Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The program ensures that candidates and completers are prepared to instruct prekindergarten through grade12 (p-12) students to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each program consistently applies state-mandated admission requirements.					
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit			
 The program describes any changes that were implemented to admission policies, processes, methods and procedures used to admit candidates in meeting the state-mandated admission requirements. The program annually reports data and describes the following on candidates admitted under the 10% waiver provision: Assistance provided to and progress made by candidates for demonstrating the competencies required for certification; Current status of each candidate admitted under the 10% waiver provision. 	 were admitted by program under the waiver; Summary describing the outcomes for these candidates. 	 Off-site: Team identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Team seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement. 			

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **1** | P a g e

Indicator 1.2 : The	e program must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors
relevant for profess	sional practices and work characteristics in his or her field.

Ann	ual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)		Continued Approval
1 70		Program Summary Report	Site Visit
	he program describes any changes that were	A program summary report is not	Off-site: Team identifies questions
	nplemented for collecting and analyzing candidate erformance data at the individual and program level	required.	or areas that need further
_	ensure each candidate's mastery of the Uniform		examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs.
	ore Curricula (UCC) and successfully passing each		On-site: Team seeks evidence in
	absection of the Florida Teacher Certification		the form of interviews, classroom
	xamination (FTCE).		observations and by other means to
	he program reports the following on the candidates'		resolve questions, identify
	astery of competencies, prior to program completion:		exemplars and highlight
•	The number and percentage of candidates who met		continuous improvement.
	the program's benchmarks for each component of		r
	the UCC in field/clinical experiences:		
	o Florida Educator Accomplished Practices		
	(FEAPs)		
	 Competencies and Skills for Teacher 		
	Certification prescribed in Rule 6A-4.0021,		
	F.A.C.		
	 State adopted content standards (Florida 		
	Standards)		
	o Scientifically researched reading instruction		
	o Content literacy and mathematics practices		
	o Strategies appropriate for instruction of English		
	language learners		
	 Strategies appropriate for instruction of students with disabilities 		
	o School safety		

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **2** | P a g e

	• The number and percentage of candidates passing
	each subtest of the Florida Teacher Certification
	Examination (FTCE)
	 General Knowledge Test
	 Subject Area Examination
	 Professional Education Test
3.	The program describes the assistance provided to and
	status of candidates who did not demonstrate mastery
	of competencies for each component of the UCC.
4.	The program describes the assistance provided to and
	status of candidates who were not successful in
_	passing any subtest of the FTCE.
5.	The program reports the following information on any
	program completer who is employed in a Florida
	public school during the first 2 years immediately
	following completion of the program or following
	initial certification, whichever occurs first, and the
	district or charter school requests additional training for the educator.
	• Number of program completers reported under the
	2-year guarantee;
	School district or charter school where assistance was requested:
	was requested;
	 Description of the assistance provided by the program;
	 Description of the outcomes of the assistance
	provided.

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **3** | P a g e

Indicator 1.3: Program candidates and completers must demonstrate positive impact on p-12 student learning growth in the candidate's and completer's area(s) of certification as measured by student performance data.

and completer's area(s) of certification as measured by student performance data.					
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit			
 The program describes any changes that were implemented: Evaluation method(s) utilized by program candidates to demonstrate positive impact on p-12 student learning; How data results were collected, evaluated and analyzed for determining program candidate impact on p-12 student learning growth during field/clinical experiences. The program describes any changes that were implemented: P-12 student learning growth data gathered for each program completer within the first year of teaching after program completion; How data results were collected, evaluated and analyzed in determining program completer impact on p-12 student learning growth while employed in a Florida public school. 		 Off-site: Team identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Team seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement. 			

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **4** | P a g e

Standard 2. Field/Clinical Practices

The program ensures high-quality field and clinical experiences with high-quality feedback and support for each program candidate.

