# SAFE SCHOOLS APPROPRIATION EXPENDITURES REPORT

2013-14 School Year

Office of Safe Schools
Florida Department of Education

http://www.fldoe.org

This product was developed by the State of Florida, Department of Education, Division of Public Schools, and the Bureau of Family and Community Outreach.

Office of Safe Schools Florida Department of Education Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400

Telephone: 850-245-0416

Fax: 850-245-9978

The following staff members may assist you via telephone or email:

Brooks Rumenik, Director Telephone: 850-245-0749

E-Mail: <u>Brooks.Rumenik@fldoe.org</u>

Shelly Hatton, Program Specialist

Telephone: 850-245-0827

E-Mail: Shelly.Hatton@fldoe.org

Copyright
State of Florida
Department of Education
2015

i

Authorization for reproduction is hereby granted to the State System of Public Education consistent with Section 1006.39(2), Florida Statutes. No authorization is granted for distribution or reproduction outside the state system of public education without prior approval in writing.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction / History and Background1

Safe Schools Appropriation Allocations and Expenditures	2
Number of School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers	7
Funding Sources for School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers	11
Responses to Survey Questions	13
Appendices	17
Appendix A: Safe Schools Appropriation Proviso Language	18
Appendix B: 2013-2014 FEFP Safe Schools Appropriation Allocation Table	19

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1—Breakdown of 2012-2013 Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures	. 3
Table 2—Number of School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers	. 7
Table 3—Funding Sources for School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers	11
Table 4—Number of Districts in which SROs Delivered Prevention Education	13
Table 5—Number of Districts in which SROs Engaged in Bullying Incidents	14
Table 6—Number of Districts Whose Counselors Devoted Time to  Mental Health Counseling	15
Table 7—Number of Districts Reporting Obstacles to Providing On-going  Bullying Prevention Instruction	16

#### INTRODUCTION

The 2013-14 edition of the Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures Report was prepared by the Office of Safe Schools in the Bureau of Family and Community Outreach at the Florida Department of Education. This report summarizes school district expenditures in relation to the eight authorized areas of activities designated by the 2013-14 Safe Schools Proviso language. For additional information on Safe Schools Appropriation activities, contact the Office of Safe Schools at 850-245-0416.

## **History and Background**

The funding allocated for the Safe Schools Program dates back to the 1983-1984 school year. In 1986, the Florida Legislature enacted the Florida Safe Schools Act. During this time the funding was based solely on the juvenile crime index which disproportionately went primarily to large urban school districts. This method of allocation continued through the 1992-1993 school year. Subsequently, the Florida Safe Schools Act remained unfunded for several years and was rescinded by the 1997 Florida Legislature.

However, in 1994, the Florida legislature funded safe schools activities through proviso language in its General Appropriations Act. This funding has continued each year into the present year (see Appendix A - Safe Schools Appropriation Proviso Language). The purpose of the funding is to provide resources for safe schools activities. Presently, each school district receives a minimum of \$62,660 towards the aforementioned purpose. The balance of the Safe Schools Appropriation fund is distributed based upon the following formula: two-thirds based on the latest official Florida Department of Law Enforcement Crime Index and one-third on each district's share of the state's total unweighted student enrollment.

Data for this report were collected via a survey of each school district conducted during spring of 2015 through the *State Safe Schools Appropriation Survey of Activities*. Although Developmental Research Schools (DRSs) receive Safe Schools Appropriation Funds, their expenditures are managed through the university system, not the Florida Department of Education and, therefore, are not included in this report.

#### SAFE SCHOOLS APPROPRIATION ALLOCATIONS AND EXPENDITURES

Since 1996-1997, the Safe Schools Appropriation has continued to be a major source of funding for school districts toward developing, implementing, and enforcing school safety and security programs and activities. The Safe Schools Appropriation allows districts to use their allocation in a manner that best fits their safe schools needs.

The 2013-14 Proviso Language authorizes school districts to spend funds in any or all of eight designated categories reflected in Table 1. The column labeled "Flexibility" refers to the K-20 Flexibility Act (s. 1011.62(6)(b), Florida Statutes) whereby districts can use certain categorical funds, including Safe Schools Appropriations, for academic classroom instruction.

