| buildings and land) or for expenditures to make improvements to capita | l assets that | t materiall | У | | | | |
| increase their value or useful life? | | | | | | | |
| a. If capital expenditures were direct charges to the grant, were the FDOE? | y approved | l in advand | ce by | | | | |
| b. Were equipment and other capital expenditures included in indirect costs? | | | | | | | |
| c. When equipment purchased wholly or partly with federal funds is replaced, what is done with | | | | | | | |
| the replaced equipment? Is it used as a trade-in? Is it sold and the | | | | | | | |
| cost of the replacement property? Is it surpluses? Is it given to another federal program for | | | | | | | |
| use in that program? d. When approved as a direct charge, were these capital expenditures charged in the period in | | | | | | | |
| d. When approved as a direct charge, were these capital expenditure which the expenditure was incurred, or as otherwise determined | | | | | | | |
| negotiated with FDOE? | -ppropriate | e og and | | | | | |
| Fines, penalties, damages and other settlements § 200.441 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | | |

1. Were federal funds expended to cover costs resulting from violations of, or failure of the agency to comply with federal, state, and local or foreign laws and regulations?

| Fund-raising and investment management costs § 200.442 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| 1. Were federal funds expended to cover costs of organized fund raising? | | | | | |
| 2. Were federal funds expended to cover costs of investment counsel and st | taff and sin | nilar exper | nses | | |
| incurred solely to enhance income from investments? | | • | | | |
| 3. Were federal funds expended for costs associated with investments cove | ring pensio | n, self- in | surance, | | |
| or other funds which include federal participation allowed by UGG §200 | | | | | |
| Gains and losses on disposition of depreciable property and other capital assets and substantial relocation of federal programs § 200.443 UGG | | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. Were gains and losses on the sale, retirement, or other disposition of dep | reciable pr | operty inc | luded in | | |
| the year in which they occur as credits or charges to the asset cost group was included? | ing(s) in wl | hich the p | roperty | | |
| General costs of government § 200.444 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| Were federal funds expended for any of the following general costs of government: a. Salaries and expenses of the Office of the Governor or a state or the chief executive of a political subdivision or the chief executive of a federally recognized Indian tribal government? b. Salaries and other expenses of a state legislature, tribal council, or similar local governmental body, such as a county supervisor, city council, school board, etc., whether incurred for purposes of legislation or executive direction? c. Costs of the judiciary branch of a government? d. Costs of prosecutorial activities unless treated as a direct cost to a specific program if authorized by program statute or regulation (however, this does not preclude the allow ability of other legal activities of the attorney general)? Were federal funds expended for the portion of salaries and expenses directly attributable to managing and operating federal programs by the chief executive of a federally recognized Indian Tribal Government or Councils of Governments (COGs) and his or her staff? | | | | | |
| Goods or services for personal use § 200.445 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| Were federal funds expended for costs of goods or services for personal employees? Were these costs reported as taxable income to the employees? | use of the | agency's | | | |
| Housing and personal living expenses § 200.445 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| Trousing and personal nying expenses § 200.445 000 | | | | | |
| Were federal funds expended for "costs of housing (such as depreciation, maintenance, utilities, furnishings, rent), housing allowances and personal living expenses for the agency's current or past officers? Were these costs reported as taxable income to the employee? | | | | | |
| Idle facilities (completely unused facilities) and idle capacity (unused | YES | | N/A | | |
| capacity of partially used facilities) § 200.446 UGG | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1. | Were federal funds expended for "cost of idle facilities" such as mainten | · . | | g, rent, | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | and other related costs such as insurance, interest, property taxes, and depreciation or use | | | | | |
| | allowances? | | | | | |
| | a. Were the costs of idle facilities necessary to meet fluctuations in wo | | | | | |
| | b. Were facilities idle due to changes in program requirements, efforts | | more ecor | nomical | | |
| | operations, reorganization, and termination or due to unforeseen rea | | | | | |
| 2. | Were federal funds expended for maintenance, repair, housing, rent and | | | | | |
| | insurance, interest, property taxes and depreciation or use allowances for | r equipmen | t and/or b | uildings | | |
| | with idle capacity? | | | | | |
| | b. Were the costs of idle capacity normal costs of doing business and a | factor in th | ne normal | | | |
| | fluctuations of usage from period to period? | | | | | |
| Insura | nce and indemnification § 200.447 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were the costs of insurance required or approved and maintained as requ | ired by the | e federal a | ward? | | |
| | Were federal funds expended for costs of other insurance maintained by | | | | | |
| | with the general conduct of its activities? | | | | | |
| | a. Were the types and extent and cost of coverage in accordance with a | sound busir | ess practi | ces? | | |
| | b. Were the costs for insurance or of any contributions to any reserve of | | | | | |
| | damage to federally owned property? | | | | | |
| 3. | Were the costs for actual losses which could have been covered by perm | nissible insu | irance (thi | ough | | |
| | self-insurance or otherwise)? | | | - | | |
| 4. | Were these costs for deductibles or minor losses not covered by insuran | ce, such as | the spoila | ge, | | |
| | breakage and disappearance of small hand tools? | | _ | - | | |
| 5. | Were the contributions to a reserve for certain self-insurance programs i | ncluding w | orkers | | | |
| | compensation, unemployment compensation, and severance pay? | C C | | | | |
| 6. | Were the costs for actual claims paid to or on behalf of employees or for | rmer emplo | yees for w | vorkers | | |
| | compensation, unemployment compensation, severance pay and similar | employee | benefits? | | | |
| 7. | Were the costs for indemnification, securing the agency against liabilitie | es to third p | ersons and | d other | | |
| | losses not compensated by insurance, and expressly provided for in the | federal awa | rd? | | | |
| 8. | Were the costs for commercial insurance to protect against costs of the o | contractor f | or correcti | ions of | | |
| | the contractor's own defects in materials or workmanship? | | | | | |
| Interes | t § 200.449 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1 | Ware follows for the sum of the south in source of four interest on home | | the use of | 4 1 e e | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for interest on borrowed | i capital or | the use of | the | | |
| 2 | agency's own funds? Were federal funds even ded for financing costs (including interest) poi | d on in our | d which | | | |
| Ζ. | Were federal funds expended for financing costs (including interest) pair associated with otherwise allowable costs of building acquisition, constr | | | | | |
| | | fuction, of I | adrication | l, | | |
| 2 | reconstruction or remodeling completed on or after October 1, 1980? | d on in our | d on on of | fton | | |
| э. | Were federal funds expended for financing costs (including interest) pair September 1, 1005, for land or associated with otherwise allowable cost | | | lter | | |
| Labor | September 1, 1995, for land or associated with otherwise allowable cost relations costs § 200.430 UGG | | | N/A | | |
| | ° | | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred in maintaining satisfact | | | | | |
| | agency and its employees, including costs of labor management commit | tees, emplo | yees' pub | lications | | |
| and other related activities? | | | | | | |
| Lobby | ng § 200.450 UGG | U YES | | □ N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for the cost of certain influencing activitie | s associated | l with obta | aining | | |
| | grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, or loans? | | | | | |
| 2. | Were federal funds expended for executive lobbying costs? | | | | | |
| Losses | on other awards or contracts § 200.451 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |

