

Question 1 - Public Libraries - 14 Responses

Question 2

Have you found any statements in the report that are incorrect?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	13
<i>answered question</i>		13
<i>skipped question</i>		1

Question 3

If yes, specify the page number where the inaccuracy is found, the inaccuracy, a suggested correction, and a source where the correction can be verified.

Answer Options	Response Count
	1
<i>answered question</i>	1
<i>skipped question</i>	13

Number	Response Text
--------	---------------

1	n/a
---	-----

Question 4

Please indicate your preference for each option for "establishing a process for SUNLINK, FCLA, CCLA, and FEL to jointly negotiate the statewide licensing of electronic resources to reduce the overall cost of such resources." (options begin on p. 21 of initial draft report)

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Don't Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 1	1	3	9	2.62	13
Option 2	2	2	9	2.54	13
Option 3	2	4	7	2.38	13
Option 4	5	5	3	1.85	13
Option 5	2	4	7	2.38	13
Option 6	6	4	3	1.77	13
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in					4
answered question					13
skipped question					1

Number	Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 5):
1	There should be an overall online public catalog (ONE) for ALL public libraries in Florida, similar in nature to CCLA and FCLA. Highly desired.
2	None of these work if they are dependent on existing funding. Additional funding over and above current levels is the only way this works.
3	Public Library money should not be used for public schools. Option 4 is only recommended if funded by DOE or some other source other than public library money.
4	Consolidating access to electronic resources may increase (rather than decrease) overall cost. And, these resources may be already available to individual constituencies, anyway.

Question 5

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a process to jointly negotiate statewide licensing of electronic resources, please do so now, including an explanation of why the suggested option is viable.

Answer Options	Response Count
	2
answered question	2
skipped question	12

Number	Response Text
1	please see above
2	Why couldn't DMS take this on like they do other negotiated state contracts?

Question 6

Please indicate your preference for the options for establishing a statewide approach and process for contracting with library cataloging utilities and other providers of library services and products. (options begin on p. 50 of initial draft report)

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Don't Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 1	2	4	7	2.38	13
Option 3	7	4	2	1.62	13
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in					4
<i>answered question</i>					13
<i>skipped question</i>					1

Number	Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 7)
1	please see my comments in Q 4
2	What happened to Option 2 listed on page 50? That was my first choice.
3	Again, this would be great if funding is available. It would be wonderful if the State Library could coordinate the process and have the pricing set, but they can't do that with the current
4	There are no local funds to support an increase in costs.

Question 7

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a statewide approach and process for contracting with library cataloging utilities and other providers of library services and products, please provide it now, explaining why it is a viable option.

Answer Options	Response Count
	1
<i>answered question</i>	1
<i>skipped question</i>	13

Number	Response Text
1	Yes

Question 8

Please indicate your preference for the options for establishing a statewide approach and process for securing statewide memberships in library organizations and regional library affiliates (options begin on p. 50 of initial draft report).

Answer Options	Recommend	Neutral	Not Recommend	Rating Average	Response Count
Option 2	2	7	4	2.15	13
Option 3	5	5	3	1.85	13
Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in					2
<i>answered question</i>					13
<i>skipped question</i>					1

Number	Comments on Current Options (You may suggest alternative options in Question 9).
1	If this could be done in such a way that it did not create an additional burden on the State Library (without additional staff or funding) and without additional money coming from local sources this would be fine. Otherwise, don't add work or budget problems when we are already stretched too thin. If the State could negotiate pricing structures that would be helpfu.
2	Which organization a person wishes to join is not dictated by any state government agency. This decision is made by the individual or library system that employs that individual.

Question 9

If you would like to suggest an alternative option for the Committee to consider for establishing a statewide approach and process for securing statewide memberships in library organizations and regional library affiliates, please do so now, including an explanation of why the suggested option is viable.

Answer Options	Response Count
	0
<i>answered question</i>	0
<i>skipped question</i>	14

Question 10

If you have additional comments, please provide them now.

Answer Options	Response Count
	3
<i>answered question</i>	3
<i>skipped question</i>	11

Number	Response Text
1	<p>This was an interesting, and readable, report. Not too much jargon, though the alphabet soup of acronyms was daunting at first, especially if they are not something routinely used everyday. Also, not all acronyms are defined in the text, or the definitions come after they are first used in the text. There were some spelling errors (Statute not Statue on p4). There were some formatting errors when printed out, though that may have been a problem at this end...almost all problems were related to leading quotes marks. Boilerplating, which seemed to be used frequently, may be time saving. However, after a while the redundancies become such that skimming takes over. This could be problematic since some important, but subtle, change may occur and the skimmer wouldn't catch it. I'm not sure how to remedy this; it's just a thought.</p>
2	<p>I appreciate that we have been asked our opinion but I think it is unfair to ask us to weigh in on very complex issues when we have not been privy to discussions and then potentially tout these uninformed responses. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>I commend the committee for the hard work done thusfar. It appears as though much still needs to be researched. I think it is unfortunate for the committee members to have been selected to work on this arduous task knowing that they each have such pressing matters which are likely more important. This sounds like a pet project, make-work, spin-your-wheels type effort. Shame on whoever initiated this effort. <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>I think FCLA, CCLA, K-12 and Division of Libraries are doing a fantastic job with the resources they are given. They understand the unique needs of the various types of libraries and focus their efforts to fulfill those needs.</p>
3	<p>I cannot stress enough the importance of not doing something that creates additional work or budget woes for local or state libraries. I'm sure your intentions are good and noble, but please be very careful that you don't create situations that add additional workloads or unfunded mandates to staff and budgets that are stretched to the breaking point.</p>