**Table 1** provides a breakdown of district expenditures of the Safe Schools Appropriations for school year 2013-14.

Table 1 - Breakdown of 2013-2014 Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures

District	School Resource Officers	After- School Programs for Middle School Students	Middle and High School Programs for Correction of Specific Discipline Problems	Other Improvements to Enhance the Learning Environment (*continued)	Behavior Driven Intervention Programs (**continued)	Alternative School Programs for Adjudicated Youth (***continued)	Suicide Prevention	Bullying Prevention and Intervention	Flexibility	TOTAL Expenditures (not including Flexibility)
ALACHUA	\$811,304									\$811,304
BAKER	\$116,980									\$116,980
BAY	\$678,698			\$3,549	\$2,206			\$6,883		\$691,336
BRADFORD	\$58,988		\$37,053					\$28,879		\$124,920
BREVARD	\$837,000			\$481,470		\$382,342				\$1,700,812
BROWARD	\$2,740,488		\$1,423,450	\$1,583,890	\$395,611					\$6,143,439
CALHOUN	\$85,017									\$85,017
CHARLOTTE	\$410,741									\$410,741
CITRUS	\$273,469					\$10,372		\$73,806		\$357,647
CLAY	\$392,663	\$4,354	\$145,212	\$49,350			\$5,000			\$596,579
COLLIER		\$436,515	\$300,096	\$19,843						\$756,455
COLUMBIA	\$282,685									\$282,685
DADE	\$9,850,970									\$9,850,970
DESOTO	\$107,937			\$61,133						\$169,070
DIXIE	\$54,000			\$34,031	\$15,000			\$1,149		\$104,180
DUVAL	3,538,935									\$3,538,935
ESCAMBIA	\$801,250				\$177,904	\$87,107				\$1,066,261
FLAGLER	\$278,899			_		_				\$278,899
FRANKLIN		\$7,623						\$371	\$82,011	\$7,994
GADSDEN	\$162,912	\$8,250						\$2,393		\$173,555
GILCHRIST	\$35,017			\$61,470						\$96,487

Table 1 - Breakdown of 2013-2014 Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures

District	School Resource Officers	After- School Programs for Middle School Students	Middle and High School Programs for Correction of Specific Discipline Problems	Other Improvements to Enhance the Learning Environment (*continued)	Behavior Driven Intervention Programs (**continued)	Alternative School Programs for Adjudicated Youth (***continued)	Suicide Prevention	Bullying Prevention and Intervention	Flexibility	TOTAL Expenditures (not including Flexibility)
GLADES	\$33,579		\$56,909							\$90,488
GULF	\$89,306									\$89,306
HAMILTON	\$30,000			\$69,430						\$99,430
HARDEE	\$25,223					\$48,729		\$64,458		\$138,410
HENDRY	\$110,867					\$84,543				\$195,410
HERNANDO	\$475,230		\$46,937							\$522,167
HIGHLANDS	\$317,742									\$317,742
HILLSBOROUGH	\$3,202,831					\$278,450				\$3,481,281
HOLMES									\$103,313	\$0
INDIAN RIVER	\$360,904	\$4,447		\$19,834	\$4,576			\$23,658		\$413,418
JACKSON	\$177,708									\$177,708
JEFFERSON	\$39,023			\$46,504						\$85,527
LAFAYETTE	\$39,111		\$14,913	\$4,452	\$1,784	\$14,090		\$95		\$74,446
LAKE	\$700,215			\$103,428						\$803,643
LEE	\$1,314,773	\$79,815	\$273,653							\$1,668,241
LEON	1,171,840									\$1,171,840
LEVY	\$59,300			\$36,566		\$85,658				\$181,524
LIBERTY	\$75,515									\$75,515
MADISON	\$145,528		\$44,930							\$190,458
MANATEE	\$977,008	\$9,051		\$183,394	\$6,000			\$3,504		\$1,178,957

Table 1 - Breakdown of 2013-2014 Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures

District	School Resource Officers	After- School Programs for Middle School Students	Middle and High School Programs for Correction of Specific Discipline Problems	Other Improvements to Enhance the Learning Environment (*continued)	Behavior Driven Intervention Programs (**continued)	Alternative School Programs for Adjudicated Youth (***continued)	Suicide Prevention	Bullying Prevention and Intervention	Flexibility	TOTAL Expenditures (not including Flexibility)
MARION	\$757,283			\$135,680						\$892,963
MARTIN	\$408,450									\$408,450
MONROE	\$312,159									\$312,159
NASSAU	\$185,350									\$185,350
OKALOOSA	\$631,905		\$598	\$5,006						\$637,509
OKEECHOBEE	\$172,675			\$20,719						\$193,394
ORANGE	\$2,942,644		\$1,610,862							\$4,553,506
OSCEOLA	\$1,085,802									\$1,085,802
PALM BEACH		\$1,781,475		\$1,012,337		\$1,330,040				\$4,123,852
PASCO	\$1,393,988									\$1,393,988
PINELLAS	2,948,293									\$2,948,293
POLK	1,954,401									\$1,954,401
PUTNAM	\$349,912									\$349,912
SANTA ROSA	\$340,828							\$2,189		\$343,017
SARASOTA	\$1,127,862									\$1,127,862
SEMINOLE	\$467,097			\$708,303						\$1,175,400
ST. JOHNS	\$451,780							\$134,021		\$585,801
ST. LUCIE	\$400,000								\$444,219	\$400,000
SUMTER	\$134,831	\$6,694	\$930					\$5,001		\$147,457
SUWANNEE	\$150,000			\$10,088						\$160,088