| 1. | Were federal funds expended for any excess of costs over income under nature? (This includes, but is not limited to, the agency's contributed po | | | |
|-------|---|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | agreements or any under-recoveries through negotiation or flat amounts | | | U |
| Main | tenance and repair costs § 200.452 UGG | YES | | □ N/A |
| 1. | f in | | | |
| | buildings and equipment (including federal property unless otherwise pro- | | | |
| | to the permanent value of the property nor appreciably prolong its intend | | | |
| | efficient operating condition? (Costs incurred for improvements which a | - | | |
| | the buildings and equipment or appreciably prolong their intended life sh expenditures.) | | | |
| 200.4 | rials and supplies costs, including cost of computing devices § 53 UGG | | | □ N/A |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for materials, supplies an | nd fabricate | d parts nee | cessary |
| 2 | to carry out a federal award? | c 1' 1 | 1 1. | 0 |
| 2. | Were purchased materials and supplies charged at their actual prices, net | | | |
| | (Withdrawals from general stores or stockrooms should be charged at the | | | |
| | recognized method of pricing inventory withdrawals, consistently applied | a. Incoming | g transport | ation |
| 3. | charges are a proper part of materials and supplies costs.) Were materials and supplies actually used for the performance of a feder | al award ah | argod as d | liroot |
| 5. | costs? | ai awalu ch | argeu as c | meet |
| 4 | Was there a charge for federally-donated or furnished materials used in p | erforming | the federa | l award? |
| | ings and conferences § 200.432 UGG | YES | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for the costs of meetings and conferences, to is the dissemination of technical information? (This includes costs of mea | | | |
| | facilities, speakers' fees, and other items incidental to meetings or confer- | - | 11411011, 10 | intal OI |
| | factures, speakers rees, and other terns incidental to incertings of content | ences.) | | |
| Mem | berships, subscriptions and professional activity costs § 200.454 | YES | | N/A |
| UGG | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs of the agency's: | | | |
| | a. Membership in business, technical, and professional organizations? | | | |
| | b. Subscriptions to business, professional, and technical periodicals; and | | | |
| | c. Membership(s) in any organization that engages in lobbying activitie | s? | | |
| Orga | nization costs § 200.455 UGG | YES | | N/A |
| 0194 | | | | |
| 1. | Was approval obtained from the awarding agency prior to the expenditur | e of federal | funds for | any of |
| | the following? (Incorporation fees, brokers' fees, fees to promoters, organ | nizers or m | anagemen | t |
| | consultants; attorneys, accountants, or investment counselors, whether or | not employ | yees of the | e |
| | organization, in connection with establishment or reorganization of an or | ganization. |) | - |
| Parti | cipant support costs § 200.456 UGG | | | □ N/A |
| 1. | Was approval obtained from the awarding agency prior to the expenditur | e of federal | funds or | stipends |
| | or subsistence allowances, travel allowances, and registration fees paid to | o or on beha | alf of parti | cipants |
| | or trainees (not employees), in connection with meeting conferences, syn | nposia or tra | aining pro | jects? |
| Plant | and security costs § 200.457 UGG | YES | | N/A |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for any of the following activities? | | | |
| | a. Necessary and reasonable expenses incurred for routine security to pr | rotect facili | ties, perso | onnel, |
| | and work products? (expenses, such as: wages, and uniforms of perso | | | |
| | activities, equipment, barriers, contractual security services, consulta | nts) | | · |
| Pre-a | ward costs § 200.458 UGG | YES | | □ N/A |