Indicator 2.1: Postsecondary and school district personnel meet the state-mandated requirements for supervision.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes any changes that were implemented for confirming, collecting and monitoring the qualifications of postsecondary program faculty and school district personnel to ensure that they meet state requirements outlined in s. 1004.04(5)(a-b). Documentation shall include updated: Agreements between the program or institution and the school district, including school district personnel's qualifications. Faculty qualifications for supervision. 		 Off-site: Team identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Team seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Indicator 2.2: Field and clinical practices are completed in settings relevant to program objectives for the development of candidate skills

SKIIIS.			
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval	Continued Approval	
	Program Summary Report	Site Visit	
The program describes (if appropriate):	A program summary report is not	• Off-site: Team identifies	
1. Changes to the selection and monitoring process for	required.	questions or areas that need	
determining field/clinical settings relevant to the		further examination as a result of	
program's objectives, including a variety of sites that		review of annual IPEPs.	
represent the full spectrum of school communities in		• On-site: Team seeks evidence in	
multiple contexts.		the form of interviews, classroom	
2. Description of specific settings for field/clinical		observations and by other means	
practices for the reporting year.		to resolve questions, identify	

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **5** | P a g e

3.	Changes to how program candidates receive feedback	exemplars and highlight
	on their progress through field/clinical experiences.	continuous improvement.
4.	Remediation that was provided to program candidates	
	who were unsuccessful in field/clinical experiences.	

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **6** | P a g e

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program supports continuous improvement that is sustained, evidence-based, and that evaluates the effectiveness of its candidates and completers.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.

Indicator 3.1: The program routinely and systematically examines candidate and completer performance and impact.					
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit			
 The program provides aggregated data in the reporting year on program candidates and program completers including, but not limited to: Impact of p-12 student learning for all program completers employed in Florida public schools (Standard 1.3). Program completers' performance as evidenced by the Annual Program Performance Report Card (APPR) (Standard 1.3). Assistance provided to any program completer(s) as a result of the 2-year guarantee (Standard 1.2). Impact of p-12 student learning for all program candidates during field/clinical experiences (Standard 1.3). Program candidates' culminating field/clinical experience performance evaluations in demonstration of mastery of the UCC (Standard 1.2). Program candidates' FTCE subtest results at the competency level (Standard 1.2). Program candidate data admitted under the 10% waiver (Standard 1.1). Other program candidate or program completer outcome data results considered by the program. 	any APPR performance metric category receiving a Level One or Level Two score during the review period.	On-site: Team seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.			

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **7** | P a g e

2.	The program describes for the reporting year how it	
	analyzed the aggregated program candidate and program	
	completer outcome data, and determined areas of need	
	or weaknesses for consideration for program	
	improvement.	

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit	
 Specific program elements identified by aggregated data analyses that were determined as areas of strength or areas of weakness for continuous program improvement. Stakeholders (roles and responsibilities) involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning. Specific programmatic enhancements and changes that were made (or will be made) as a result of the decision-making process. 	 The program prepares a continued approval period summary/ synthesis of continuous improvement outcomes that include: Stakeholder involvement in programmatic decision-making; How it used the data results for program enhancements and programmatic changes. The program proposes a guiding question for the on-site review based on its data/analysis. 	 Off-site: Team identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Team seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement. 	

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **8** | P a g e

Continued Approval Site Visit Scoring Rubric

Continued Approval Summative Rating	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Scoring Rubric	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Each indicator for each continued approval	"Acceptable" for all	"Acceptable" for	"Needs	"Unacceptable" on
standard is reviewed and scored as follows:	indicators of	each indicator of	Improvement" for	any indicator of
3 = Acceptable Evidence provided by the program meets requirements for the standard's indicator. 2 = Needs Improvement Evidence provided by the program meets some of the requirements for the standard's indicator. 1 = Unacceptable Evidence provided by the program does not meet requirements for the standard's indicator.	Standards 1, 2 and 3	Standard 3, and indicators 1.2 and 1.3 of Standard 1, and no score of "Unacceptable" in any indicator of Standards 1 and 2	one or more indicators of Standard 3 and no score of "Unacceptable" in any indicator of Standards 1 and 2	Standards 1, 2 and 3

Effective Date: Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **9** | P a g e