Table 1 - Breakdown of 2013-2014 Safe Schools Appropriation Expenditures

District	School Resource Officers	After- School Programs for Middle School Students	Middle and High School Programs for Correction of Specific Discipline Problems	Other Improvements to Enhance the Learning Environment (*continued)	Behavior Driven Intervention Programs (**continued)	Alternative School Programs for Adjudicated Youth (***continued)	Suicide Prevention	Bullying Prevention and Intervention	Flexibility	TOTAL Expenditures (not including Flexibility)
TAYLOR	\$60,546	\$31,457		\$5,019						\$97,023
UNION	\$42,050			\$66,475						\$108,525
VOLUSIA	\$1,146,023		\$397,544	\$49,368						\$1,592,935
WAKULLA	\$143,358									\$143,358
****WALTON	\$207,017									\$207,017
WASHINGTON	\$107,900									\$107,900
TOTAL	\$48,785,810	\$2,369,681	\$4,353,087	\$4,771,340	\$603,081	\$2,321,331	\$5,000	\$346,407	\$629,543	\$63,555,739

<sup>\*</sup>Other Improvements to Enhance the Learning Environment, including implementation of conflict resolution strategies

<sup>\*\*</sup>Behavior Driven Intervention Programs that include anger and aggression management strategies

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Alternative school programs for adjudicated youth that may include a web-based virtual system that results in mastery and certification, competency or credentials in the following inter-related counseling disciplines necessary for success in education and the work environment, including adjustment, educational, employment and optimal mental health areas that will include, but are not limited to, anger and impulse control, depression and anxiety, self-esteem, respect for authority, personal behavior, goal setting, time and stress management, social and workplace adjustment, substance use and abuse, workplace soft skills, communication skills, work ethic, the importance of timeliness, attendance and the self-marketing skills for future educational and/or employment opportunities.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Walton spent all SSA funds (\$207,017) but was only able to report \$135 of their expenditures to the FDOE Office of Funding and Financial Reporting.

## **Number of School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers**

**Table 2** shows the number of school resource officers and law enforcement officers (SROs/LEOs) at each school level as well as the number of schools served. This table accounts for officers that <u>may be supported by other sources of revenue</u>, in addition to Safe Schools Appropriations.

Table 2 - Number of School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers

District	Number Officers Elementary Schools	Number Elementary Schools Served	Number Officers Middle Schools	Number Middle Schools Served	Number Officers High Schools	Number High Schools Served
ALACHUA	18	23	7	7	9	6
BAKER	1	3	1	1	1	1
BAY	0	18	6	6	5	5
BRADFORD	1	5	1	1	1	1
BREVARD	0	0	11	11	11	11
BROWARD	56	56	40	40	32	32
CALHOUN	0	1	1	1	1	1
CHARLOTTE	3	10	4	4	3	3
CITRUS	3	11	0	4	3	3
CLAY	1	3	1	1	6	6
COLLIER	15	30	10	10	12	8
COLUMBIA	0	0	3	2	5	2
DADE	0	0	0	0	42	42
DESOTO	0	0	1	1	1	1
DIXIE	0	2	1	1	1	1
DUVAL	0	103	26	25	22	20
ESCAMBIA	0	0	8	8	12	7
FLAGLER	0	5	2	2	3	2
FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
GADSDEN	0	0	2	2	2	2
GILCHRIST	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLADES	0	1	0	0	0	1
GULF	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAMILTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARDEE	0	5	1	1	2	1
HENDRY	5	6	2	2	2	2
HERNANDO	0	0	4	4	1	1
HIGHLANDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
HILLSBOROUGH	41	146	49	47	32	27
HOLMES	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDIAN RIVER	0	16	4	5	2	3
JACKSON	0	0	1	1	2	2
JEFFERSON	1	1	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	0	0

