

## Question 1 - Other - 2 Responses

### Question 2

Have you found any statements in the report that are incorrect?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	50.0%	1
No	50.0%	1
<b><i>answered question</i></b>		<b>2</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>		<b>0</b>

### Question 3

If yes, specify the page number where the inaccuracy is found, the inaccuracy, a suggested correction, and a source where the correction can be verified.

Answer Options	Response Count
	1
<b><i>answered question</i></b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>	<b>1</b>

Number	Response Text
1	<p>The document is really good work and much praise is due those who are responsible for it. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>- Page 3 - How Libraries Are Alike: How they Are Different - The discussion, while generally correct, does not provide a well nuanced description of contemporary public libraries. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>- A major difference among libraries that is very relevant to this group's efforts that the report does not mention is that different types of libraries have different governance and this affects the services they provide and how they are affected by state policy and planning. Public libraries are almost always units of autonomous locally funded counties and cities and their expenditures are not are not directly controlled by the legislature or state agencies. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>- Page 13, Public Libraries - The section states that staff have to track ILL requests manually appears to be incorrect. Based on our experience and understanding Worldcat Resource Sharing does a good job of tracking requests and manual processes are not necessary.</p>

## Question 4

Please indicate your preference for each option for "establishing a process for SUNLINK, FCLA, CCLA, and FEL to jointly negotiate the statewide licensing of electronic resources to reduce the overall cost of such resources." (options begin on p. 21 of initial draft report)

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Don't Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 1	0	0	2	3.00	2
Option 2	0	0	2	3.00	2
Option 3	1	1	0	1.50	2
Option 4	2	0	0	1.00	2
Option 5	0	0	2	3.00	2
Option 6	2	0	0	1.00	2
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 5):					1
				<i>answered question</i>	<b>2</b>
				<i>skipped question</i>	<b>0</b>

Number	Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 5):
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Options that would require increased state appropriations are unrealistic in a setting where the state projects a \$2.6 billion shortfall. □</li> <li>- The progress that the State Universities and Colleges and ICUF institutions have made is laudable and should be encouraged. □</li> <li>- The K-12 school media programs' and public libraries' e-resource needs are very similar and efforts should be made to help them work together to maximize their purchasing power. It would be helpful if at an appropriate time the State Library and public libraries could explore coordinated or group purchase and licensing.</li> </ul>

## Question 5

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a process to jointly negotiate statewide licensing of electronic resources, please do so now, including an explanation of why the suggested option is viable.

Answer Options	Response Count
	0
<i>answered question</i>	<b>0</b>
<i>skipped question</i>	<b>2</b>

## Question 6

Please indicate your preference for the options for establishing a statewide approach and process for contracting with library cataloging utilities and other providers of library services and products. (options begin on p. 50 of initial draft report)

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Don't Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 1	2	0	0	1.00	2
Option 3	0	2	0	2.00	2
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 7)					1
<b><i>answered question</i></b>					<b>2</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>					<b>0</b>

### Number      Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 7)

- 1 Regarding #1 - The State Library does a lot of good things for Florida libraries but it is my understanding that while they contract for cataloging services from OCLC to meet their own needs, they do not currently do so for other libraries.

## Question 7

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a statewide approach and process for contracting with library cataloging utilities and other providers of library services and products, please provide it now, explaining why it is a viable option.

Answer Options	Response Count
	1
<b><i>answered question</i></b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>	<b>1</b>

Number	Response Text
1	Florida libraries currently spend large sums of money for cataloging and Interlibrary loan services to OCLC and some other states have been able to reduce these costs by negotiating with OCLC for these services on a statewide basis. Efforts should be made at an appropriate time to explore this kind of statewide approach. A statewide agreement and coordinated payment system could result in savings for virtually all Florida libraries. <input type="checkbox"/>

## Question 8

Please indicate your preference for the options for establishing a statewide approach and process for securing statewide memberships in library organizations

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Not Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 2	0	1	1	2.50	2
Option 3	1	1	0	1.50	2
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in					1
<b><i>answered question</i></b>					<b>2</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>					<b>0</b>

Number	Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 9).
1	With regard to this issue it appears that there is a misunderstanding about library membership organizations and the acquisition of library products and services. Groups like the American Library Association, Florida Library Association, and Florida Association of Media in Education, professional library organizations, by and large do not provide library products or services that one would consider to be within the scope of a study of this nature. I suggest that this issue be clarified so that only organizations that provide products and services be considered in subsequent documents and consideration.

## Question 9

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a statewide approach and process for securing statewide memberships in library organizations and regional library affiliates, please do so now, including an explanation of why the suggested option is viable.

Answer Options	Response Count
	0
<b><i>answered question</i></b>	<b>0</b>
<b><i>skipped question</i></b>	<b>2</b>

## Question 10

If you have additional comments, please provide them now.

Answer Options	Response Count
	1
<i>answered question</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>skipped question</i>	<b>1</b>

Number	Response Text
1	<p>Many thanks to the committee and working group for doing such a great job with this document and process and thank you for providing others in Florida library community with the opportunity to participate. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>This document, and others created and collected as a part of this process, do a great job of memorializing and celebrating major developments in Florida libraries over the past 30 years. Hopefully this will be a tool to help move Florida statewide library planning and policy making to a next level so that the library needs of all Floridians are better met. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Nice job - and good luck with the final product!</p>