| 1 | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred prior to the effective date | of the aw | ard directl | v | | |
|-------|--|------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| | pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the award, where such costs are necessary for | | | | | |
| | efficient and timely performance of the scope of work? | | | | | |
| Profe | ssional service costs § 200.459 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for the costs of professional and consultant | | | | | |
| | who are members of a particular profession or possess a special skill and | who are <u>n</u> | ot officers | or | | |
| | employees of the agency? | - | | | | |
| Prop | osal costs § 200.460 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended on costs of preparing proposals for potentia | l Federal | Awards? | • | | |
| Publi | cations and printing costs § 200.461 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for publications costs of printing (including | the proce | sses of | - | | |
| | composition, plate-making, press work, binding, and the end products pro- | | | | | |
| | distribution, promotion, mailing, general handling and/or page charges for | r professio | onal public | cations? | | |
| 2. | Were costs not identifiable with a particular cost objective allocated as in | direct cost | s to all be | nefiting | | |
| | activities of the agency? | | | | | |
| 3. | If federal funds were expended for page charges for professional journal | | | | | |
| | part of research costs, did the research papers report work supported by the | | 0 | | | |
| 4. | Are the charges levied impartially on all research papers published by the | e journal, v | whether or | not by | | |
| | federally-sponsored authors? | | | | | |
| Rear | rangement and reconversion costs § 200.462 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for ordinary and normal n | rearrangen | nent and al | teration | | |
| | of facilities? | | | | | |
| 2. | Was prior approval granted from by the USDOE for special arrangements | s and alter | ation costs | incurred | | |
| | specifically for the project? | | 0.1 | | | |
| 3. | Were federal funded expended for costs incurred in the restoration or reha | | | | | |
| | facilities to approximately the same condition existing immediately prior | to the con | imenceme | ent of a | | |
| 4 | federal award? | ······, | 1141 9 | | | |
| | Were federal funds expended to cover the cost of wear and tear on the ag | ency s fac | | | | |
| Recru | uiting costs § 200.463 UGG | L YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred pursuant to a well-mana | | tment pro | gram to | | |
| | recruit staff in keeping with workload requirements? (These costs include | | | B 10 | | |
| | advertising, operating costs of an employment office necessary to secure | 1 | , | dequate | | |
| | staff, costs of operating and aptitude and educational testing program, tr | | | | | |
| | while engaged in recruiting personnel, travel costs of applicants for inte | | · · | | | |
| | employment and relocation costs incurred incident to recruitment of new | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | |
| 3. | In publications, did help-wanted advertising include color, material than | | | poses or | | |
| | excessive size? | | | | | |
| 4. | 4. Did recruiting enticements meet the test of reasonableness and conform to the established practices | | | | | |
| | of the agency? | | • | | | |
| 5. | Has the agency refunded or credited relocation costs when the costs wer | e incurred | incidenta | l to the | | |
| | recruitment of a new employee who reigned for reasons within his contr | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Reloc | ation costs § 200.464 UGG | | | N/A | | |
| | - | YES | | | | |

| - | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| 1. | Were funds used for relocation costs for permanent change of duty or as | | | | | |
| | period or for a stated period not less than 12 months, of an existing employee or upon recruitment of | | | | | |
| | a new employee? | | | - | | |
| Renta | l costs of real property and equipment § 200.465 UGG | | | □ N/A | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| 1. | Are rental costs reviewed periodically to determine reasonableness? (Ba | | | | | |
| | comparable property and/ or equipment, market conditions in the area, a | alternative | available, | type, life | | |
| | expectancy, condition and value of the property leased.) | | | | | |
| 2. | Are rental costs under "sale and lease back" and "less than arms-length | | | | | |
| | that would have been allowed had title to the property or equipment bee | en held by | | | | |
| Royal | Royalties and other costs for the use of patents § 200.448 UGG | | | | | |
| 1 | | YES | | | | |
| 1. | Have federal funds been expended on royalties on a patent or copyright | | | | | |
| | acquiring by purchase a copyright, patent, or rights, necessary for the paward? | roper perio | ormance of | t the | | |
| 2. | Does the federal government have a license or the right to free use of the | e patent or | r copyrigh | t? | | |
| 3. | Has the patent or copyright been adjudicated to be invalid, or been adm | inistrativel | ly determi | ned to be | | |
| | invalid? | | | | | |
| 4. | Is the patent or copyright considered to be unenforceable? | | | | | |
| 5. | Has the patent or copyright expired? | | | | | |
| 6. | Did the agency exercise special care in determining reasonableness whe | | | | | |
| | been arrived at as the result of a less-than-arm's-length bargaining? (Su | | | | | |
| | corporations affiliated with the agency or unaffiliated parties under an a | igreement | entered in | to in | | |
| | anticipation that a federal award would be made.) | | | | | |
| Schola | arships and student aid costs § 200.466 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs of scholarships, fellowships and | other prog | grams of st | tudent aid | | |
| | to provide training to selected participants and the charge was approved | by the spo | onsoring a | gency? | | |
| 2. | Were the tuition remission and other forms of compensation paid as, or | | | students | | |
| | performing necessary work or conducting activities necessary to the spo | | | | | |
| 3. | Were these forms of compensation provided in accordance with establish | | | icy? | | |
| 4. | Was the tuition or other payments reasonable compensation for the wor | k performe | | | | |
| Selling | g and marketing costs § 200.467 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs of selling and marketing any pro- | ducts or se | ervices of | the | | |
| | agency? | | | | | |
| Specia | lized service facilities § 200.468 UGG | | | N/A | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs of services provided by highly sp | • | facilities of | operated | | |
| | by the agency, such as computing, facilities, wind tunnels, and reactors | ? | | | | |
| Stude | nt activity costs § 200.469 UGG | YES | | N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for intramural activities | | ublication | s. student | | |
| | clubs and other student activities? | , F | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Taxes | § 200.470 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for taxes which the organization is require | | nd which | are paid | | |
| | or accrued in accordance with General Accepted Accounting Principles | | | r | | |
| 2. | Were federal funds expended for payments, in lieu of taxes, which are of | | | he local | | |
| | government services received? | | | | | |

- 3. Were these payments for taxes from which exemptions are available to the institution directly or which are available to the institution based on an exemption afforded the federal government?
- 4. Were these payments for special assessments on land which represent capital improvements?
- 5. Were refunds of taxes, interest, or penalties, and any payment to the institution of interest thereon, attributable to taxes, interest, or penalties which were allowed as sponsored agreement costs, credited or paid to the federal government in the manner directed by the federal government?

| Termi | Termination costs applicable to sponsored agreements § 200.471 UGG YES NO N/A | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 1. | 1. If an award has been terminated, were there certain costs that could not be discontinued immediately after the effective date of termination? | | | | | | |
| Traini | ng costs § 200.472 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for the cost of training provided for emp | oloyee deve | lopment? | | | | |
| Trans | portation costs § 200.473 UGG | YES | | □ N/A | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for costs incurred for freight, express, ca | artage, post | age, and ot | her | | | |
| | transportation services relating either to goods purchased, in process, | or delivered | 1? | | | | |
| 2. | Does the agency follow a consistent, equitable procedure where identi | fication with | th the mate | erials | | | |
| | received cannot readily be made, so that inbound transportation cost n | nay be char | ged to the | | | | |
| | appropriate F&A cost accounts? | | - | | | | |
| 3. | Is outbound freight, if reimbursable under the terms of the sponsored a | agreement, | treated as a | a direct | | | |
| | cost? | | | | | | |
| Trave | costs § 200.474 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | |
| 1. | Were all travel expenses (paid from these federal funds) incurred for t | he official l | business of | the | | | |
| | institution? | | | | | | |
| 2. | Are all travel charges consistent with the institution's written travel po | licy? | | | | | |
| 3. | Are all airfare costs coach or equivalent unless they meet the exceptio | ns in UGG | §200.474? | • | | | |
| 4. | If institution staff travels by other than commercial carrier, are the trav | vel charges | consistent | with | | | |
| | available commercial charges? | | | | | | |
| Truste | es § 200.475 UGG | YES | | N/A | | | |
| 1. | Were federal funds expended for travel and subsistence costs of truste | es (or direc | tors)? The | se costs | | | |
| | are subject to restrictions regarding lodging, subsistence and air travel | | | | | | |
| | §200.475. | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Finance Office Signature

Date