District	Number Officers Elementary Schools	Number Elementary Schools Served	Number Officers Middle Schools	Number Middle Schools Served	Number Officers High Schools	Number High Schools Served
LAKE	0	20	11	10	13	8
LEE	0	49	21	21	13	13
LEON	2	26	7	9	5	5
LEVY	2	5	1	2	2	4
LIBERTY	0	0	0	0	1	1
MADISON	0	0	1	1	1	1
MANATEE	0	0	8	10	7	6
MARION	0	0	8	8	8	8
MARTIN	1	12	5	5	3	3
MONROE	0	3	0	0	2	2
NASSAU	0	8	3	3	3	3
OKALOOSA	18	18	8	8	5	5
OKEECHOBEE	1	5	2	2	2	2
ORANGE	35	123	37	37	38	19
OSCEOLA	8	24	6	8	9	9
PALM BEACH	30	107	36	33	40	23
PASCO	0	0	15	14	15	13
PINELLAS	6	76	20	20	24	18
POLK	0	70	9	24	6	16
PUTNAM	0	0	3	3	3	2
SANTA ROSA	0	18	1	7	4	5
SARASOTA	0	0	7	7	8	5
SEMINOLE	15	36	12	12	10	9
ST. JOHNS	0	0	2	2	7	7
ST. LUCIE	0	18	4	4	10	5
SUMTER	1	5	2	2	2	2
SUWANNEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAYLOR	1	3	1	1	1	1
UNION	0	1	0	1	0	1
VOLUSIA	0	52	3	13	9	9
WAKULLA	0	4	2	2	1	1
WALTON	6	6	3	3	4	3
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	271	1134	424	459	469	400

Table 2 (continued) - Number of School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers (Serving Alternative and Multi-level Schools)

District	Number of Officers Alternative Schools	Number of Alternative Schools Served	Number of Officers Multi-level Schools	Number of Multi-level Schools
ALACHUA	5	3	2	2
BAKER	0	0	0	0
BAY	2	2	2	2
BRADFORD	0	1	0	1
BREVARD	0	0	5	5
BROWARD	14	14	3	3
CALHOUN	0	0	1	2
CHARLOTTE	2	2	0	0
CITRUS	1	1	7	0
CLAY	1	1	1	1
COLLIER	1	1	1	1
COLUMBIA	0	0	1	1
DADE	2	2	48	316
DESOTO	0	0	0	0
DIXIE	0	0	0	0
DUVAL	2	2	4	5
ESCAMBIA	0	0	0	0
FLAGLER	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	0	0	1	1
GADSDEN	2	2	0	0
GILCHRIST	0	0	2	4
GLADES	0	0	1	1
GULF	0	0	2	2
HAMILTON	0	0	2	2
HARDEE	0	1	0	0
HENDRY	0	0	0	0
HERNANDO	0	0	3	3
HIGHLANDS	0	0	9	17
HILLSBOROUGH	4	2	0	0
HOLMES	0	0	0	0
INDIAN RIVER	1	1	0	1
JACKSON	1	1	3	4
JEFFERSON	0	0	1	1
LAFAYETTE	0	0	1	2
LAKE	0	0	0	0
LEE	3	3	0	0
LEON	3	7	4	2
LEVY	1	1	6	12
LIBERTY	0	1	2	2
MADISON	0	0	0	0

District	Number of Officers Alternative Schools	Number of Alternative Schools Served	Number of Officers Multi-level Schools	Number of Multi-level Schools
MANATEE	2	1	0	0
MARION	1	1	2	2
MARTIN	1	1	0	0
MONROE	0	0	5	5
NASSAU	0	0	1	1
OKALOOSA	0	0	5	5
OKEECHOBEE	1	1	0	0
ORANGE	5	5	0	0
OSCEOLA	1	1	3	5
PALM BEACH	8	15	13	0
PASCO	3	4	1	1
PINELLAS	8	7	0	0
POLK	2	2	27	9
PUTNAM	0	0	2	2
SANTA ROSA	0	1	1	1
SARASOTA	0	0	4	4
SEMINOLE	2	2	0	0
ST. JOHNS	1	1	0	0
ST. LUCIE	2	1	9	9
SUMTER	0	1	1	1
SUWANNEE	0	0	2	2
TAYLOR	0	0	0	0
UNION	0	1	1	4
VOLUSIA	1	2	1	4
WAKULLA	1	1	0	0
WALTON	1	1	1	1
WASHINGTON	0	0	3	7
TOTAL	85	97	194	456

## Funding Sources for School Resource Officers / Law Enforcement Officers

**Table 3** is a breakout of the varying funding sources used to pay the salary of security personnel. Throughout the state, most school districts collaborate with law enforcement agencies to provide School Resource Officers (SROs), Law Enforcement Officers (LEOs) and other security personnel for their schools. These salaries are paid through a variety of funding sources. By far, most of the salaries for SROs, LEOs and other security personnel were funded by Safe Schools Appropriations. The second largest funding source was the county sheriff departments. There were twelve districts that spent over a million dollars of Safe Schools Appropriations on salaries for School Resource Officers.

Table 3 - Funding Sources for SROs/LEOs Salaries

District	% Funded by Safe Schools Funds	% Funded by City Police Department	% Funded by County Sheriff's Office	% Funded by Federal Grants	% Funded by General School District Funds	% Funded by Other Source
ALACHUA	75%				25%	
BAKER	100%					
BAY	100%					
BRADFORD	39%	30.5%	30.5%			
BREVARD	50%	41%	9%			
BROWARD	1%	55%	30%		14%	
CALHOUN	62%				38%	
CHARLOTTE	28%	11%	54%		7%	
CITRUS	36%		64%			
CLAY	77%	4%	10%		9%	
COLLIER			100%			
COLUMBIA	100%					
DADE	100%					
DESOTO	100%					
DIXIE	100%					
DUVAL	100%					
ESCAMBIA	50%	25%	25%			
FLAGLER	100%					
FRANKLIN			100%			
GADSDEN	42%				58%	
GILCHRIST	70%				30%	
GLADES	50%		50%			
GULF	80%		10%		10%	
HAMILTON	30%		70%			
HARDEE	8%		60%		32%	
HENDRY	22%		78%			
HERNANDO	100%					

District	% Funded by Safe Schools Funds	% Funded by City Police Department	% Funded by County Sheriff's Office	% Funded by Federal Grants	% Funded by General School District Funds	% Funded by Other Source
HIGHLANDS	50%	7%	43%			
HILLSBOROUGH	50%	25%	25%			
HOLMES	0%					
INDIAN RIVER	50%		50%			
JACKSON	70%				30%	
JEFFERSON	50%		50%			
LAFAYETTE	50%		50%			
LAKE	64%		36%			
LEE	40%	1%	49%		10%	
LEON	50%		50%			
LEVY	43%	14%	31%	12%		
LIBERTY	71%				29%	
MADISON	69%			31%		
MANATEE	61%	25%	14%			
MARION	75%	12%	13%			
MARTIN	100%					
MONROE	87%	13%				
NASSAU	75%	7%	18%			
OKALOOSA	19%		65%		16%	
OKEECHOBEE	50%		50%			
ORANGE	28%	26%	46%			
OSCEOLA	90%				10%	
PALM BEACH					100%	
PASCO	79%				21%	
PINELLAS	95%				5%	
POLK	80%				20%	
PUTNAM	100%					
SANTA ROSA	76%	17%	7%			
SARASOTA	44%	24%	23%		9%	
SEMINOLE	16%	25%	25%		34%	
ST. JOHNS	50%		50%			
ST. LUCIE	24%		76%			
SUMTER	50%		50%			
SUWANNEE	30%		70%			
TAYLOR	65%		35%			
UNION	100%					
VOLUSIA	100%					
WAKULLA	90%				10%	
WALTON	23%		66%		11%	
WASHINGTON	90%		10%			

## **RESPONSES TO SURVEY QUESTIONS**

The Safe Schools Appropriations Survey included additional questions related to safety activities that a district may undertake. The data presented on the following tables reflect responses to these questions.

**Table 4** – Districts were asked to identify the types of prevention instruction (not including a one-time presentation) delivered to students by School Resource Officers.

Number of Districts in which SROs Delivered Prevention Education						
Type of Instruction	Elementary	Middle	High			
Bully Prevention Instruction	34	46	45			
Suicide Prevention Instruction	13	22	24			
Violence Prevention Instruction	29	41	42			
Alcohol, Tobacco and/or Other Drug Prevention Instruction	30	39	38			

#### Additional Comments about SRO-led prevention instruction:

- SROs do provide counseling on a case-by-case basis when needed.
- · Law-related education; mentoring
- Eddie Eagle Gun Safety Program
- More Health Gun Safety (elementary, middle)
- GATE (Gang Awareness Training Education)
- SROs provided prevention instruction to all schools. Due to the limited SROs available to the entire district, the amount of time devoted to elementary schools is limited to 1 or 2 school-wide programs per year.
- The middle school prevention instruction by our SROs only occurs in a few schools and when the opportunity allows. It was
  designed to build upon the elementary curriculum, which was lost when our elementary SRO program was eliminated
  following the 2010-2011 school year.
- Cybersafety attorney general's office presentation materials; Know the Law
- Our SROs are an integral part of addressing bullying in all of our schools including proactive measures and follow-up services
- SROs deliver instruction to elementary students on cybersafety. Instruction may be delivered through district personnel such
  as school administrators, guidance counselors and crisis counselors. Schools also work with local mental health and /or
  counseling agencies to provide support and instruction.
- Law enforcement and security-related activities
- Suicide, violence and substance use/abuse prevention is accomplished on a one-to-one and small group basis at all grade levels, on a case-by-case basis
- Conflict resolution
- · Positive relationships between law enforcement and general citizens

**Table 5** – Districts were asked to identify specific activities, related to bullying incidents or alleged incidents, in which their SROs engaged.

Number of Districts in which SROs Engaged in Bullying Incidents						
ramon of biothete in which o	ntoo Engagoa i	Dailying moi	401110			
SRO Activity	Elementary	Middle	High			
Investigation Insidents	45	<b>5</b> 0	50			
Investigating Incidents	45	58	59			
Enforcing Disciplinary Measure	13	21	22			
Carrying out Safety Plans for Students	34	47	50			

#### Additional Comments regarding SRO engagement in bullying incidents:

- School Resource Officers in our district support disciplinary measures but are prohibited from enforcing discipline directly.
- SROs provide investigative assistance when a principal or designee makes a request for assistance. SROs are not disciplinarians by contract. SROs adhere to school safety plans.
- SARA Projects
- Discipline in the schools is handled by school personnel. SROs counsel, advise and work with students but they do not carry out disciplinary actions. If an issue arises that would involve the sheriff's department arresting a student then the SROs handle this from the legal perspective.
- Bullying investigations are handled by district administrators.
- · Limited exposure and/or assistance to elementary schools due to limited number of SROs for the district.
- We have a bullying point-of-contact at all district schools. Several of our SROs serve in this capacity at the middle school level. While they
  share in the investigative process at some schools, they also help establish or are a part of the safety plans we develop for our students who
  are bullied.
- SROs are sworn law enforcement officers; and therefore, it is not within their job description to discipline students or carry out disciplinary measures. They perform law enforcement duties, make educational presentations and advise students, school staff and parents on matters regarding law enforcement. They are involved in investigations when a crime may have been committed.
- With regard to enforcing disciplinary measures, our SROs are not school disciplinarians; their role is to secure and maintain a safe school
  environment. School-based administrators are responsible for administering school discipline.
- . Our SROs are an integral part of addressing bullying in all of our schools including proactive measures and follow-up services.
- · Regarding investigations, SROs are involved only when there is an allegation of criminality.

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \textbf{Table 6} & - & \textbf{Districts} & \textbf{were asked to identify the percentage of work time counselors} \\ & \textbf{devoted to mental health counseling.} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Number of Districts Whose Counselors Devoted a Percentage of Time to Mental Health Counseling				
Percentage of Time	Number of Districts			
0%	6			
1-25%	43			
26-50%	13			
51-75%	6			
76-100%	0			

**Table 7** – Districts were asked to identify the biggest obstacles to offering regular ongoing bullying prevention instruction to students.

Number of Districts Reporting Obstacles to Providing On-going Bullying Prevention Instruction					
Obstacles	Number of Districts				
District provides ample instruction	10				
Lack of Funding	44				
Lack of Time	51				
Lack of Trained Staff	20				
Lack of Support	5				

#### **Additional Comments**

- We comply with state laws regarding the required teen dating curriculum. All schools have Bullying Proofing your School
  curriculum and Lauren Kids curriculum. All administrators or designees were provided training for bullying/harassment, teen
  dating violence and hazing.
- Limited funding prevents the district from providing SROs and much needed counseling services to the students and families in our district.
- Bullying prevention, like violence prevention, drug prevention, character education, and social skills development has suffered at the hands of academic accountability by the state. There is scarce funding and even scarcer time allotted to address these critical components of our students' education. Our district maximizes our opportunity for bullying prevention instruction, given the resources available and the time our schools can afford to take from academic instruction.
- The biggest obstacle to providing instruction on anything other than core subject covered on state or district assessments is lack of time in the school day.

# **APPENDICES**

#### APPENDIX A

2013-2014 Safe Schools Appropriation Conference Report on Senate Bill 1500

#### **Proviso Language**

#### Proviso Language in 2013-14 General Appropriation Act

From the funds in Specific Appropriations 7 and 87, \$64,456,019 is provided for Safe Schools activities and shall be allocated as follows: \$62,660 shall be distributed to each district, and the remaining balance shall be allocated as follows: two-thirds based on the latest official Florida Crime Index provided by the Department of Law Enforcement and one-third based on each district's share of the state's total unweighted student enrollment.

#### Safe Schools activities include:

- 1) after school programs for middle school students;
- 2) middle and high school programs for correction of specific discipline problems;
- 3) other improvements to enhance the learning environment, including implementation of conflict resolution strategies;
- 4) behavior driven intervention programs that include anger and aggression management strategies;
- 5) alternative school programs for adjudicated youth that may include a web-based virtual system that results in mastery and certification, competency or credentials in the following inter-related counseling disciplines necessary for success in education and the work environment, including adjustment, educational, employment and optimal mental health areas that will include, but are not limited to, anger and impulse control, depression and anxiety, self-esteem, respect for authority, personal behavior, goal setting, time and stress management, social and workplace adjustment, substance use and abuse, workplace soft skills, communication skills, work ethic, the importance of timeliness, attendance and the self-marketing skills for future educational and/or employment opportunities;
- 6) suicide prevention programs;
- 7) bullying prevention and intervention; and
- 8) school resource officers.

Each district shall determine, based on a review of its existing programs and priorities, how much of its total allocation to use for each authorized Safe Schools activity. The Department of Education shall monitor compliance with reporting procedures contained in section 1006.147, Florida Statutes. If a district does not comply with these procedures, the district's funds from the Safe Schools allocation shall be withheld and reallocated to the other school districts. Each school district shall report to the Department of Education the amount of funds expended for each of the eight activities.

-----Proviso Language, Specific Appropriation 87, page 22, Chapter 2013-40, Laws of Florida http://laws.flrules.org/2013/40

## **APPENDIX B**

# Florida Education Finance Program 2013-2014 FEFP - Conference Calculation

## **SAFE SCHOOL ALLOCATION**

District	Allocation	2013 Crime	Allocation Based on	2013-14 Unweighted	Allocation Based on Unweighted	Total Safe Schools
District	Minimum	Index 10,511	Crime Index	FTE	FTE	Allocation
Alachua	62,660	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	548,046	27,074.05	201,477	812,183
Baker	62,660	359	18,718	4,728.77	35,190	116,568
Bay	62,660	8,339	434,797	25,831.34	192,229	689,686
Bradford	62,660	768	40,044	3,080.24	22,922	125,626
Brevard	62,660	21,487	1,120,336	69,590.48	517,871	1,700,867
Broward	62,660	79,698	4,155,469	257,637.67	1,917,261	6,135,390
Calhoun	62,660	123	6,413	2,198.33	16,359	85,432
Charlotte	62,660	4,433	231,137	15,731.77	117,071	410,868
Citrus	62,660	3,580	186,662	14,644.20	108,978	358,300
Clay	62,660	5,536	288,648	34,541.83	257,050	608,358
Collier	62,660	7,105	370,456	43,451.81	323,355	756,471
Columbia	62,660	2,796	145,784	9,837.22	73,206	281,650
Miami-Dade	62,660	138,109	7,201,029	348,787.02	2,595,567	9,859,256
DeSoto	62,660	999	52,088	4,769.51	35,493	150,241
Dixie	62,660	508	26,487	2,023.68	15,060	104,207
Duval	62,660	44,522	2,321,385	126,894.10	944,307	3,328,352
Escambia	62,660	16,048	836,746	40,138.79	298,701	1,198,107
Flagler	62,660	2,376	123,885	12,765.16	94,994	281,539
Franklin	62,660	351	18,301	1,081.27	8,047	89,008
Gadsden	62,660	1,347	70,233	5,423.17	40,358	173,251
Gilchrist	62,660	296	15,433	2,445.02	18,195	96,288
Glades	62,660	311	16,216	1,465.81	10,908	89,784
Gulf	62,660	257	13,400	1,839.07	13,686	89,746
Hamilton	62,660	393	20,491	1,532.56	11,405	94,556
Hardee	62,660	876	45,675	5,155.79	38,368	146,703
Hendry	62,660	1,568	81,756	6,762.37	50,324	194,740
Hernando	62,660	5,720	298,242	21,962.65	163,439	524,341
Highlands	62,660	3,183	165,962	11,908.23	88,617	317,239
Hillsborough	62,660	37,147	1,936,852	197,150.05	1,467,131	3,466,643
Holmes	62,660	324	16,893	3,144.55	23,401	102,954
Indian River	62,660	4,409	229,886	17,828.02	132,671	425,217
Jackson	62,660	1,285	67,000	6,455.16	48,037	177,697
Jefferson	62,660	308	16,059	966.87	7,195	85,914
Lafayette	62,660	76	3,963	1,154.32	8,590	75,213
Lake	62,660	8,914	464,778	40,461.54	301,102	828,540
Lee	62,660	19,711	1,027,735	86,260.58	641,925	1,732,320
Leon	62,660	13,916	725,583	33,180.97	246,923	1,035,166

District	Allocation Minimum	2013 Crime Index	Allocation Based on Crime Index	2013-14 Unweighted FTE	Allocation Based on Unweighted FTE	Total Safe Schools Allocation
Levy	62,660	1,514	78,940	5,501.75	40,942	182,542
Liberty	62,660	45	2,346	1,405.17	10,457	75,463
Madison	62,660	603	31,441	2,559.75	19,049	113,150
Manatee	62,660	14,911	777,462	45,633.74	339,592	1,179,714
Marion	62,660	10,049	523,957	41,070.70	305,636	892,253
Martin	62,660	4,042	210,751	18,423.78	137,104	410,515
Monroe	62,660	3,580	186,662	8,046.95	59,883	309,205
Nassau	62,660	1,635	85,249	11,030.44	82,085	229,994
Okaloosa	62,660	6,033	314,562	29,596.52	220,248	597,470
Okeechobee	62,660	1,599	83,372	6,404.52	47,661	193,693
Orange	62,660	59,659	3,110,631	184,164.71	1,370,498	4,543,789
Osceola	62,660	11,289	588,611	57,387.53	427,061	1,078,332
Palm Beach	62,660	52,369	2,730,530	178,481.41	1,328,204	4,121,394
Pasco	62,660	16,045	836,589	65,769.43	489,436	1,388,685
Pinellas	62,660	40,863	2,130,605	102,130.48	760,024	2,953,289
Polk	62,660	22,649	1,180,923	95,333.24	709,441	1,953,024
Putnam	62,660	3,990	208,039	10,628.41	79,093	349,792
St. Johns	62,660	5,322	277,490	32,649.31	242,966	583,116
St. Lucie	62,660	9,502	495,436	38,686.40	287,892	845,988
Santa Rosa	62,660	2,669	139,162	25,097.86	186,771	388,593
Sarasota	62,660	14,604	761,455	41,011.15	305,193	1,129,308
Seminole	62,660	12,269	639,708	63,520.92	472,703	1,175,071
Sumter	62,660	1,136	59,231	7,960.23	59,238	181,129
Suwannee	62,660	1,040	54,226	5,747.26	42,769	159,655
Taylor	62,660	537	27,999	2,639.48	19,642	110,301
Union	62,660	206	10,741	2,160.57	16,078	89,479
Volusia	62,660	20,727	1,080,710	59,941.54	446,067	1,589,437
Wakulla	62,660	841	43,850	4,907.50	36,520	143,030
Walton	62,660	1,666	86,866	7,780.06	57,897	207,423
Washington	62,660	397	20,700	3,379.11	25,146	108,506
Washington Special <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0	105.50	785	785
FAMU Lab School	62,660	0	0	506.54	3,770	66,430
FAU - Palm Beach	62,660	0	0	969.97	7,218	69,878
FAU - St. Lucie	62,660	0	0	1,396.80	10,395	73,055
FSU Lab - Broward	62,660	0	0	685.59	5,102	67,762
FSU Lab - Leon	62,660	0	0	1,697.99	12,636	75,296
UF Lab	62,660	0	0	1,127.73	8,392	71,052
Virtual School <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0	0.00	0	0
TOTAL	4,574,180	769,480	40,120,832	2,655,444.01	19,761,007	64,456,019

<sup>1.</sup> The Washington Special school district does not receive the Crime Index portion of Safe School funding.

2. The Florida Virtual Schools does not receive Safe School funding.



# Ms. Pam Stewart COMMISSIONER